	1
1	
2	UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
3	SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK
4	Case No. 12-12020-mg
5	x
6	In the Matter of:
7	
8	RESIDENTIAL CAPITAL, LLC, et al.,
9	
10	Debtors.
11	
12	
13	
14	United States Bankruptcy Court
15	One Bowling Green
16	New York, New York
17	
18	August 21, 2013
19	10:03 AM
20	
21	BEFORE:
22	HON. MARTIN GLENN
23	U.S. BANKRUPTCY JUDGE
24	
25	

1 2 (CC: Doc no. 4152, 4153, 4157) Plan Proponents' Motion for an Order (I) Approving Disclosure Statement, (II) Establishing 3 Procedures for Solicitation and Tabulation of Votes to Accept 4 or Reject the Plan Proponents' Joint Chapter 11 Plan, (III) 5 Approving the Form of Ballots, (IV) Scheduling a Hearing on 6 7 Confirmation of the Plan, (V) Approving Procedures for Notice of the Confirmation Hearing and for Filing Objections to 8 9 Confirmation of the Plan, and (VI) Granting Related Relief. 10 (CC: Doc# 4451) Joint Motion Pursuant to 11 U.S.C. 105 and Fed. 11 R. Bankr. P. 7023 and 9019 for an Order (1) Granting Class 12 Certification for Purposes of Settlement Only, (2) Appointment 13 Class Representative and Class Counsel for Purposes of 14 15 Settlement Only, (3) Preliminarily Approving the Settlement Agreement Between Plaintiffs, On Their Own Behalf and On Behalf 16 of the Class of Similarly Situated Persons, and the Debtors, 17 18 (4) Approving the Form and Manner of Notice to the Class, (5) Scheduling a Fairness Hearing to Consider Approval of the 19 20 Settlement on a Final Basis and Related Relief and (6) Approving the Settlement Agreement on a Final Basis and 21 22 Granting Related Relief. 23 24

25

Doc# 4555 Motion to Extend Exclusivity Period for Filing a Chapter 11 Plan and Disclosure Statement / Debtors' Motion for the Entry of an Order Further Extending Their Exclusive Periods to File a Chapter 11 Plan and Solicit Acceptances Thereof Transcribed by: Penina Wolicki eScribers, LLC 700 West 192nd Street, Suite #607 New York, NY 10040 (973)406-2250 operations@escribers.net

4 1 2 APPEARANCES: 3 MORRISON & FOERSTER LLP 4 Attorneys for Debtors 1290 Avenue of the Americas 5 6 New York, NY 10104 7 8 BY: TODD M. GOREN, ESQ. 9 LORENZO MARINUZZI, ESQ. 10 NORMAN S. ROSENBAUM, ESQ. 11 12 CURTIS, MALLET-PREVOST, COLT & MOSLE LLP 13 14 Conflicts Counsel to Debtors 15 101 Park Avenue New York, NY 10178 16 17 18 BY: THERESA A. FOUDY, ESQ. JONATHAN J. WALSH, ESQ. 19 20 MARYANN GALLAGHER, ESQ. 21 22 23 24 25

5 1 2 UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE 3 Office of the United States Trustee 201 Varick Street 4 5 Suite 1006 6 New York, NY 10014 7 8 BY: SUSAN GOLDEN, ESQ. 9 BRIAN S. MASUMOTO, ESQ. 10 11 12 UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE U.S. Attorney's Office 13 14 86 Chambers Street 15 3rd Floor New York, NY 10007 16 17 18 BY: JOSEPH N. CORDARO, ESQ. 19 20 21 22 23 24 25

6 1 2 KRAMER LEVIN NAFTALIS & FRANKEL LLP 3 Attorneys for Official Creditors' Committee 1177 Avenue of the Americas 4 5 New York, NY 10036 6 7 BY: KENNETH H. ECKSTEIN, ESQ. 8 DOUGLAS MANNAL, ESQ. 9 ELISE FREJKA, ESQ. 10 STEPHEN D. ZIDE, ESQ. 11 12 SILVERMANACAMPORA LLP 13 Special Counsel to Creditors' Committee 14 15 100 Jericho Quadrangle Suite 300 16 17 Jericho, NY 11753 18 19 BY: JUSTIN S. KRELL, ESQ. 20 RONALD J. FRIEDMAN, ESQ. 21 22 23 24 25

7 1 2 WILMER CUTLER PICKERING HALE AND DORR LLP 3 Special Counsel to the Creditors' Committee 7 World Trade Center 4 New York, NY 10007 5 6 7 BY: WILLIAM J. PERLSTEIN, ESQ. 8 9 KIRKLAND & ELLIS LLP 10 Attorneys for Ally Bank and Ally Financial, Inc. 11 601 Lexington Avenue 12 New York, NY 10022 13 14 15 BY: RAY C. SCHROCK, ESQ. 16 17 18 JONES DAY 19 Attorneys for FGIC 20 901 Lakeside Avenue 21 Cleveland, OH 44114 22 23 BY: CARL E BLACK, ESQ. 24 25

			8
1			
2	CLEAR	Y GOTTLIEB STEEN & HAMILTON LLP	
3		Attorneys for Wilmington Trust	
4		One Liberty Plaza	
5		New York, NY 10006	
6			
7	BY:	JEREMY R. OPOLSKY, ESQ.	
8			
9			
10	SEWAR	D & KISSEL LLP	
11		Attorneys for US Bank as RMBS Trustee	
12		One Battery Park Plaza	
13		New York, NY 10004	
14			
15	BY:	MARK D. KOTWICK, ESQ.	
16		ARLENE R. ALVES, ESQ.	
17			
18			
19	MCKOO	L SMITH, P.C.	
20		Attorneys for Freddie Mac	
21		One Bryant Park	
22		47th Floor	
23		New York, NY 10036	
24			
25	BY:	MICHAEL R. CARNEY, ESQ.	

9 1 2 ROPES & GRAY Attorneys for Steering Committee of RMBS Investors 3 4 800 Boylston Street 5 Boston, MA 02199 6 7 BY: D. ROSS MARTIN, ESQ. 8 ANDREW G. DEVORE, ESQ. 9 10 11 MUNGER, TOLLES & OLSON LLP 12 Attorneys for Berkshire Hathaway, Inc. 355 South Grand Avenue 13 35th Floor 14 15 Los Angeles, CA 90071 16 17 BY: THOMAS B. WALPER, ESQ. (TELEPHONICALLY) 18 19 20 LOEB & LOEB 21 Attorneys for Wilmington Trust, N.A. at Trustee 345 Park Avenue 22 23 New York, NY 10154 24 25 BY: WALTER H. CURCHACK, ESQ.

			10
1			
2	MILBA	NK, TWEED, HADLEY & MCCLOY LLP	
3		Attorneys for Ad Hoc Group of Junior Secured Noteholders	
4		One Chase Manhattan Plaza	
5		New York, NY 10005	
6			
7	BY:	GERARD UZZI, ESQ.	
8		JONATHAN OSTRZEGA, ESQ.	
9			
10			
11	AKIN (GUMP STRAUSS HAUER & FELD LLP	
12		Attorneys for UMB	
13		One Bryant Park	
14		New York, NY 10036	
15			
16	BY:	DANIEL H. GOLDEN, ESQ.	
17			
18			
19	KIRBY	MCINERNEY, LLP	
20		Attorneys for Landon Rothstein Plaintiff	
21		825 Third Avenue	
22		New York, NY 10022	
23			
24	BY:	THOMAS W. ELROD, ESQ.	
25			

			11
1			
2	MORGAI	N, LEWIS & BOCKIUS LLP	
3		Attorneys for Deutsche Bank	
4		101 Park Avenue	
5		New York, NY 10178	
6			
7	BY:	JAMES L. GARRITY, JR., ESQ.	
8			
9			
10	LOWENS	STEIN SANDLER LLP	
11		RESPA Plaintiffs and Certain Securities Plaintiffs	
12		65 Livingston Avenue	
13		Roseland, NJ 07068	
14			
15	BY:	MICHAEL S. ETKIN, ESQ.	
16			
17			
18	QUINN	EMANUEL URQUHART & SULLIVAN, LLP	
19		Attorneys for AIG, Allstate, Prudential, Mass Mutual	
20		51 Madison Avenue	
21		22nd Floor	
22		New York, NY 10010	
23			
24	BY:	SCOTT C. SHELLEY, ESQ.	
25			

			12
1			
2	WALTE	RS BENDER STROHBEHN VAUGHAN, P.C.	
3		Attorneys for Kessler Class	
4		100 Main	
5		Kansas City, MO 64196	
6			
7	BY:	DAVID M. SKEENS, ESQ.	
8		R. FREDERICK WALTERS, ESQ.	
9			
10			
11	POLSI	NELLI SHUGHART	
12		Attorneys For Kessler Class	
13		900 Third Avenue	
14		Suite 2100	
15		New York, NY 10022	
16			
17	BY:	DAN FLANIGAN, ESQ.	
18			
19			
20	SIMPS	ON THACHER & BARTLETT LLP	
21		Attorneys for Deutsche Bank	
22		425 Lexington Avenue	
23		New York, NY 10017	
24			
25	BY:	SANDY QUSBA, ESQ.	

			13
1			
2	MCKEN	INA LONG & ALDRIDGE LLP	
3		Attorneys for Impac	
4		230 Park Avenue	
5		Suite 1700	
6		New York, NY 10169	
7			
8	BY:	ALAN F. KAUFMAN, ESQ.	
9			
10			
11	PROSK	CAUER ROSE LLP	
12		Attorneys for Assured Guarantee	
13		Eleven Times Square	
14		New York, NY 10036	
15			
16	BY:	IRENA M. GOLDSTEIN, ESQ.	
17			
18			
19	KASOW	VITZ, BENSON, TORRES & FRIEDMAN LLP	
20		Attorneys for FHFA	
21		1633 Broadway	
22		New York, NY 10019	
23			
24	BY:	DANIEL A. FLIMAN, ESQ.	
25			

			14
1			
2	PATTE	RSON BELKNAP WEBB & TYLER LLP	
3		Attorneys for Ambac Assurance Corporation	
4		1133 Avenue of the Americas	
5		New York, NY 10036	
6			
7	BY:	BRIAN P. GUINEY, ESQ.	
8			
9			
10	GIBSO	N DUNN & CRUTCHER LLP	
11		Attorneys for Amherst Advisory & Management	
12		200 Park Avenue	
13		New York, NY 10166	
14			
15	BY:	JOSHUA WEISSER, ESQ.	
16			
17			
18	BRYAN	CAVE LLP	
19		Attorneys for Residential Capital, LLC, et al.	
20		211 North Broadway	
21		Suite 3600	
22		St. Louis, MO 63102	
23			
24	BY:	MICHAEL G. BIGGERS, ESQ.	
25			

			15
1			
2	ALLEN	& OVERY LLP	
3		Attorneys for HSBC	
4		1221 Avenue of the Americas	
5		New York, NY 10020	
6			
7	BY:	JOHN KIBLER, ESQ.	
8			
9			
10	CARLS	ON LYNCH LTD.	
11		Attorneys for Lead Plaintiffs Putative Class	
12		115 Federal Street	
13		Suite 201	
14		Pittsburgh, PA 15212	
15			
16	BY:	RONALD B. CARLSON, ESQ.	
17			
18			
19	BALLA	RD SPAHR LLP	
20		Attorneys for PNC Bank, N.A.	
21		1735 Market Street	
22		51st Floor	
23		Philadelphia, PA 19103	
24			
25	BY:	SARAH SCHINDLER-WILLIAMS, ESQ. (TELEPHONICALLY)	

			16
1			
2	ROMER	O LAW FIRM	
3		Attorneys for County of San Bernardino	
4		BMR Professional Building	
5		Whittier, CA 90601	
6			
7	BY:	MARTHA E. ROMERO, ESQ. (TELEPHONICALLY)	
8			
9			
10	ACCES	S LEGAL SERVICES	
11		Attorneys for Ms. Nora and others similarly situated	
12		210 Second Street NE	
13		Minneapolis, MN 55413	
14			
15	BY:	WENDY ALISON NORA, ESQ. (TELEPHONICALLY)	
16			
17			
18	PENSI	ON BENEFIT GUARANTY CORPORATION	
19		Office of the Chief Counsel	
20		1200 K Street, N.W.	
21		Washington, D.C. 20005	
22			
23	BY:	VICENTE MATIAS MURRELL, ESQ.	
24			
25			

PROCEEDINGS 1 THE COURT: Please be seated. We're here in 2 Residential Capital, number 12-12020. Mr. Marinuzzi? 3 MR. MARINUZZI: Good morning, Your Honor. For the 4 5 record, Lorenzo Marinuzzi, Morrison & Foerster, on behalf of the debtors. Your Honor, the agenda for today's hearing is 6 7 fairly short and largely uncontested -- the agenda. 8 papers, the agenda. 9 The first matter on the agenda on page 1 is the motion 10 for approval of the settlement between the debtors and the Kessler class plaintiffs. And for that I'm going to cede the 11 12 podium to my partner Norman Rosenbaum. 13 THE COURT: Okay. MR. ROSENBAUM: Good morning, Your Honor. 14 15 THE COURT: Good morning, Mr. Rosenbaum. MR. ROSENBAUM: Norm Rosenbaum, Morrison & Foerster 16 for the debtors. 17 Your Honor, this is the joint motion of the debtors 18 and the representatives of the Kessler settlement class, for 19 preliminary and final approval of the settlement reached with 20 the putative class --21 22 THE COURT: Preliminary. 23 MR. ROSENBAUM: -- under Section 105 of the Bankruptcy Code, Bankruptcy Rule --24

THE COURT: It's the preliminary approval, isn't it?

25

RESIDENTIAL CAPITAL, LLC, ET AL.

18

1	Preliminary approval?
2	MR. ROSENBAUM: Sorry, Your Honor?
3	THE COURT: Preliminary approval, not final?
4	MR. ROSENBAUM: This morning it's preliminary
5	approval.
6	THE COURT: Yes. You said preliminary and final.
7	MR. ROSENBAUM: That's correct, Your Honor. The
8	motion is for preliminary and then subsequently final approval.
9	THE COURT: Okay.
10	MR. ROSENBAUM: Assuming we obtain preliminary
11	approval this morning.
12	THE COURT: Let me get right to the point, Mr.
13	Rosenbaum. I know you're presenting this as uncontested, and I
14	know that PNC filed a limited objection reserving their
15	objection for a final hearing. But I think you're inviting
16	error on my part, and you're going to need to respond to it,
17	probably in a brief, but and it's the following.
18	Denney v. Deutsche Bank is controlling Second Circuit
19	law, 443 F.3d 253, on the issue of whether the methodology for
20	judgment reduction can be left to "applicable law", and
21	specifically says it can't. Now, they identify two problems
22	with leaving it to applicable law. One is potential prejudice
23	to the nonsettling defendants. PNC can take care of itself.
24	If they wish to put the issue off until the final hearing, I
25	probably wouldn't have a problem with that.

But in Denney v. Deutsche Bank, at pages 274 and 275, the Second Circuit, in adopting the Fourth Circuit Rule in In re Jiffy Lube 927 F.2d 155, identifies two problems with not identifying the methodology. And it quotes from Jiffy Lube, but it starts by saying, "We are persuaded by the reasoning of the Fourth Circuit in Jiffy Lube." And Jiffy Lube indicates that, in addition to prejudice to nonsettling defendants, it "may deprive the plaintiff class members of information affecting their ability to assess fairly the merits of the settlement."

Then it goes on with a longer quote: "As to plaintiffs" -- this is a quote -- "As to plaintiffs, it is clear that the method of setoff chosen affects the desirability of a proposed partial settlement. For example, plaintiffs bear the risk of a 'bad' settlement under the 'proportionate' rule, while under the 'pro tanto' rule the risk passes to the nonsettling defendants and plaintiffs gain more certainty from the earlier resolution of the setoff figure. Moreover, the 'proportionate' method entails a delay in ascertaining the final amount of setoff which makes it difficult to frame a notice to the class that fairly presents the merits of the proposed settlement. If the 'proportionate' method is used, the notice to plaintiffs should inform them of this shortcoming."

None of that is there. You're inviting error on my

part in preliminarily approving a settlement and approving class action notice to a settlement that provides for judgment reduction to be subject to applicable law. Do you have a response to that?

MR. ROSENBAUM: Your Honor, I don't have a response to that for the reasons that we --

THE COURT: I don't like people inviting error.

MR. ROSENBAUM: I understand, Your Honor. I don't have a response to it. Curtis Mallet, our conflicts counsel, is handling that issue with PNC Bank. Based on Your Honor's comments, I think that we would need, as a group, to reconvene and consider that in terms of what the notice should provide. And the --

THE COURT: Well, it's more than what the notice should provide, because what the Second Circuit in Denney said -- they reversed Judge Scheindlin, who had approved a class action settlement that had had the "applicable law" provision. They said no, you have to specify what the methodology is. So it wasn't at the preliminary approval, but its discussion in quotation from Jiffy Lube about notice to the class to evaluate, people are going to decide whether to opt out of a settlement.

So we'll put this off. You're going to have to go back to the drawing board. If you want to -- if you plan to adhere to the settlement as drafted, then you're going to have

to submit a brief to persuade me why it's appropriate to send
notice to the class that believes this issue that the Second
Circuit should be says needs to be resolved in the
settlement, why it should be put off. Okay?
So the motion is I'll adjourn it, I won't deny it
at this stage. But you know what my reaction to it is.
MR. ROSENBAUM: Your Honor, could we adjourn it to one
of the hearings for next week?
THE COURT: Well, you're going to need you need to
advise me how you're going to deal with it before I'll reset it
on the calendar. If you plan to proceed with the settlement as
drafted and the notice as drafted, I need briefs to support a
preliminary approval of a settlement with a methdology well,
leaving the methodology undefined, as the Second Circuit says
you can't do, in Denney v. Deutsche Bank.
So I'm not going to set it for a hearing until I hear
back from the parties as to how they wish to proceed.
MR. ROSENBAUM: Thank you, Your Honor. Your Honor
THE COURT: What's next on the agenda?
MR. ROSENBAUM: The next matter on the agenda is the
motion for extension of exclusivity
THE COURT: Okay.
MR. ROSENBAUM: and Mr. Goren will be handling
that.
WYT GOVERN Mrs. Govern

THE COURT: Mr. Goren?

Let me just before you go on, Mr. Goren. That's
the only issue I had with giving preliminary approval of the
Kessler class settlement. I want to make it clear. But it's a
big issue.
MR. ECKSTEIN: Your Honor, if I may just I don't
know Kenneth Eckstein on behalf of the creditors' committee.
I don't know whether it will be possible or not, but
it might be useful to at least adjourn this to the end of the
calendar to see whether or not this is a discrete issue, if
there's a way to address it to Your Honor's satisfaction this
morning, at least for purposes of preliminary approval. We
can
THE COURT: We can put it to the end of the calendar.
MR. ECKSTEIN: I think that would be useful, Your
Honor.
THE COURT: I don't know that you're going to
MR. ECKSTEIN: If not, we'll just adjourn it.
THE COURT: Anything other than a settlement agreement
that includes that defines the methodology is going to
satisfy me, but
MR. ECKSTEIN: Understood.
THE COURT: we'll put it at the end of the
calendar.
Go ahead, Mr. Goren.
MR. GOREN: Thank you, Your Honor. Todd Goren,

1 Morrison & Foerster. We filed our motion to extend 2 This, if granted, would be our final motion. exclusivity. Ιt would extend exclusivity to -- plan exclusivity to November 3 14th and solicitation exclusivity until January 14th, the 4 5 maximum extensions permitted by the Code. THE COURT: Anybody wish to be heard? 6 7 Granted. 8 Thank you, Your Honor. MR. GOREN: 9 Your Honor, the next item on the MR. MARINUZZI: 10 agenda is on page 4. I apologize. And this is the series of motions dealing with the professionals involved in the 11 12 foreclosure review. THE COURT: 13 Yes. MR. MARINUZZI: We're just going to continue it on a 14 15 further interim basis to the 24th of September. I believe that 16 forms of the order were submitted to chambers already. THE COURT: That's fine, Mr. Marinuzzi. 17 MR. MARINUZZI: Okay, thank you. 18 19 THE COURT: Thank you. 20 MR. MARINUZZI: Your Honor, that I believe brings us to item number 4 on page 9, and that's the motion of the plan 21 22 proponents seeking entry of an order approving the disclosure

tabulation of votes to accept or reject the plan, approving the

form of ballots, scheduling a confirmation hearing, approving

statement, approving procedures for the solicitation and

23

24

25

confirmation notice, and related relief.

The motion was filed along with the Chapter 11 -joint Chapter 11 plan and disclosure statement on July 3rd.

The filing of those documents followed the Court's approval on
June 26th of the debtors' entry into a plan support agreement
with the creditors' committee, AFI, and some -- a number of
important consenting creditors.

The plan support agreement, in turn, was the product of months of negotiations that Mr. Eckstein, I know, would like to speak to the Court about shortly. The process began in earnest at the end of last year when a mediator was appointed, and under Judge Peck's guidance, it led to the filing of the plan and disclosure statement, and what we hope will be confirmation of a plan in November -- November of 2013.

The framework for the plan is the global settlement.

As I said, Mr. Eckstein's going to address the global settlement with the Court shortly.

As of the objection deadline for the disclosure statement motion, and actually for a couple of days thereafter, we received a total of twenty objections, and six or seven -- seven actually, are reservations of rights. And we'll flip through, hopefully, if Your Honor permits, the objection chart that we prepared. But Your Honor probably noted already that we've been able to resolve ten of the objections. And of the ten that remain, I think at least half of them are objections

that we don't really consider to be objections to the disclosure statement. And even for the remaining ones, we've been able to resolve some discrete issues within the objections themselves.

I'd like to provide the Court with a general overview of the plan. The plan sets up three debtor groups for distribution purposes. You've got the ResCap debtors, which is the parent and the two immediate subs. You've got the GMACM debtors, which consist of GMACM and twenty sub-debtors under the GMACM arm of the corporate chart. And then you have the RFC debtors, which is Residential Funding and twenty-six debtors underneath Residential Funding, or sub-classes, as we refer to them in the plan. And the idea was to capture within those three entities the debtors that naturally rolled up into the main debtor entities in this case.

As required under Section 1129, the plan provides for payment in full of administrative claims and priority claims and full payment of what we term "other secured claims". We'll talk to the JSNs in a minute.

Your Honor, in the disclosure statement -- and there are going to be page references, Your Honor, in my narrative, and also within the chart to pages of the disclosure statement. And those would be page references to the marked disclosure statement that was filed last night. Hopefully, Your Honor has a binder like the one I'm holding up. And if Your Hon --

great.

And so this is the marked version of the plan. And Your Honor, on pages 9 through 15 of the marked plan, it sets out the expected recoveries or projected recoveries in the disclosure statement; and the recoveries for unsecured creditors will vary depending upon whether their claims are against the ResCap debtors, where the estimate is 31.5 to 41.9 percent; the GMACM debtors, where it's currently 26 to 34.7 percent; and the RFC debtors, where we're at 7.8 to 10.3 percent.

The plan will establish various trusts to effectuate the distribution. So we've got the main liquidating trust that will liquidated the debtors' remaining assets, make distributions to creditors in the form of trust units which will be followed up by cash distributions to the units. And the liquidating trust makes those distributions to creditors other than borrowers, the New Jersey Carpenters claims class, and in some fashion to the members of the private securities claims class.

And so the borrowers will have distributions in the form of an actual cash distribution into a borrower trust, which initially will be funded with an amount up to 57.6 million dollars. And there's a true-up concept with respect to that trust, that as we get closer to the effective date of the plan, and we file our plan supplement, we'll have a better

handle on the overall claims within GMACM within RFC, and the idea is to provide to the borrowers of GMACM and the respective RFC, a recovery that's comparable to what other unsecured creditors are getting at those respective debtor entities.

THE COURT: Did you just add another -- ETS, is that --

MR. MARINUZZI: Yes, Your Honor. And I'm going to talk about the changes -- the major changes that we made. But on ETS, what we've done -- and this is a change from the originally filed disclosure statement -- shortly -- we didn't want to have an issue with best interests with respect to any unsecured creditor of ETS. And so when we looked at ETS -- and it's not a big driver of value recovery -- the projected assets at ETS are something like twelve million dollars. And the expected claims at ETS are five million dollars.

So naturally, in a liquidation, it becomes an issue. So what we don't want anybody to complain about with respect to our plan is that somehow the way we've grouped the three debtor groups together is impacting their recovery. So for ETS, we've given them a separate class --

THE COURT: You've carved ETS out?

MR. MARINUZZI: Correct. They've got their own class. Right.

The other trust that's been established is a trust for the beneficiaries of the New Jersey Carpenters class, or the

creditors within that class. And that's 100 million dollars in cash less the costs of noticing out the settlement in the district court. And then we have a third trust which is the private securities claimants trust. That trust is not going to receive cash. That trust will get 235 million-dollars'-worth of distributable units from the liquidating trust.

Now, through this combination of trusts, the plan proponents intend to make distributions of value to creditors. Where is that value coming from? It's coming from cash on hand. It's coming from cash realized from the monetization of the remaining assets, primarily the FHAVA loans. And it's coming principally from the AFI contribution, which really is the centerpiece of the global settlement that Mr. Eckstein will talk about in a minute.

So Your Honor, I think what I'd like to do is I'd cede the podium to Mr. Eckstein for his opening remarks. He'll describe the consensus that was achieved through the global settlement. Then, when he's done, I'd like to describe for the Court the other changes that were made to the plan as it exists today. And we already touched on one of them. Then I'd like to generally describe the requirements for approval of the disclosure statement, and then flip the chart and try and do it in a manner that's not painful to indicate what's been resolved, what hasn't been resolved, and to the extent it's been resolved, how it's been resolved.

With that, I'll turn it over to Mr. Eckstein.

MR. ECKSTEIN: Your Honor, good morning. Kenneth

Eckstein of Kramer Levin on behalf of the official creditors'

committee.

As Mr. Marinuzzi has stated, we are very pleased to be able to present to the Court a largely consensual disclosure statement hearing. We certainly haven't resolved all of the objections. And I anticipate that there are going to be significant issues that Your Honor may raise that are relevant both to disclosure and to the plan structure generally. But the fact of the matter is that we stand here today with a great deal of consensus in this case generally and in terms of moving through this significant milestone in the plan process.

I think the consensus that we see today really is attributed to the fact that the global settlement really had an unprecedented level of breadth that encompassed a wide array of diverse creditors who only a few months ago were poised to embark upon what Your Honor knows was unfettered litigation that would have plunged this case into years of delay, many, many tens of millions of dollars of additional expense, with no clear path toward a resolution.

I'm actually mindful, Your Honor, of an admonition that Mr. Shore and Mr. Uzzi's firms made to the Court and to the parties as recently as the end of April, where they warned all of us that in the absence of a global negotiated resolution

of this case, we were going to be plunged into administrative insolvency. And they warned that a negotiated resolution to this case was necessary in order to avoid an unacceptable alternative for this case. And I think that that is a noteworthy observation which we certainly endorse, and this is certainly a point where we are completely in line with the views that were expressed by the JSNs that a negotiated resolution --

THE COURT: It may be the only time.

MR. ECKSTEIN: Excuse me?

THE COURT: It may be the only time you're completely in agreement.

MR. ECKSTEIN: It may be. But I think it's important to note at this stage of the case that a negotiated resolution of the case is the right path. And I think it is important not to lose sight of that.

Before walking through the specific objections, which Mr. Marinuzzi will do, I think it's useful to take a moment to just put the plan and disclosure statement in context. Your Honor recalls it was less than eighteen months ago when this case was commenced with what was described as essentially a pre-packaged plan, although it had limited consensus among the major creditor groups. The majority of creditors in this case were not supportive of the 750-million-dollar AFI settlement that was proposed. The majority of creditors were not

supportive of the proposed RMBS settlement. There was nothing in the plan to resolve four billion dollars of monoline claims. There was no proposed resolution of billions of dollars of securities claims, over two and a half billion of private securities claims, and thirteen billion dollars of class action securities claims.

The plan didn't have the support of the more than billion dollars of senior unsecured notes. And the proposed plan did not address hundreds of millions of dollars of individual and class action borrower claims.

The plan structure as originally contemplated would have provided de minimis recovery to unsecured creditors, and that could have only been realized after years of difficult intercreditor and interdebtor litigation, with significant expense and delay.

Ultimately, the case moved in a different direction from the initial plan, and the debtor focused on pursuing and closing two significant major asset sales that brought in approximately four and a half billion dollars of proceeds in an unprecedented sale of the mortgage business in the midst of a Chapter 11 case.

There were then four major developments in this case that realigned the direction of the case. First, Your Honor appointed Judge Peck as a mediator to attempt to resolve both estate and third-party claims against Ally, as well as

intercreditor disputes. And we all owe a great deal of gratitude to Judge Peck for the tireless efforts he continues to devote to the case.

Second, the debtors appointed Lewis Kruger as their CRO to help facilitate negotiations between the parties.

Third, the committee, having investigated claims and causes of action on a parallel path with the examiner's investigation, the committee presented a detailed litigation analysis to Ally that became the framework for extensive negotiations with Ally over a potential resolution of extensive estate and third-party claims that existed and that basically hung over this case.

Fourth, the debtors ultimately terminated their pre-petition plan support agreement and focused instead on achieving a global settlement that was in the best interests of and had the support of all of the estates' creditors.

Only after many months of lengthy negotiations and numerous mediation sessions among all parties-in-interest in the case, the debtors, the committee, Ally, and the consenting claimants, reached an agreement on the global compromise and settlement that is embodied in the current plan.

I know the Court is well aware of the details of the global settlement, so I'm going to only recount it briefly.

The centerpiece of the global settlement is a greatly enhanced contribution from Ally, representing 2.1 billion dollars, approximately three times as much as was agreed to at the

beginning of these cases, that is being offered in exchange for broad estate and third-party releases that will resolve not only potential claims that reside in the estate, but litigation that has been pending in both state and federal courts around this country for many years and are being asserted by a wide array of different types of creditors against Ally and its subsidiaries.

In addition, the settlement consensually resolves a myriad of different claims. The RMBS claims, the settlement resolves the allowance, priority and allocation of the RMBS claims without the need for a trial in this court. Unlike the original RMBS settlement, the global settlement resolves allocation issues, resolves the treatment of cure claims, and allocates over 800 million dollars of projected recoveries in a manner that has the support of the RMBS trustees and the RMBS investors.

As Your Honor knows, the committee, from the outset of this case, urged that a resolution of these claims, if possible, should be pursued in the context of a global settlement, and that has been accomplished.

Monoline claims. The settlement resolves the allowance, priority and allocation of the monoline claims, eliminating the intercreditor disputes among the monolines and the RMBS trustees, and resolving disputes concerning subordination of monoline claims, the amount of monoline

claims, and it also resolves longstanding litigation asserted by the various monolines against AFI, pending, as I said, outside of the bankruptcy court.

Both the RMBS claims and the monoline claims represented unprecedented litigation with little for the Court to look to as a basis to resolve the claims. And absent a global settlement, we believe it is unquestionable that these cases would have entailed difficult resolutions in this court and almost certainly appeals throughout the appellate system, taking many years and uncertainty to resolve.

Securities claims. The plan resolves billions of dollars in securities claims against the debtors and Ally and its subsidiaries, including the New Jersey Carpenters class action that was recently certified in the district court.

These are billions of dollars of securities claims. Again, absent a global settlement, very difficult, lengthy resolutions would have been required.

Borrower claims. Your Honor, we recognized early on that borrowers have very substantial claims in this case.

These were generally held by individuals. And it was going to be important in connection with any resolution of this case to ensure that the plan properly and adequately addressed the needs and the interests of borrowers.

Your Honor just a moment ago heard a preliminary proposed settlement of a major borrower class action. The plan

resolves, through a separate trust that provides cash for borrowers, rather than payments over time -- the plan provides for a cash distribution to borrowers, in addition to allowing borrowers to receive their share of 230 million dollars, recently paid by the debtor under the Federal Reserve Board consent decree. The collection of those two distributions, we believe, provides a very robust resolution of borrower claims in the context of this Chapter 11 case.

Senior unsecured notes. The settlement resolves the claims of the senior unsecured notes; calls for a stay of prosecution of Wilmington Trust's pending motion for it to individually pursue claims that Wilmington Trust has, and on behalf of the ResCap Holding Company, against Ally.

The junior secured notes. Although the JSNs, as we know, do not support the settlement, the settlement substantially improves upon the treatment that was originally provided to the JSNs in the plan that was contemplated at the outset of the case, calls for the payment of the JSN claims in full, and provides the JSNs, if we cannot resolve whatever remaining issues are open, with the opportunity to receive post-petition interest, if the Court determines that the JSNs, in fact, are oversecured.

Finally, intercreditor and interdebtor disputes. The settlement resolves numerous complex intercreditor and interdebtor issues in this case, including the threat of

substantive consolidation, the allocation of administrative expenses, interdebtor subrogation and contribution claims, and the treatment of intercompany claims, including over fifteen billion dollars of intercompany claims that were released by the debtors during the years leading up to the filing of this Chapter 11 case. Again, all of these issues, absent a global settlement, would have required painstaking litigation and resolution by this Court and by appellate courts.

Your Honor, I think it's fair to say that no party in this case is fully satisfied with the global settlement. No party is getting everything that they wanted. And every party is forgoing substantial recoveries or litigation rights that they believe could have been achieved as an alternative. And that includes Ally, that has agreed to make a very substantial contribution as an alternative to years of litigation. That is the essential ingredient of a global settlement.

However, the signatories to the plan support agreement and the other parties that have agreed to support the plan since it was entered into, believe that the global settlement reflects a fair and prudent resolution of numerous, complex and uncertain disputes achieved in fewer than eighteen months since the beginning of this case.

A few parties have raised narrow and discrete issues regarding their plan treatment. Only two parties, we believe, have previewed serious or fundamental disagreement with their

treatment under the plan: that being the JSNs and the FHFA.

Ironically, in the case of the JSNs, the plan seeks to pay them in full, and the FHFA has really the unique treatment in this case of really being the only constituency not being subject to the proposed third-party release.

THE COURT: I have some questions about that, but I'll wait until we get to their objection.

MR. ECKSTEIN: Your Honor, we recognized that the plan support agreement needed to deal with the parties that were not on board with the settlement, and we think we have done so fairly, and in fact, generously.

In connection with the JSNs, in addition to paying their pre-petition claims in full and providing an opportunity for them to litigate the entitlement to post-petition interest, we have now made further clarifications at the request of the JSNs, to confirm that the JSNs can, in fact, prosecute the arguments that they want both with respect to their collateral position, including their entitlement to assert a lien against the AFI settlement, and including their argument that they're entitled to adequate protection claims in connection with the proposed resolution of intercompany claims.

We think this is an important clarification, because we believe with these modifications that are being offered in connection with the amended disclosure statement, we eliminate once and for all the suggestion that the plan is being held

hostage to the litigation with the JSNs, and we're hopeful that with these clarifications, once the JSNs have had an opportunity to reflect upon the modifications to the disclosure statement, they will reach the conclusion that they need not object to the global settlement and the plan, and can simply focus the remaining litigation on whether or not, or the extent to which they may or may not be oversecured.

That may be aspirational, but we have made this change in the hope of eliminating what we think was the last remaining dispute concerning the structure of their treatment. And we think it's an important modification that frankly has been made without a negotiation at this point, without a settlement.

The FHFA, for its part, as we say, has been carved out from the third-party release under the plan, and will be free to pursue its claims, if any, against Ally. The FHFA, early on in this case, dropped the debtor from its litigation, reflecting its focus on the fact that it was seeking to enforce its claims against Ally, and that its claims against ResCap certainly were not a priority of the FHFA during this Chapter 11.

THE COURT: But they filed a proof of claim -- proof of claims -- I don't know how many there are --

MR. ECKSTEIN: They did file a proof of claim. They dropped their -- they dropped ResCap from the litigation.

THE COURT: Well, let me ask it now. And this may be

confirmation issue. And let me ask -- the first question is:

am I correct that if at the time of confirmation the Court

concludes that the best interests test is not satisfied as to

any defined class of creditors, that the plan provides that the

distribution to that class can be increased? Is that -- I

thought I read that in a lot of the paper I read about the

disclosure statement.

Here's the reason I'm asking the question. Mr.

Marinuzzi raised -- he talked about the three, and now you've added ETS as a separate bucket. The plan and the disclosure statement describes that the FHFA claim is included as a class in the RFC bucket, and says that they'd get three percent. The plan projects -- Mr. Marinuzzi pointed to this in the black-line at pages 14 and 15 -- the distribution to RFC of 7.8 to unsecured creditors of RFC -- 7.8 to 10.3 percent. The plan provides for three percent to FHFA.

I don't know in a liquidation analysis what, if anything -- and I know the plan reserves the right to argue that their claim is subordinated -- but I guess somebody's got to make me understand how FHFA -- and I understand they're not signing on to the release of AFI -- how that in itself justifies their getting the smaller distribution from the debtors' estate.

MR. ECKSTEIN: Your Honor, that -- it's an important question. It's one that we've given a fair amount of thought

to. And you're right to point out that the separate treatment of the FHFA, which in fact now, as Your Honor will hear, is two percent rather than three percent -- but it's designed to match what the FHFA would be entitled to in a liquidation. And it contemplates a liquidation would not include the AFI settlement. It would be a Chapter 7 liquidation.

THE COURT: So does that mean, in fact, that you're valuing the release to AFI at somewhere between five and eight cents?

MR. ECKSTEIN: The concept, Your Honor, is that the proposed treatment to the FHFA would give them what would be available to them as a creditor of RFC in the absence of a settlement -- in the absence of the global settlement. And they would obviously retain their claims against AFI, which they could pursue for whatever recovery they can obtain in litigation or a settlement.

And the intent is to comply with the best interests requirements in the Bankruptcy Code. To the extent Your Honor is not comfortable that it satisfies the best interests standard, the plan can be modified to accommodate that. But I think the contemplation is that this is designed to be consistent with the best interest test.

THE COURT: It looks to me that you're valuing the release to AFI at somewhere between six and nine cents. We'll come to -- because when we get to the third-party releases,

that's one of the questions I have is what's the -- and I'm not saying you have to for the disclosure statement ascribe a value to the release. But this was the closest that I came to seeing something that actually put a value on it. You're saying if -- I thought it was three percent, and now you're telling me it's changed to two percent -- if FHFA doesn't sign on to the plan and agree to release AFI, they get 2 cents, and if they do sign on, they stand to get 7.8 to 10.3 cents.

MR. ECKSTEIN: That's essentially right, Your Honor.

THE COURT: And that seems to me to be putting a value on the release.

MR. ECKSTEIN: Your Honor, if you would compare what's available to RFC creditors without the AFI settlement, I think you would end up with a number like two cents.

THE COURT: Well --

MR. ECKSTEIN: We can walk through that. But that's essentially --

THE COURT: I just want you to know, I've got questions. And this may not be a question for today. This may be -- but look -- and I know Mr. Marinuzzi or you wanted to talk about the standards for a disclosure statement. I'm pretty quite familiar with the standards for a disclosure statement. And a lot of the responses were, oh, it's a confirmation issue, it's a confirmation issue.

And that's all well and good, but if there are

provisions that are patently unconfirmable, I have the discretion to put a stop to it now. I hate to go down the road of solicitation, voting, only to find -- to get to the result that I know this plan has things that can't be confirmed. I'm not saying it does. Okay? But that's -- I'm approaching it with that question in mind.

There are a number of issues that we'll go through that at least in my mind, raise this question. Are the provision -- unless altered, there are -- let me lay it out now, because this will come up as we go through with some of the objections. The PSA conditioned the supporting claimants' continued support on a plan consistent with the terms of the PSA. The coproponents or the committee and the debtor, but in effect, you've locked yourself in by the provisions of the PSA.

And the PSA, I believe, provides that the confirmation order has to be in form and substance satisfactory to the supporting claimants, and which strikes me as you've got my hands completely tied, because there may be things that we're going to talk about today that are all well and good if you want to put off to confirmation, but if I rule in a way that's unfavorable to something you're seeking and then the supporting claimants say, oh, we terminate, I'm really pretty -- this happened -- I have to tell you Mr. Eckstein, and Mr. Marinuzzi, you can talk to your partner Mr. Miller -- this came up in MF Global, and I made clear at the disclosure statement hearing

that if the proponents -- the coproponents of the plan took the position that nothing was going to be -- this is the plan, it either gets approved or not, I made clear, then you're telling me that I have to decide today whether a number of issues would make this plan unconfirmable.

They backed off. And ultimately it was provided that the confirmation order would control in the event of any inconsistency between the plan and the confirmation order; and I wasn't restricted on these issues as to what I could do in the confirmation order.

But it's a big issue for me, Mr. Eckstein. I don't want to go down -- I've got a trial I haven't scheduled yet for early October. We're going to have a confirmation trial and a contested -- unless you're able to resolve -- contested confirmation trial. There are a whole bunch of significant hurdles, and I don't want to get to the end of that and then I decide that the U.S. Trustee is correct that 1129(a)(4) prevents certain fees from being paid to certain parties, and then I'm told, sorry, Judge, a condition of the PSA and of the continuing support is that the confirmation order has to be in form and in substance satisfactory to the supporting claimants, and they don't support that. So we hate to tell you, but we don't have a plan.

I don't -- we're not going to get to that point, Mr. Eckstein. And I'm not -- I don't want to kind of leave it

hanging in the air and hope that people get reasonable at the end when I reach a ruling on some of these contested issues. Understand that Ally is putting a difficult issue to the Court over the third-party nondebtor releases. I understand that is central to the plan and it may rise or fall on what happens with that. Okay. But there are a whole lot of other issues along the way here that some of these objections have raised. And if what I'm being told is it's that or nothing, it's that or a supporting claimant can say we terminate, it's no go.

MR. ECKSTEIN: Your Honor, I appreciate your observations and you should appreciate that we anticipated these. And I hope Your Honor notices that there are only two proponents of the plan, the debtor and the committee.

THE COURT: I know. But there -- tell me if I'm wrong about this. I read last night that -- and I thought I saw it in the PSA, there's a provision that a confirmation order has to be in form and substance satisfactory to the supporting claimants.

MR. ECKSTEIN: Your Honor, I'm --

THE COURT: That's taking it out of my control and putting it in the hands of the supporting claimants.

MR. ECKSTEIN: Your Honor, I'd like to go back and actually look again at exactly how the documents are structured on that issue, because we were mindful of the fact that we cannot have every party having an absolute veto on every issue,

and that's not what is intended here.

THE COURT: You'll find it. Maybe I misread.

MR. ECKSTEIN: The parties are not anticipating blue penciling -- wholesale blue penciling. But they recognize that the Court needs discretion. Your Honor correctly points out, it's not just the consenting claimants. Ally also has a very significant stake in how the -- both the plan documents and the order is structured. And to that extent --

THE COURT: Yes. That same provision said that Ally had -- it had to be in form and substance satisfactory to Ally. It was a whole list of people that it had to be in form and substance satisfactory to.

MR. ECKSTEIN: But I think we have to read very --

THE COURT: I was left off that, but --

MR. ECKSTEIN: We do need to read very carefully where the consent rights rise and fall, because not every party has consent over every issue, and I don't want Your Honor to believe --

THE COURT: So at some point today, people better point it out --

MR. ECKSTEIN: Let us come back and address that. But I understand Your Honor's point. And I think that the intent of the parties is consistent with what Your Honor's goal is, that there is -- there needs to be reasonable flexibility as we go through issues, not to have light switch problems. At the

same time I don't want to --

THE COURT: I don't want to put myself through an ordeal, which it's going to be over the next couple of months -- only to find out that because I've ruled a certain way on some issues that --

MR. ECKSTEIN: Look, Your Honor raised a moment ago -THE COURT: -- it's a do over, call it off.

MR. ECKSTEIN: Your Honor raised an important issue a moment ago, in connection with the Kessler settlement that we're going to get to in connection with the plan, and that is the judgment reduction provision. We have judgment reduction provision that is in the plan and is relevant to a variety of settlements, both the private securities claims, the NCUAB settlement. There are other securities settlements where judgment reduction provisions are important, where you have interests of defendants and plaintiffs that are not necessarily always in synch. And there are -- I would --

THE COURT: The Denney case that I talked about, doesn't say which methodology has to be used, but you have to say what the methodology is.

MR. ECKSTEIN: The plan right now has a proposed structure. Generally, I would endorse the view that the earlier we can get clarity on some of these issues, I think for the sake of all parties, the better. We've tried as best we can to structure it that way. I'll let Mr. Marinuzzi walk

through the points. I think my --

THE COURT: I didn't want to divert us, but I wanted to make it clear right away, it's an overriding concern in my mind as we go through this. All well and good. There -- yes, there are a lot of confirmation issues that don't have to be decided now. But on some of these, okay, I'm mindful of the fact that there are -- I'm going to raise another one right now, okay? The scope of the exculpation provision that has been included. Get it out right now. Okay?

It first off, as drafted, covers pre-petition and post-petition conduct. And it extends to non-estate fiduciaries. I have an open mind about considering the law, but it is not particularly favorable for exculpation of non-estate fiduciaries. And if what I'm going to be told is that that's an absolute condition of a plan; nobody's going to leave it to your -- if you decide against it, Judge, there's no plan, I want to know that now. Okay? Because I'm not deciding the issue.

I'm happy to put that issue off like a lot of other issues, for confirmation. But I don't want somebody holding a gun to my head that says, Judge, you either approve that provision or we go back to square one. Okay? That's -- there are a whole host of issues like -- I pointed to a few of them, that -- I'm not saying there aren't good arguments that can be made for broad exculpation beyond just estate fiduciaries. But

there's a lot of case law -- or there's some case law -- the

Second Circuit hasn't ruled on it -- there's some case law from

other circuits that does not go your way.

And I think, yes, there could be good arguments. And

it would be better decided on a full record, not today. But do

I want to get to the -- where I know there's a serious issue in

a case, do I want to get through everything that has to happen

over the next couple of months, only to find out that I either

approve it or this all is for naught? I don't want that.

MR. ECKSTEIN: And we'll get to the exculpation issues, which again, I think we're sensitive to. We understand the issue. And to the extent --

THE COURT: We'll come --

MR. ECKSTEIN: -- it's worth --

THE COURT: -- I've got a list of --

MR. ECKSTEIN: -- speaking about more fully, I'm sure we'll have an opportunity to do so.

THE COURT: Okay. All right.

MR. ECKSTEIN: I'll let Mr. Marinuzzi --

THE COURT: Okay, thank you.

MR. ECKSTEIN: -- tackle it first.

MR. MARINUZZI: Your Honor, all the issues the Court highlighted, certainly I'm hoping everybody sitting behind me was taking copious notes, understanding --

THE COURT: I'm sure they were.

MR. MARINUZZI: -- that this is going to be an issue for confirmation, and they need to recognize that it may not all fall the way they want it to fall at the end of the day. I don't think anybody sitting up here wants the Court or the Court's staff or certainly anybody here, to be working to try to get confirmation of this plan in November, to find out that there's a particular issue that somebody has a concern over that they should have known today might actually not be approved in the plan.

So I think it's our job to inform the parties to the PSA that Your Honor raised issues, and people need to be prepared for the outcome that might not be exactly as it's set forth in the plan support agreement. I think that's the responsible thing for us to do, and we'll do that.

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. MARINUZZI: Your Honor, before I sat down --

THE COURT: All right. If you're on the phone, you need to either put your -- you need to put your phone on mute.

We're hearing rustling of paper and things like that. So --

All right. Go ahead, Mr. Marinuzzi.

MR. MARINUZZI: Before I sat down we talked about one change to the plan, and that's a change from the version that was filed on July 3rd, and that was the separate classification for ETS. Mr. Eckstein noted a couple of other ones, but let me just go through them.

Your Honor, AFI has agreed to carve out from the third-party release, Fannie Mae with respect to a particular agreement. Apparently there was a pre-petition agreement with Fannie Mae, and AFI has decided that they're not going to use the carve-out to try to get away from whatever obligations they have under that agreement. And so that's found in the marked plan on page 100.

The plan has also been modified to -- the judgment reduction provision in the plan, it was there when we filed it, but it's been modified. We obviously have some homework to do to see how the Second Circuit's decision would apply to the judgment reduction provision in the plan, recognizing that the plan provides -- or won't provide for third-party releases. And there are codefendants and plaintiffs that are suing some of the beneficiaries of the third-party releases in courts other than this bankruptcy court.

And so a judgment reduction provision that might be available to a nonsettling defendant in Minnesota, might not be the same one that's set forth in New Jersey, for example. And what we tried to accomplish with the language was to say your litigation in another court outside of bankruptcy, whatever judgment reduction provisions are available to the judge who's presiding over the litigation, they're fully reserved. So plaintiffs aren't prejudiced. Defendants aren't prejudiced.

Whatever state you're in, that's what you're subject

1 And that's what we tried to capture in the judgment reduction provision in the plan. We'll look at that case to 2 3 see how it applies. THE COURT: Yes. I'm not sure that it's going to 4 5 be -- that Denney, which dealt with a specific class action, is going to be controlling or even persuasive on a plan provision 6 7 on judgment reduction. I mean, one of the -- but you'll look 8 at it. 9 I think that's right. MR. MARINUZZI: And to think --10 THE COURT: I don't mean to suggest --MR. MARINUZZI: -- there's a lot --11 12 THE COURT: -- that it does. MR. MARINUZZI: -- right. 13 Okay. THE COURT: It does as to the specific class action. 14 15 Right. MR. MARINUZZI: Right. 16 Your Honor, another change that's been made to the 17 plan is the -- sorry, Your Honor. We already covered ETS. We've also changed --18 THE COURT: Let me just say --19 MR. MARINUZZI: 20 Sure. THE COURT: -- and I didn't go back to look. 21 you've made that change in the plan on judgment reductions, you 22 23 need to include language -- I think you should include language in the disclosure statement that explains that in different 24

jurisdictions there may be different judgment reduction

25

1	provisions that apply. It may have a diff different
2	consequences that flow from it. There are at least if
3	you've changed it along and I didn't I must say, I didn't
4	pick up that language change. You probably need some
5	corresponding language for a disclosure statement, that at
6	least flags it. And what the what that may mean.
7	MR. MARINUZZI: Okay, Your Honor. It's so it's
8	THE COURT: I'm not writing the language for you,
9	but
10	MR. MARINUZZI: No, no.
11	THE COURT: since you've raised it as a plan
12	change.
13	MR. MARINUZZI: We've modified the plan, we've
14	modified the disclosure statement and added additional
15	disclosure, and that disclosure is found on page 156
16	THE COURT: This is of the blackline?
17	MR. MARINUZZI: of the blackline of the disclosure
18	statement.
19	THE COURT: Okay. Let me read it.
20	MR. MARINUZZI: Sure.
21	THE COURT: Top of the page?
22	MR. MARINUZZI: Top of the page.
23	(Pause)
24	THE COURT: Okay. I see what you yeah, and I'll
25	reflect on it, but that may be enough for this purpose, because

you're not -- this is not purporting to set the rules.

MR. MARINUZZI: Correct.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

THE COURT: Those cases you'll have to --

MR. MARINUZZI: Correct.

THE COURT: -- do it.

Your Honor, as Mr. Eckstein noted, the MR. MARINUZZI: plan has modified the treatment of the FHFA from subordination or three percent to subordination or two percent. And we recognize that's an issue that we have to think about, and I think Mr. Eckstein's point is that we're looking not necessarily at what other creditors are recovering, but whether payment of two percent satisfies the best interests test. the reason it dropped from three to two is because in the revised liquidation analysis for RFC the liquidation recoveries dropped, so we were trying to fall within that range. Obviously we recognize we have a burden at confirmation to make sure we satisfy the Court with the treatment of the FHFA on best interests test, on fair discrimination. But I wanted to explain to the Court the reason for that drop from three percent to two percent.

We've also agreed to modify the plan and disclosure statement for the FHFA to state affirmatively that our determination to subordinate the FHFA's claim will be communicated either through a separate adversary proceeding or by listing them in --

THE COURT: I saw --

MR. MARINUZZI: -- the claims.

THE COURT: I did look at that last night.

MR. MARINUZZI: Okay. Another change to the plan,

Your Honor -- this is for the Monoline settlements. We already
had settlements with MBIA and FGIC. Now there are settlements
with Ambac and Assured that are getting allowed claims under
the plan against the GMAC debtors and the RFC debtors.

And finally, Your Honor, there's been a modification to the borrower trust funding mechanic. And when I said up to 57.6 million, the reason it's up to is because we are currently in the process of trying to satisfy and expunge and settle borrower claims that have been asserted against the debtors, class action and individual borrower claims, and sometimes the settlement that's negotiated is an outright cash payment that satisfies, arguably, administrative and pre-petition claims. And so we want to be encouraged to try to settle as many as we can. If it's the payment of cash, then the amount that's funded into the trust should reflect the fact that some borrower claims, that would otherwise be taking away from trust assets, have been satisfied and the claims withdrawn.

THE COURT: The -- Mr. Rosenbaum stepped out to deal with what I raised earlier, but it raised the question in my mind, the distributions to Kessler class members comes out of the borrower trust; is that right?

1 MR. MARINUZZI: It does. It does, Your Honor. THE COURT: And the settlement allows a claim of, 2 what, 330 million dollars? 3 4 MR. MARINUZZI: Correct. 5 THE COURT: What's the estimated total amount of 6 claims against the borrower trust? 7 MR. MARINUZZI: Your Honor, I'll have to find it in 8 the disclosure statement, but we factored the amount that the 9 Kessler claim we anticipated would be the allowed amount of the claim, or at least had it earmarked, and factored that into the 10 analysis, adding up the estimates for other borrower claims 11 that we anticipated we'd get to at the end of the day to make 12 sure the numbers fit. I don't have the exact number, but it 13 wasn't -- the agreement with the Kessler plaintiffs doesn't 14 15 just change the math on where we started. And I guess one question I had, when I 16 THE COURT: 17 thought about it, is you essentially -- other than getting 18 maybe some more votes, you've basically assured a vote of that in favor by including -- does the 330 million dollar 19 agreed allowed claim of the Kessler class totally overwhelm all 20 other claims in the borrower class such that, assuming you get 21 22 the requisite number of votes, you're basically guaranteed of 23 getting a vote of the Kessler class in support of the plan? MR. MARINUZZI: Your Honor --24

If so, do I have any reason to think the

THE COURT:

25

330 million dollar allowed claim is reasonable?

MR. MARINUZZI: Your Honor, as Mr. Goren points out, there are billions of claims asserted from borrowers that would get to vote, and under the process that's set forth in the order approving the disclosure statement, unless we take the affirmative action of objecting to those claims, as it stands today, even with the 300-plus million dollar claim of the Kessler claimants, they're not going to be enough to carry the class.

THE COURT: Okay. All right. That was just -- MR. MARINUZZI: It's an appropriate observation.

THE COURT: I mean, I read the settlement papers, and I saw that you were allowing a 330 million dollar claim. The total amount distributable to everybody in that class of creditors, not the class -- the Kessler class is the fifty-seven -- well, that's the base amount.

MR. MARINUZZI: The base amount plus a true-up.

THE COURT: Right. So --

MR. MARINUZZI: Again, Your Honor, at confirmation we're going to have to demonstrate to the Court that the treatment of the borrowers paid to the borrower trust with the funding that's the 57.6, plus or minus, plus the true-up, satisfies the Court that the borrowers are not suffering unfair discrimination. That's our burden; we understand that.

THE COURT: All right. Go ahead.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. MARINUZZI: Your Honor, so those are the plan changes. On the disclosure statement, I know Your Honor's familiar with the 1125 standard, reasonable information to allow an investor to make an informed decision. We think the disclosure statement, as filed, and especially as amended, provides more than adequate information, provides information on the debtors' business operations, the events leading to the Chapter 11 case, the asset sales, the debtors' post-petition activities, the mediation process, the appointment of Mr. Kruger, who is sitting to my right, the global settlement, which Mr. Eckstein just spoke about, the components and compromises -- the components of the compromises embodied in the plan, the anticipated recoveries under the plan, tax consequences of distributions under the plan, and the mechanics for making the distributions. And so we think there's adequate information for a creditor to an informed judgment about whether to support or reject the plan. So Your Honor, what I'd like to do is flip through the chart --THE COURT: Here's what I would like you to do. like you to go through the chart, and then I'd like to hear -you know, deal with an objection, if anybody else wants to be heard on it. I want to, sort of --MR. MARINUZZI: Understood. THE COURT: -- rather than hear you on all of them --

RESIDENTIAL CAPITAL, LLC, ET AL.

		58
1	MR. MARINUZZI: No, no.	
2	THE COURT: I want to hear them, sort of, one by	
3	one, let's hear, and I'll rule.	
4	MR. MARINUZZI: I'm just going to suggest	
5	THE COURT: Okay?	
6	MR. MARINUZZI: that as I got through each	
7	objection	
8	THE COURT: Fine.	
9	MR. MARINUZZI: to give a party an opportunity to	
10	respond.	
11	THE COURT: Okay.	
12	MR. MARINUZZI: So Your Honor, the first objection,	
13	and we did this in order we broke it down objection,	
14	reservation of rights	
15	THE COURT: Yes.	
16	MR. MARINUZZI: is in the back, and it's done by	
17	filing order, docket order.	
18	And so the first one is the objection filed by the	
19	Nassau County Treasurer. We've resolved that.	
20	MR. MARINUZZI: Yeah, anybody else want to be heard	
21	with respect to the Nassau County Treasurer?	
22	All right. I'm satisfied with your resolution.	
23	MR. MARINUZZI: Thank you. Your Honor, the next one	
24	is docket number 4388. This is the objection of Wendy Alison	
25	Nora. We were unable to resolve her objection, but we did mak	=

material inserts or modifications to the disclosure statement to provide more detail on the borrower trust payments.

THE COURT: I saw the changes. Is Ms. Nora present or on the phone? Ms. Nora, are you on the telephone?

All right. The Court has reviewed the objections to the disclosure statement by Wendy Alison Nora. It's ECF docket 4388. The Court has also looked at the modification to the disclosure statement that the debtors have made. The objection's overruled.

MR. MARINUZZI: Thank you, Your Honor. The next objection is the objection of the San Bernardino taxing authority. We were unable to resolve that objection, but we have provided more specificity and language regarding the treatment of property tax claims and that tax liens remain.

THE COURT: Is counsel for San Bernardino taxing authority present in court or on telephone?

MS. ROMERO: On telephone, Your Honor. This is Ms.
Romero, Martha Romero, representing the San Bernardino County,
California, and it's really early out here.

THE COURT: Thank you. I'll hear your argument now.

MS. ROMERO: Okay. Well, Your Honor, we filed an objection primarily because the only section that we could find our claims to be addressed in was the priority claim section, and we are not a priority claim. And so we had numerous conversations with counsel with regard that we're not a

priority claim; we are a 506(b) claim.

And so then late yesterday they assured me too that we would be covered under a class called "other secured claims".

But "other secured claims" doesn't mention at all any, really specific treatment or unspecific treatment for tax claims. And so we provided some language with respect to the payment of tax claims, and it was at a late hour so we haven't been able to resolve that issue.

But if we were included in the "other secured claims" section, I told them -- or I stated that the language was sort of insufficient in that it doesn't mention that it also includes tax claims. But it doesn't also mention anything about retaining our tax liens. It doesn't mention the interest rate. It doesn't mention that the claim has to be paid with all applicable costs, fees, and charges, as required under 506(b).

In addition to that, it doesn't mention any -- the reply brief says that some of our properties will be dealt with in the liquidating trust and may be liquidated and sold, and there's no language that even addresses that at all, about how they will be paid, if they are liquidated and sold.

And so that's why we filed our objection. The "other secured claims", the only place that I found it -- I only got the blackline revision of the disclosure statement, and that's on page 18. But it's a pretty general paragraph about other

RESIDENTIAL CAPITAL, LLC, ET AL.

		61
1	secured claims.	
2	THE COURT: Mr. Marinuzzi, do you want to respond?	
3	MR. MARINUZZI: Sure, Your Honor.	
4	THE COURT: I see counsel's point. The language	
5	you've added is dealing with 503, not 506.	
6	MR. MARINUZZI: Your Honor, the definition of "other	
7	secured claims" is any it's very broad.	
8	THE COURT: Where is it?	
9	MR. MARINUZZI: It's	
10	THE COURT: What page?	
11	MR. MARINUZZI: It's in the plan, page 22. "Other	
12	secured claim" means any secured claim, other than a junior	
13	secured notes claim.	
14	THE COURT: But where in the disclosure statement do	
15	you deal with this point?	
16	MR. MARINUZZI: Your Honor, there is additional	
17	language on page 121 and 123	
18	THE COURT: Of the blackline?	
19	MR. MARINUZZI: of the blackline. And the priorit	Y
20	tax session is on page 123.	
21	THE COURT: Right.	
22	MR. MARINUZZI: And what we've done is we've referred	
23	to the statute requirements of 1129(a)(9)(C) and Section 511,	
24	which sets forth the payment of interest. And what we tried t	5
25	do, and also reinstate	

THE COURT: But I think counsel's point is that this is -- they say they have a 506 claim, not a 503 claim. So on page 123, you're dealing with priority tax claims, which isn't necessarily the secured tax claims, that it wouldn't -- did you get language from --

MR. MARINUZZI: We did, Your Honor --

THE COURT: -- counsel?

MR. MARINUZZI: -- we got language last night, and the way I read that language suggested that we are required to pay the secured tax claims upon transfer to the trust, simply because the way most tax lien statutes work is on January 1st of the calendar year there exists, automatically, by statute, a perfected secured claim for the value of the property or the value of the taxes to be assessed for the entirety of the year.

THE COURT: Yes.

MR. MARINUZZI: And so if there's an issue that taxes aren't paid, that's one issue, but if there's an issue that the taxes are being paid and this is a real property that's going to be assigned to the trust, the language, as we read it, said we would have to cut a check to the taxing authorities for taxes that weren't past due simply because they were secured claims under state statutes.

THE COURT: Let me see if I can separate this out.

I'm sensitive to the point about whether the disclosure

statement -- and it may be that there's a plan change that

needs to be made for it if it needs -- first off, in other cases I've ruled that the interest rate does not have to be included because you've got property all over the country, there are different tax statute -- you know, different tax rates, different interest rates that apply. So identifying the applicable interest rate for specific properties is not required in a disclosure statement. But I am sensitive to the fact that you're dealing with priority tax claims, but this could be a secured tax claim which doesn't fit within 503. And I think --

MR. MARINUZZI: Okay, Your Honor, but I don't see anything in the plan that doesn't maintain whatever rights the taxing authority has under 506 to assert their claim and any other charges that 506 allows them to claim.

THE COURT: So where is the section in the disclosure statement that deals with secured tax claims?

MR. MARINUZZI: Your Honor, in the disclosure statement on page 123, we've added a sentence that says, "To the extent a holder of an allowed priority tax claim holds a valid lien", which we've defined as a tax lien, "for outstanding and unpaid real property taxes against property of the debtors or the liquidating trust, as applicable, any liens imposed on account of such claim shall remain unimpaired until such allowed priority tax claim is paid in full." The idea was we don't want to affect their lien --

1 THE COURT: Do you have a section of the disclosure 2 statement or the plan --MR. MARINUZZI: Yeah, it's in the --3 THE COURT: -- that deals with secured --4 5 MR. MARINUZZI: I'm reading from -- I'm sorry. THE COURT: -- that deals with secured tax claims. 6 7 MR. MARINUZZI: Not a specific section that deals with 8 secured tax claims, unless Mr. Goren found something. 9 So what I'm told is the definition of "priority Okay. 10 tax claim" under the plan itself is broad enough to encompass the tax claim that we're discussing. 11 12 MS. ROMERO: Your Honor, and that is just not specific enough for us, because it just says "priority tax claim" files 13 under 507(a)(8) or 502(i); it doesn't mention 506. 14 15 And in addition to what I've previously said, there's also no treatment for the tax claims under administrative 16 claims. And I proffered them language with respect that 17 18 usually in big plans I have seen where they have added language, so I offered some language that said that for the 19 administrative tax claims, which I find on 118 of the 20 blackline, is -- well, we just included a phrase that said this 21 also includes secured tax claims, because it doesn't -- again, 22 23 it's not specific; it just says any and all tax claims that aren't professional fees. 24 25 And then they give a different way to pay them, three

different ways to pay them. But none of the language in the administrative tax claims says that the ongoing taxes, which would be for the 2000 -- at this point, we're only dealing with the 2013-2014 year, which lien attached on January 1, will be paid in the normal and ordinary course of business, you know, timely.

And I'm not looking for a specific interest rate, Your Honor, but I am asking that they at least refer to 511 of the Bankruptcy Code, which was added in the reformat. And they did do some of that language in the priority tax claims, but again, my whole objection has to do with there's not a specific section that deals with secured claims or secured tax claims, and the fact that the section that does deal with other secured claims doesn't even reference any payment or any type of language for a specific claim.

THE COURT: I'm looking for something else, Mr. Marinuzzi. Bear with me.

MR. MARINUZZI: Of course.

MS. ROMERO: Oh, and one more thing, Your Honor, the tax claim --

THE COURT: Can you just stop for a second? I'm looking for something.

MS. ROMERO: I'm sorry.

THE COURT: Stop.

MS. ROMERO: I'm sorry, Your Honor.

RESIDENTIAL CAPITAL, LLC, ET AL.

	66
1	(Pause)
2	MS. ROMERO: Hello?
3	THE COURT: Yes, I'm still looking for something. If
4	you're going to be on the phone, you'll wait until I talk to
5	you, okay?
6	MS. ROMERO: Oh, Your Honor, I'm sorry. I didn't know
7	I was talking to the Court.
8	(Pause)
9	THE COURT: Mr. Marinuzzi, where is the definition of
10	allowed priority tax claims?
11	MR. MARINUZZI: It's not it's the
12	THE COURT: It's in the
13	MR. MARINUZZI: paragraph I'm sorry, page 24,
14	paragraph 212 defines priority tax claim, and it says I'm
15	looking at the blackline. It's page 30 of 121, if you're
16	reading at the top.
17	THE COURT: Hold on. Are you looking at the plan or
18	the disclosure statement?
19	MR. MARINUZZI: I'm looking at the plan. It's the
20	defined
21	THE COURT: Hold on.
22	MR. MARINUZZI: "priority tax claim".
23	THE COURT: Let me find it in the plan. That
24	definition, I'm looking at paragraph 212 on page 30 of 121,
25	priority tax claim; it doesn't include 506 claims.

RESIDENTIAL CAPITAL, LLC, ET AL.

67

1 MR. MARINUZZI: It will now, Your Honor. We'll add 2 506 --3 THE COURT: Well --MR. MARINUZZI: -- (a) or (b). We'll address it. 4 We 5 don't have a problem adding that. 6 THE COURT: I think what we're doing is we're mixing 7 admin and secured claims. And the language that was proposed 8 yesterday, for example, was with respect to secured real 9 property tax claims included in this section, "It shall be made in the ordinary course of business with all applicable 10 costs, fees, charges, and interest in accordance with 506(b) 11 12 and 511." MR. MARINUZZI: We're happy to provide, as part of the 13 priority tax claim definition, 506(a) and (b), which really 14 15 deals with secured tax claims, if that satisfies the taxing authority. As far as the definition of "other secured claims", 16 17 it's broad enough, and it's broad enough to encompass secured 18 tax claims. And the paragraph that the taxing authority would have 19 us include, what it effectively does is it says, here's how you 20 pay secured claims, and then there are secured tax claims, and 21 22 you're going to pay them the same exact way, because the 23 treatment that they're describing is in fact the treatment that is applicable to other secured claims. So I'm not sure we're 24

adding anything by -- you know, other than comforting the

25

taxing authority, by specifying that this treatment also applies to secured tax claims.

THE COURT: Are they impaired?

MR. MARINUZZI: No, not -- on their secured claim, no.

They're going to get paid in full on their administrative

claim.

THE COURT: On the effective date, they're going to be paid in full?

MR. MARINUZZI: On, or as soon as reasonably practical thereafter, they'll get paid in full.

Now, on the secured claim, Your Honor, and this is the issue that I raised earlier, the language that the taxing authority was proposing says that if the real property is subject to liquidation, then the claim "shall be paid in full, including all applicable costs, fees, charges", et cetera, under 506(b) and 511. That's fine, but if we own a property that's subject to foreclosure, we own the property Ocwen is foreclosing, what does that mean? Does that mean that on the effective date, even though nothing's happened, we have to pay the claim?

So rather than add more language that we thought was just going to potentially lead us into problems that nobody is envisioning, we thought the treatment -- and we'll add this 506(a) and (b) in the definition of priority tax claim --

THE COURT: Okay.

RESIDENTIAL CAPITAL, LLC, ET AL.

1		
		69
1	MR. MARINUZZI: gave the taxing authority	
2	THE COURT: All right. I've heard enough.	
3	MR. MARINUZZI: the comfort they needed.	
4	THE COURT: With the change that the co-proponents	
5	have agreed to make, the objection is overruled.	
6	MR. MARINUZZI: Thank you, Your Honor.	
7	MS. ROMERO: Your Honor, may I make one more comment?	
8	THE COURT: No, I have ruled. Once I rule, I don't	
9	listen to any further argument.	
10	Go ahead, Mr. Marinuzzi.	
11	MR. MARINUZZI: Your Honor, the next objection	
12	MS. ROMERO: Your Honor, may I be excused and may I	
13	get the	
14	THE COURT: Absolutely.	
15	MS. ROMERO: changes for the language?	
16	THE COURT: You can be excused. Thank you.	
17	MS. ROMERO: And may I get the changes for the	
18	language?	
19	THE COURT: We'll send you an e-mail that tells you	
20	what we've changed.	
21	MS. ROMERO: Okay. Thank you.	
22	THE COURT: Thank you.	
23	MR. MARINUZZI: Your Honor, the next objection is the	
24	objection filed by Sidney and Yvonne Lewis. Our position on	
25	this is that it's not really a disclosure statement objection.	

1 THE COURT: All right. Are either of the Lewises 2 present in court or on the telephone? Objection is overruled. 3 MR. MARINUZZI: Your Honor, the next objection is 4 5 filed by the NCUAB -- I'm sorry -- yes, the National Credit Union Administration Board, that objection's been resolved. 6 7 THE COURT: Let me just -- give me one second, okay? 8 I need to make a note here. All right. Let me just read my 9 notes with respect to -- all right, that's satisfactory. 10 MR. MARINUZZI: Their objection is resolved? THE COURT: Yeah, I see that. 11 12 MR. MARINUZZI: Okay. THE COURT: You resolved it with them, but I still 13 looked at it, so when I -- you'll go through them, I know 14 15 you've resolved most of them, and I don't know that I have 16 questions, but --17 MR. MARINUZZI: Your Honor, I'm happy to go into --THE COURT: Okay. Go ahead, Mr. Marinuzzi. 18 MR. MARINUZZI: -- as much detail or as little 19 detail --20 Go ahead. 21 THE COURT: 22 MR. MARINUZZI: So the NCUAB --23 THE COURT: I don't want to prolong this. Let's go. 24 MR. MARINUZZI: Okay. So can I go on to the next 25 objection?

RESIDENTIAL CAPITAL, LLC, ET AL.

	71
1	THE COURT: Yes.
2	MR. MARINUZZI: Okay. The next objection was filed by
3	Freddie Mac. That's also been resolved.
4	THE COURT: Does anybody from Freddie Mac want to be
5	heard? Mr. Carney?
6	MR. CARNEY: Yes, Your Honor.
7	THE COURT: Come on up to the microphone so we get a
8	clear record.
9	MR. CARNEY: Michael Carney from McKool Smith for
10	Freddie Mac.
11	Our objection to the disclosure statement has been
12	resolved, and we obviously reserve all of our rights to object
13	to the plan.
14	THE COURT: All right. That's satisfactory, Mr.
15	Marinuzzi.
16	MR. MARINUZZI: Your Honor, the next objection is an
17	objection filed by Impac Funding Corporation and Impac Mortgage
18	Holding, Inc. We were unable to resolve this objection. Our
19	position on this is that, one, it's moot, because we filed on
20	Monday, and it's docket number
21	THE COURT: You filed a motion to assume
22	MR. MARINUZZI: To assume right.
23	THE COURT: the contract. Let me hear from counsel
24	for Impac.
25	MR. KAUFMAN: Good morning, Your Honor. Alan Kaufman,

McKenna Long & Aldridge, on behalf of Impac.

We don't believe that the motion moots the objection because, essentially, as long as debtors have the right to make a decision about whether to assign and assume after the confirmation date, we believe that it's contrary to the Code and well established Supreme Court and Second Circuit precedent. And in --

THE COURT: Have you noticed the motion, Mr. Marinuzzi? Have you set a hearing date?

MR. MARINUZZI: It's noticed for September 11th, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. KAUFMAN: Yes, Your Honor. But even in the motion itself, they specifically say -- and I'm happy to quote, if you like, Your Honor, but they specifically say they reserve the right to reject the contract afterwards, if they don't like how the cure proceeding comes out. And we believe that that's just not permissible, because that will likely occur after the confirmation date. And they have to make the decision about whether to -- irrevocably make the decision of whether to --

THE COURT: Well, you'll be able -- they filed a motion to assume. You will be able to file an objection, if that's what you choose to do. If you believe the terms on which they propose to assume are not permissible, as a matter of law, I will hear that on your motion -- you know, on the

objection to the motion to assume. So for the disclosure 1 2 statement purposes, the objection's overruled. 3 MR. KAUFMAN: As long as we can reserve our rights 4 to --5 THE COURT: You can do what you want. I mean, I --Thank you, Your Honor. 6 MR. KAUFMAN: 7 THE COURT: When I say you can do what you want, I'll 8 rule as appropriate, when it comes before me, but they've --9 you complained that they hadn't -- they were waiting too long 10 to assume or reject. They've filed the motion, it's scheduled for a hearing; you'll have an opportunity to file an 11 12 opposition. Try and first work it out with them, but I'll hear it when the motion comes on. 13 14 MR. KAUFMAN: Happy to, Your Honor. I don't --15 THE COURT: Okay. Next matter. MR. MARINUZZI: Your Honor, the next objection was 16 17 filed by the PBGC. That's been resolved. THE COURT: All right. Anybody from PBGC want to be 18 heard? 19 MR. MURRELL: Good morning, Your Honor. Vicente 20 Matias Murrell on behalf of the Pension Benefit Guaranty 21 22 Corporation. 23 Your Honor, the objection PBGC filed is resolved, and debtors said the language will be in the disclosure statement 24 25 and we expect it to be in the confirmation order as well.

74

	/ -
1	Thank you, Your Honor.
2	THE COURT: Thank you very much. All right, that's
3	satisfactory, Mr. Marinuzzi. Go on.
4	MR. MARINUZZI: Thank you, Your Honor. The next
5	objection was filed by the FHFA, and I'm happy to report that
6	the disclosure statement objection has been resolved.
7	THE COURT: All right. Anybody from FHFA wish to be
8	heard?
9	Okay.
10	MR. MARINUZZI: Your Honor, that brings us to
11	THE COURT: Well, hold on. Let me
12	MR. MARINUZZI: I'm sorry.
13	THE COURT: Let me just see. I raised my questions
14	earlier with respect to FHFA. I expect there's going to be a
15	confirmation issue. I raised one question about best
16	interests. Let me stop there. It's satisfactory for the
17	disclosure statement.
18	MR. MARINUZZI: Thank you, Your Honor. That brings us
19	to Paul Papas. We don't believe this is an objection to the
20	disclosure statement.
21	THE COURT: Is Mr. Papas present in court or on the
22	telephone?
23	Overruled.
24	MR. MARINUZZI: Your Honor, the next objection was
25	filed by RESPA plaintiffs. We believe that's been resolved.

	75
1	Am I correct?
2	THE COURT: Still showing as unresolved on my chart,
3	but
4	MR. MARINUZZI: Sorry.
5	THE COURT: Mr. Etkin?
6	MR. ETKIN: Yes, Your Honor, good morning. Michael
7	Etkin, Lowenstein Sandler.
8	The disclosure objection has been resolved through the
9	additional disclosure.
10	I would note that we would probably like a little more
11	time than ten days prior to the voting deadline to receive the
12	borrower claimant's trust agreement, considering its
13	significance in the case, but I'll leave that to Your Honor.
14	THE COURT: Mr. Marinuzzi? I'm not sure what that
15	means, Mr. Etkin, but
16	MR. ETKIN: Oh
17	THE COURT: No, I understand what it means, but I
18	MR. ETKIN: Oh, I understand. I understand your
19	point, Your Honor. I want to just make the point, Your Honor,
20	but I don't want to hold up the hearing
21	THE COURT: Okay.
22	MR. ETKIN: with respect to that issue.
23	THE COURT: All right.
24	MR. ETKIN: That's all.
25	THE COURT: Mr. Marinuzzi?

	76
1	MR. MARINUZZI: Your Honor, we will, collectively, do
2	whatever we can to get copies of the draft
3	THE COURT: Mr. Etkin, before you go away, has Judge
4	Baer set a hearing?
5	MR. ETKIN: Your Honor, we have a final hearing on
6	October 7th. A preliminary approval order has been entered.
7	THE COURT: That I saw.
8	MR. ETKIN: Right.
9	THE COURT: I saw that. So October 7th is the final
10	hearing?
11	MR. ETKIN: October 7th.
12	THE COURT: Thank you very much, Mr. Etkin.
13	MR. ETKIN: Thank you, Your Honor.
14	MR. MARINUZZI: Your Honor, we'll do what we can to
15	share drafts of the documents with Mr. Etkin.
16	THE COURT: Okay.
17	MR. MARINUZZI: We want to make him comfortable before
18	we file them.
19	THE COURT: That's fine.
20	MR. MARINUZZI: That brings us, Your Honor, to the ad
21	hoc group of junior secured noteholders, and we've been able to
22	resolve all of the objections except with respect to one point,
23	and that point we tried to address by providing additional
24	disclosures. What happens if Your Honor rules that they're
25	entitled to post-petition interests? How does it blow up or

not blow up the plan?

him.

And so the point we tried to make in the disclosure statement, the point Mr. Eckstein made for the Court earlier, is what we've done in the plan and what we've sought to clarify in the plan is Your Honor could find they're entitled to postpetition interests, that the value of their collateral -- they have certain items that are part of their collateral that the committee considers not to be part of their collateral -- and Your Honor could find that there's a diminution in value of their aggregate collateral position caused by, for example, the intercompany settlement, which is what they're focused on. To the extent Your Honor does find so, that does not blow up the plan. And we tried to clarify, as best as we could, but I understand it's not to the satisfaction of the JSNs, so --

MR. MARINUZZI: Okav.

MR. UZZI: Good morning, Your Honor. Gerard Uzzi of Milbank Tweed Hadley & McCloy on behalf of --

THE COURT: Just pull the microphone a little closer.

MR. UZZI: Oh, sorry. Gerard Uzzi of Milbank Tweed Hadley & McCloy on behalf of ad hoc group.

Your Honor, I can, I think, get through this fairly briefly. But before, Your Honor, I just think it's worth mentioning, giving an update on the mediation. It was set to

expire, if you remember, last Friday. Through an agreement of 1 2 the parties we've extended it at least through August 30th. So 3 we're at least continuing to talk, Your Honor. We are resolved, but some of the resolutions, we've 4 agreed, require a little bit of a discussion on the record, 5 Your Honor --6 7 THE COURT: Go ahead. 8 MR. UZZI: -- with respect to the resolution. As an 9 initial matter, Your Honor, I trust you've read our objections, 10 so I'll --I have. 11 THE COURT: 12 MR. UZZI: Okay. I note that in there we've provided some comprehensive riders as proposed additional disclosures. 13 While the debtors did not accept our riders, they did include 14 15 some disclosures, which we appreciate. But they didn't go all the way, so to speak, with respect to our disclosures. 16 Nonetheless, except with respect to the one issue relating to 17 what happens if the JSN's entitlement to post-petition interest 18 is decided on a ground that is inconsistent with the global 19 20 settlement --21 THE COURT: Can I ask you -- before you go on, are the 22 JSNs seeking default interest or --23 MR. UZZI: We are seeking --24 THE COURT: -- contract rate?

-- everything we're entitled to under the

25

MR. UZZI:

contract, which would include default interest and fees, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Yeah, I think, Mr. Marinuzzi, none of what -- I didn't see anything in the disclosure statement that made that point clear, that they're seeking default interest. I thought that was the case. Maybe I missed it.

MR. MARINUZZI: Your Honor, I recall it being in there. I can't point to the specific provision in the disclosure statement, but there is a discussion --

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. MARINUZZI: -- about what they're asking for.

THE COURT: As long as it's there.

I'm sorry, I didn't mean to -- it was something I -go ahead, Mr. Uzzi.

MR. UZZI: It's quite all right, Your Honor. There's the plan confirmability issue, which Your Honor touched on a little bit, and I'm not sure with respect to our issues, but I think it's related. I'll address that separately. With respect to all the other disclosure issues, we're prepared not to press them, provided that --

THE COURT: But I did think it was interesting you were complaining about the AFI 2.1 billion dollar contribution and how it was arrived, how it would be allocated, but you signed up for a pre-petition plan support agreement that had a 750 million dollar contribution. So I thought you were -- it

was somewhat ironic that the JSNs were raising issues about the 2.1 billion dollar. You didn't seem to be the party with the most persuasive voice on the issue of the AFI contribution. Let me just put it that way.

MR. UZZI: Well, fair enough, Your Honor. I mean, I think that it's important to recognize that with respect to the pre-petition agreement, it was signed by a minority of junior secured noteholders. The junior secured noteholders --

THE COURT: We don't need to review that.

MR. UZZI: All right, Your Honor.

THE COURT: It was just something that when I read your objections, and I thought back over the life of this case -- but just, let's argue what we have before us.

MR. UZZI: That's fair, Your Honor. I mean, I do think it's worth mentioning, although there's been this mantra that they've improved our treatment, relative to the first PSA, we take issue with it. I'm not going to take Your Honor's time, but I just want to make sure we take -- I do say we take issue with that characterization.

And related to that, Your Honor, we're not going to press our disclosure objections, but provided that, and with the understanding that our failure to press our objections now is not used against us in the ongoing and future litigation.

We have that agreement with the plan proponents with respect to that understanding.

Your Honor, with respect to the riders that we submitted, even though we have not pressed our objection, to the extent the Court hasn't reviewed them, I'd invite the Court to do so.

THE COURT: I read your papers.

MR. UZZI: All right. Okay, Your Honor.

And so let me return to what I refer to as the confirmability issue, Your Honor. And just I think that -- let me say, I think this is resolved, actually. And the statements by Mr. Eckstein on the record earlier today, I think, hopefully puts this to bed with respect to us.

With respect to your comments earlier, Your Honor -I'm sorry. With respect to -- you were asking about the
confirmation order -- oh, here it is. I suspect what you were
looking at is Article 10 of the plan; that's the conditions to
confirmation.

And for instance, 10(A)(c) is, "The confirmation order shall be reasonably acceptable to the plan proponents, Ally, and each of the consenting claimants." The fact that it's "reasonably", I guess, is comforting, to some extent, but that's really Your Honor's issue.

There's another provision, "No plan modifications have altered distributions to be made under the plan shall have occurred without the consent of the plan proponents, Ally, and each of the consenting claimants." That has no materiality

qualifier in it, so it goes up further than, I think, what the Code would allow the plan proponents to do.

And the last one is G, Court approval of the thirdparty releases, the debtor releases, and exculpation provisions
in the plan without any modification thereto. So I think
that's probably what Your -- where Your Honor maybe got
concerned.

THE COURT: Thank you, Mr. Uzzi.

MR. UZZI: Now, Your Honor, with respect to us, as Your Honor is probably painfully aware, I've been struggling with this issue for months now.

THE COURT: I agree with most of what you just said.

MR. UZZI: Okay, Your Honor.

THE COURT: The painful part.

MR. UZZI: It's been painful for me, too, Your Honor, to the extent that counts for anything. Maybe I have not done a good job in articulating this in the past, but the reason why I think this is important to us and should be important to everybody is that we need to know exactly what we're litigating. And it's our hope -- and maybe we don't get there -- but it's our hope when we know exactly what we're litigating maybe we can narrow the litigation a little bit.

THE COURT: That's why I had for Phase I trial, had the parties agree on a statement of the issues for the trial, and we're going to do the same thing with respect to the issues

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

that affect the JSNs, with respect to Phase II assuming it's done confirmation or separately. I wanted the clarity of what I was being asked to decide. So you've agreed with respect to the Phase I trial at this point, and remains to be done with respect further on.

The one -- and I don't know whether this is something that should have been clear to me before or not, when I read the proposed additions to the disclosure statement -- and maybe this is just an alternative argument, I don't know -- but what it, maybe for the first time, drove home to me was, it had seemed to me that your -- unless you were found to be oversecured in Phase I, if it got to Phase II, confirmation, and the issue became the global settlement of the intercompany claims valuing them at zero, that I had to reject that settlement to reach your argument whether you were oversecured based on those. But what the additional language drove home, maybe it's just as an alternative argument, but your argument is that if the settlement of the intercompany claims valuing it zero is approved, your argument is that you're entitled to adequate protection because of the diminution -- by the diminution in value, you say that you have an interest in those intercompany claims. That maybe -- maybe that should have been obvious to me before, but it wasn't. But when I read the additional language, that was sort of driven -- that was the first time I had focused on that argument.

MR. UZZI: Well, Your Honor, that is part of it. I would say even with the initial -- it has never been our position that you need to reject the global settlement to determine that we're oversecured. And even with respect to the intercompany claims, it has been our position, which until now, and maybe even now may still be rejected by the plan proponents, that if you determine that there were value -- I want to do it kind of, let's say conceptually --

THE COURT: Um-hum.

MR. UZZI: -- rather than getting caught in the legalese because that's the problem. I'm concerned about a constant footfall in front of me. If you determine that there were value in the intercompany claims, and that's what made us oversecured, we would be entitled to the post-petition interest, and the plan would still be confirmable. We have never gotten that representation from the plan proponents and we still don't have that representation from the plan proponents. We've ---

THE COURT: Well, I understand, because they say that you have no property interests, collateral interests in the intercompany claim per se. And I think either you or Mr. Shore acknowledged that. You don't have any pledge of intercompany debt, for example.

MR. UZZI: No, Your Honor, we have a security interest through a security agreement that gives us the intercompany

1 claims. There's no note that has pledged us, a physical note. But we have a security interest in -- either directly in 2 material intercompany claims or -- to be clear, we don't have a 3 security interest in every intercompany claim, but in certain 4 5 intercompany claims we do, and others we have a pledge of the equity of the debtors that --6 7 THE COURT: That's what I understood you had. You had 8 a pledge of the equity. But maybe we should do this --9 MR. UZZI: We have both. 10 THE COURT: Let's save this --MR. UZZI: Oh, okay, Your Honor. 11 12 THE COURT: -- discussion --MR. UZZI: 13 But --THE COURT: -- for when we talk some more about the 14 15 UMB case, but we'll focus now on the disclosure statement 16 issues. 17 MR. UZZI: What we have struggled with, Your Honor, is that why should it matter if you don't otherwise upset the 18 plan? And for instance, if the Phase I trial, you were to 19 determine we're oversecured, and it moots everything else 20 out -- you were to determine we're oversecured because there's 21 22 even more value in the going concern than what we're saying, 23 the plan is confirmable, everybody gets what they get. were to determine we're oversecured, we don't get there in 24 25 Phase I, but you would determine because the intercompany

claims had value, and you gave us that value, through whatever mechanism you gave us that value, I don't -
THE COURT: You just want your money.

MR. UZZI: I just want my money and I don't believe that they should be able to then pull the plan or suggest it Your Honor, sorry, exactly what you were saying early on, the plan's not confirmable.

THE COURT: I don't think they said that -- at least what I've underst -- the approval of the global settlement, including valuing of intercompany claims at zero is an integral part of the plan. I've understood that since I approved the PSA. Nothing -- they've never altered anything they've said about that. But it may be, just hypothetically, that you get your money and they get the global settlement approved if, for example, you get the benefit of the value that was there but they've settled it at zero, so the global settlement gets approved and you wind up oversecured.

MR. UZZI: Your Honor, I've been asking for that --

THE COURT: Wishful thinking, I'm --

MR. UZZI: I've been asking for that for months.

THE COURT: Well --

MR. UZZI: And so -- but I think --

THE COURT: But I'm the one who's got to be decide it.

I mean, you can ask them. They can say no, they can say yes,

they can say we're not going to answer your question, but

ultimately that's an issue I'm going to have to decide.

MR. UZZI: I'm not -- hopefully Your Honor will rule in our favor. I'm not asking Your Honor today to rule in our favor. I'm just trying to figure out what we're fighting about, and more importantly --

THE COURT: Well, put it this way. I saw what you proposed.

MR. UZZI: Yeah.

THE COURT: They didn't accept the language. They didn't accept -- they drafted some other language. My reaction is for disclosure statement purposes, this language is adequate disclosure. The world is on notice, Mr. Uzzi. You only have to come into this court two or three days a week to find out all the issues that you're fighting about. Nobody -- I mean, there's plenty of language in the disclosure statement, and just show up in this courtroom again tomorrow and everybody will know what you're fighting about.

MR. UZZI: And with respect to the disclosure over the disputes I agree completely. The reason why I felt the need to have this further discussion with Your Honor is be -- is really just for one reason. And if you were to look at the disclosure language that we proposed and compared it the disclosure language that they proposed, and I have a blackline if it would help --

THE COURT: I don't --

MR. UZZI: I can do it without it. We had said the plan -- the proposed language was the plan provides that if the bankruptcy court ultimately determines "for any reason that we're oversecured" and then it goes on. They struck the words "for any reason". We also gave a non --

THE COURT: How does it change the substance though?

I mean, if I decide you're oversecured, you're oversecured.

MR. UZZI: Well, Your Honor, I'm bound by the mediation order. I know what they have said. There's a reason why I'm up here making this point. But I think I can get there, Your Honor, just -- I read -- I'm not comforted by their disclosure statement language. However, I'm comforted by this colloquy and I'm comforted by the statements of Mr. Eckstein on the record earlier today. But most importantly, I'm actually comforted by their reply brief. And I won't read the specific language into record in there, but I think they now in their reply brief, at least, have said if we are oversecured for any reason -- if Your Honor determines we are oversecured for any reason, the plan is still going to be confirmable. And based upon their reply brief I don't need --

THE COURT: I read that in the omnibus reply as well.

I saw that. I never -- maybe I was in the dark here, but I never thought their position was to the contrary. I raised this point very early on at the time of the PSA approval, I raised it with Mr. Eckstein, I believe. I didn't want to get

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

in the position of approving a PSA that provided for plan treatment for you pre-petition and principal but no postpetition interest. I raised this point very expressly, there's got to be a transcript of it. I didn't want to find that if we get to the end of the day and you litigate this -- and the issue is not resolved by settlement, we get to the end of the day and I determine that you are oversecured and entitled to post-petition interest, I didn't want to suddenly find that this whole thing is blown up, I've gone through this empty exercise. Mr. Eckstein very clearly told me that I was -- that that was correct and it's been clarified several times. Marinuzzi, I don't know, Mr. Lee, who is not here today, but that's a point that's been clarified quite often. We'll get to the end of the day; if you don't settle it, I will decide it. And if you're oversecured, they've said quite clearly, you'll get your whatever you're entitled to. Mr. Marinuzzi, you disagree with that? MR. MARINUZZI: Your Honor, we don't disagree and we've provided two scenarios: they're either oversecured or they've got an adequate protection --THE COURT: Well, I don't need more than that. Do you disagree, Mr. Eckstein? MR. ECKSTEIN: Your Honor, I think I have said multiple times --

THE COURT: Multiple times.

	90
1	MR. ECKSTEIN: we do not disagree
2	THE COURT: Yeah, okay.
3	MR. ECKSTEIN: and we've tried articulate
4	THE COURT: Okay.
5	MR. ECKSTEIN: frankly, with a lot of precision
6	THE COURT: Yeah.
7	MR. ECKSTEIN: the different
8	THE COURT: Okay.
9	MR. ECKSTEIN: mechanisms
10	THE COURT: Enough.
11	MR. ECKSTEIN: or scenarios and I think we keep
12	clarifying
13	THE COURT: Okay. I
14	MR. ECKSTEIN: the mech but the fundamental
15	question Your Honor has raised early on stands.
16	THE COURT: Yeah, okay.
17	MR. ECKSTEIN: If the Court ultimately determines that
18	they're entitled to they're post-petition interest the plan
19	provides, they will get it.
20	THE COURT: Okay. So you've heard once again, Mr.
21	Uzzi, from the coproponents that if you're entitled to it,
22	you'll get it.
23	MR. UZZI: Your Honor
24	THE COURT: The issue I have I guess I've got to
25	put a stop to this under 1125, does the disclosure statement

1 as proposed with the amendments they made, is it adequate 2 information. 3 MR. UZZI: Your Honor, we have no further objection 4 from our standpoint, but we defer to the Court. 5 THE COURT: Let me just -- so the record clear. You're withdrawing your objections to the disclosure statement 6 7 as amended. There was other language you wanted; you didn't 8 get it. But do I understand correctly, you're just withdrawing 9 your objection -- you're reserving all of your rights --10 MR. UZZI: Yes. 11 THE COURT: -- but you're just -- you're withdrawing 12 your objection to the disclosure statement. 13 MR. UZZI: With the proviso that I read that the withdrawal can't be used against us later. Yes, Your Honor. 14 15 THE COURT: I'm not going to hold it against you. 16 MR. UZZI: No, I know, Your Honor, and I apologize, to 17 the extent the --18 THE COURT: Don't apologize. 19 MR. UZZI: And --20 The objection's been withdrawn, Mr. THE COURT: Marinuzzi. Let's -- Mr. Uzzi? 21 22 MR. UZZI: I just wanted to thank Your Honor for 23 indulging me in getting through this. This has been an 24 important issue for us.

THE COURT: All right, thank you.

25

MR. MARINUZZI: Your Honor, that brings us to the objection filed by Amherst Advisory Management, which I understand's been resolved.

THE COURT: Right, that's satisfactory. Go ahead.

MR. WEISSER: Your Honor --

THE COURT: Yup, go ahead.

MR. WEISSER: May I speak?

THE COURT: Yeah. Come on up, please.

MR. WEISSER: Thank you very much.

Good morning, sir. Josh Weisser, from Gibson Dunn & Crutcher on behalf of Amherst. Mr. Marinuzzi's correct, the status of our objection is withdrawn. It's withdrawn. We've reserved all rights to continue our objection at confirmation.

Obviously my client clearly has some issues regarding the RMBS settlement that's incorporated into the global settlement and the fact that it is mandatory in the sense that their trust is bound. We maintain the position that the trust should be permitted to opt out and we've taken steps to the extent possible to do so. We intend to continue prosecuting that. I believe -- only because I know that often people talk about unfettered litigation, Mr. Eckstein brought it up, and I know that that's a concern for the case -- I would like to point out, Your Honor, I believe we are the only trust, to my knowledge that -- or we're the only holder, I should say -- to my knowledge, that has taken steps to both opt out of the

support agreement and attempt to opt out of the settlement, so

I don't believe that that would apply to our trust if we're
allowed to opt out of the settlement. And that's pretty much
all we have to say.

THE COURT: I know what the position of the coproponents is and I know what your position is, and it's reserved for another day.

MR. WEISSER: Thank you very much, sir.

THE COURT: All right. Mr. Marinuzzi?

MR. GARRITY: Good morning, Your Honor. Jim Garrity, from Morgan Lewis on behalf of Deutsche Bank. We agree all of that is set in reserve with regard to Amherst to another day. We want to make it clear, Your Honor, that with regard to the direction and the indemnity, it's our view that it does not give Amherst the right to act on behalf of the trust. But again, confirmation issue for a later date. Thank you very much.

THE COURT: Thank you very much, Mr. Garrity.

Mr. Marinuzzi.

MR. MARINUZZI: Your Honor, that brings us to the objection filed by UMB Bank, which has been resolved.

THE COURT: Anybody from UMB want to be heard? Don't need to be. Okay.

MR. MARINUZZI: Which -- that brings us to the objection filed by the U.S. Trustee's office, which has also

been resolved.

THE COURT: So, this -- and I know it's resolved for confirmation, I raised this issue earlier. The U.S. Trustee raised the issue about exculpation. It's an issue; it is clearly an issue. It's an issue on at least two fronts. One as drafted -- as re-drafted, because originally it only covered post-petition conduct. Now it's been pre-petition, as well. So, it's an issue for that reason.

And secondly it's an issue with respect to exculpation against nonestate fiduciaries, which includes the settling claimants and Ally, I'm not sure does anything differently than the third-party nondebtor release would do, so I'm not going to dwell on it there. But -- and I will -- I do want to hear from the coproponents with -- I expressed this concern earlier, I don't want to go all the way down the road and find out that we have full briefing of this issue, I hear it and I decide it in a way that your side doesn't like, that I'm told sorry, it was a condition to the plan, and no plan. That's unacceptable.

MR. MARINUZZI: Your Honor, that's a fair point, and we're mindful of decisions. And we know this issue came up, and I believe in MF Global, regarding post-petition exculpation for nonestate professionals. We were talking about prepetition as well. We see it as an issue, and we recognize for confirmation that, obviously, Your Honor will decide how Your Honor decides, but we need to be prepared with the correct

legal basis and factual record to support those exculpation requests.

I think when you look at -- simply focus on postpetition for this second, there are a lot of folks that
participated in getting this deal done that really made a deal
in good faith. Obviously it can be second-guessed ten ways
'til Sunday, did so without the benefit of the examiner's
report being public, and that turned out to be a good thing.
But it's very easy, especially for the number of independent
fiduciaries that participate in these discussions, for someone
who they serve in a fiduciary capacity for to say you did
something wrong. And under those circumstances -- and I don't
want to argue confirmation; I think it's perfectly appropriate
for all of those parties that participated in getting this deal
done that allowed us to get here without burning every dollar
that we had in litigation -- to expect that they'll be
exculpated for their actions.

THE COURT: Look, among -- there's no Second Circuit binding authority on it, okay? Judge Walrath in Washington Mutual 442 B.R. 314 (Bankr. D. Del. 2011). In RE: Quincy Medical Center 2011 W.L. 5592907 (Bankr. D. Mass.), November 16th 2011. In RE: Coram Healthcare Corp. 315 B.R. 321, 337 (Bankr. D. Del. 2001). Somebody better come to me with authority that -- look, I understand the argument why, and I'm not saying it's inappropriate. People worked very hard through

the mediation and the PSA and since and they don't want to turn around and find themselves getting sued. I fully appreciate that, but it's an issue. Okay, and I'll just come back to --put it this way: I'm not signing an order approving the disclosure statement until I hear from the coproponents that the confirmation order or any subsequent decision of the Court will control the resolution of this decision. I just -- I don't want to go through several months of hell to find out that because if I -- and I don't how I'm going to conclude. I just -- I know I've read these cases, okay? But if I decide against you on it, I don't want it, I don't want people pulling the plug. Tell me now, you know?

Mr. Eckstein?

MR. ECKSTEIN: Your Honor, if I may? Maybe this is an appropriate time to address the issue. If I may first, I think it's important to distinguish between an observation I understood Your Honor made at the outset, which was a concern with the general breadth of the confirmation order provision in the plan and then let's deal with exculpation.

I think in terms of the confirmation order, Article 10 and Article 11 are drafted in a way that I believe is something that Your Honor is generally familiar with and finds acceptable, which is that the confirmation order shall be reasonably acceptable to the plan proponents and each of the consenting claimants. It does not provide an absolute standard

in there as a general matter.

of acceptability. So it has a reasonableness provision.

There's also the ability in Article 10 of the plan to make modifications to the plan, and again they have to be reasonably acceptable and it provides that it not -- that the consent of the consenting claimants is required to the extent it directly effects them, but again, it does not have an absolute standard

That is qualified, however, and I think Your Honor has picked this up, by the release in the exculpation provision. I think Your Honor has squarely focused on one of the provisions where the least -- the plan support agreement contemplate that notwithstanding the right to make modifications, there will not be modifications to the release or the exculpation provisions.

So Your Honor is correct that in the event Your Honor were to reject the exculpation provision with the way the plan documents are drafted, that would, essentially, be inconsistent with the plan documents.

So we had contemplated that the issue would be briefed -- and I do want to also point out, and again I am familiar with Coram; I am familiar with WaMu, specifically. I was involved in both of those cases, and I know exactly what occurred in each of those cases before Judge Walrath.

THE COURT: Well, the Fifth Circuit and Pacific Lumber, I mean --

MR. ECKSTEIN: Correct. And again, it's a little bit

early, although maybe for all of our sakes we shouldn't sort of sweep it under the rug. The exculpation provision, Your Honor, it's important to note, was drafted and provides that there's a carve-out of gross negligence and willful misconduct --

THE COURT: But that's absolutely required. That's not an issue.

MR. ECKSTEIN: And so I just wanted to make the point, Your Honor, that's in there.

THE COURT: I know, I know it is.

MR. ECKSTEIN: So it then leaves the question of, with the carve-out of gross negligence and willful misconduct, is the exculpation provision including for pre-petition conduct of nonestate fiduciaries appropriate in the context of this case.

THE COURT: Okay. Let me ask you this: do you want me deciding that now without a record because it potentially makes the plan unconfirmable, or do you want to get to confirmation and have a full record and have the Court decide in that context? I'm just -- I'm not saying that the result would be different, but all I'm saying is, I'm not -- I don't want -- I don't mean to put ultimatums but I'm going to. I did this in MF Global; I'm doing the same thing here. Okay. I'm not going to go through the next few months only to be told that if I don't approve the exculpation clause there's no plan, okay? So if you need to go back to the consenting claimants, and confer -- the consenting claimants and Ally and the debtors

to confirm to the Court that this issue will be left for the
decision by the Court at or about the time of confirmation, I'm
not going forward. Let me make it crystal clear.

MR. ECKSTEIN: And Your Honor, I appreciate your
raising it, and I think it's appropriate for the issue to be
raised. I think as Your Honor can anticipate, given the
constituency that I represent plus other constituencies that I
don't represent -
THE COURT: Your constituency gets covered; you're
estate fiduciary.

MR. ECKSTEIN: But -- no I'm -- but given the parties
that are sort of within the universe of the consenting

THE COURT: I know that.

I can't, standing here today --

MR. ECKSTEIN: -- make any representations.

claimants and the other parties to the plan support agreement,

THE COURT: I know that.

MR. ECKSTEIN: Notwithstanding that, I do think it's important, Your Honor, our view is that in this case, we believe and we hope to persuade Your Honor that the exculpation provision is appropriate and consistent with applicable law.

THE COURT: And you may well do that.

MR. ECKSTEIN: That said -- that said, I certainly am

24 not --

THE COURT: If the cases weren't lined up against you,

I wouldn't be making an issue out of this.

MR. ECKSTEIN: Your Honor, this is a tough case from start to finish, and I don't think it's going to change. That said, we'll certainly -- we're going to raise this with the consenting claimants and I don't necessarily know what the right answer is, but I think it's appropriate to raise it and we're happy to come back to Your Honor as promptly as we can with any feedback that we have. But I understand Your Honor's concern that you don't want to find out that at the end of this entire process, that we don't have a plan that can go forward. That said, I have parties who labored mightily on how this was structured, and I think it's fair for them to rely upon a structure that was put into place in good faith --

THE COURT: Well, it may be.

MR. ECKSTEIN: -- by everybody.

THE COURT: But then again, the judge has said he isn't going to approve the disclosure statement unless I find out that -- look it's one of two things. I mean, if your people want to stand their ground, then I will ask for additional briefing now. I won't approve the disclosure statement, we'll have full briefing of the issue and an argument, and probably in October I'll decide whether it's at least, assuming all the requirements are satisfied, exculpation can extend that far. I mean, that's kind of what you're facing. Okay?

101

1	MR. ECKSTEIN: I understand the
2	THE COURT: All right.
3	MR. ECKSTEIN: I understand the dynamic.
4	THE COURT: Let me since you're up there, let me
5	tell me, explain to me, with and this really is AFI's issue,
6	but I want to understand with the third-party nondebtor
7	release, does it cover claims unrelated to the business of the
8	debtors? In other words, it seems to me, I understand the
9	argument, because I've heard it; it's come up before that AFI
10	has filed indemnification claims. And many of the as I
11	understand it, much of the asserted liability against AFI is
12	aiding and abetting or some derivative it's not the
13	claims are not derivative, they don't belong to the debtor,
14	these claims specifically. Does the release of AFI, if
15	approved, extend to matters that are unrelated to the business
16	of the debtors? That's one question.
17	MR. ECKSTEIN: Your Honor, I believe that we actually
18	address that specific issue
19	THE COURT: Yeah.
20	MR. ECKSTEIN: in Article 9(e) of the plan, which
21	is the settlement release injunction and related provisions,
22	where the fourth line from the bottom, it says "otherwise
23	arising"
24	THE COURT: Maybe Mr. Marinuzzi can tell me in the
25	blackline where T what page T'm going to

102

1	MR. MARINUZZI: Page 99.
2	THE COURT: Okay, hold on.
3	MR. ECKSTEIN: Where it says "arising from or related
4	in any way to the debtors".
5	THE COURT: Okay.
6	MR. ECKSTEIN: I believe that was intended to be
7	responsive to the issue Your Honor raised. I would defer to
8	Mr. Schrock to confirm that I'm not misstating what was
9	intended.
10	MR. SCHROCK: Good morning, Your Honor or good
11	afternoon. Ray Schrock with Kirkland & Ellis on behalf of AFI
12	and Ally Bank. That's correct, the release is limited. It has
13	to be, by the words, in any way related to the debtors'
14	businesses.
15	THE COURT: So let me ask. And I will confess, I
16	didn't go look at the solicitation procedures. Had and the
17	plan, okay? So for example, is there a provision that's being
18	put in the ballot so that anybody who votes in favor of the
19	plan consents to the releases provided in the plan?
20	MR. SCHROCK: Yes.
21	THE COURT: There is.
22	MR. SCHROCK: Yes.
23	THE COURT: Okay. Because we're going to narrow
24	what I'm trying to understand is, are we narrowing the PSA
25	includes the provision, so it seems to me that anybody who

signed the PSA is consenting -- assuming the plan as set forth in the PSA is approved, that anybody who signed the PSA is consenting to the release of Ally. Okay.

If the ballot includes a provision to consent, then anybody who votes in favor of the plan -- I'm not deciding the issue today, but I have in other cases, and so have some of my colleagues, determined that if the ballot states that if you vote in favor of the plan you consent to the third-party releases -- that ought to be in bold -- that that's sufficient for consent. So the issue is, to what extent are their nonconsensual releases, okay? And that, then, puts you in the Manville Metromedia analysis. Because they don't really -- if it's consensual it's fine; if it's not consensual it's a bigger problem. So have you taken all the steps that should be properly done to narrow the range of nonconsensual releases that are going to be provided?

Mr. Marinuzzi? Mr. Schrock? Either one of you.

MR. MARINUZZI: Your Honor, let me just be clear on what the notices and the ballots say. So what we've done on the ballots is we've effectively cut and pasted into the ballot the release provisions of the plan.

THE COURT: Right.

MR. MARINUZZI: What it says is if the plan's approved then the following releases shall apply. It does not say "if you vote for the plan", but that's an easy -- we can add that.

104

1	THE COURT: I think you ought to you might look, I
2	don't know I think the Kodak plan that got confirmed
3	yesterday, the ballot had something. It had a provision in it
4	that if you vote in the issue comes, and it wouldn't be the
5	first case where this has come up, is what happens if somebody
6	doesn't vote. I mean, I think the Kodak plan's ballot
7	basically says if you don't vote it's deemed to be an
8	acceptance of the that was confirmed yesterday by Judge
9	Gropper. You might look at that. I don't know.
10	Ms. Golden, did you argue that yesterday?
11	MS. GOLDEN: Mr. Masumoto.
12	THE COURT: Mr. Masumoto.
13	MR. MASUMOTO: Yes, Your Honor.
14	THE COURT: You didn't object to that, as I
15	understand.
16	MR. MASUMOTO: No, there was a voluntary vote on the
17	part of the on the ballot, either agreeing or disagreeing.
18	THE COURT: But I thought that the ballot also
19	included that if you don't vote it's deemed to be an
20	acceptance.
21	MR. MASUMOTO: Yes. I mean that is a
22	THE COURT: And you didn't object to that, as I
23	understand it, yesterday.
24	MR. MASUMOTO: No, Your Honor. I didn't object.
25	THE COURT: You might take that to heart.

105

1	MR. MARINUZZI: Your Honor, our ballots will say that.
2	Now, it leaves
3	THE COURT: They may change their mind. I don't know.
4	MR. MASUMOTO: We will revisit that.
5	THE COURT: Word travels fast, you know.
6	MR. MARINUZZI: It still leaves open, obviously, the
7	issue of what happens to third parties that are not creditors
8	of the debtors' estate and don't even get a ballot.
9	THE COURT: Oh, I understand that. But let's, at
10	least, narrow the universe of what we're talking about.
11	MR. MARINUZZI: We'll do that. That makes complete
12	sense, and we'll do that.
13	THE COURT: And I don't know how I'm going to rule.
14	It's a difficult issue. I've said it before. AFI could decide
15	that the risk, if all they're talking about are the
16	nonconsenting creditors, maybe the risk isn't all that great.
17	I mean, that's something you have to evaluate. I'm not just
18	an observation.
19	But what I want to I think you want to be sure that
20	you have, and I don't know whether you need to tinker with the
21	plan language to put this into effect, but certainly with the
22	ballot, that when a ballot goes out people understand you
23	got the PSA that's got a lot of people who've signed on
24	already, but when the ballot goes out, you vote in favor of the
25	plan, you're consenting to the releases and exculpation.

Again, this goes to exculpation as well. I mean, the
issue I'm raising is if it's not consensual, if everybody who
votes in favor of the plan understands that this is the
exculpation we're agreeing upon, that's I'm not ruling, but
that is a stronger argument.
MR. MARINUZZI: That's fine, Your Honor. We'll modify
the ballots to make sure that that point is made.
THE COURT: But run it by Mr. Masumoto to make sure
you're not that I haven't created an objection that you're
going to get.
MR. MASUMOTO: Thank you, Your Honor. I appreciate
that.
MR. ECKSTEIN: Your Honor, if I may? Just to close
the circle on
THE COURT: Okay.
MR. ECKSTEIN: the point we were addressing a
moment ago. I think what I'm going to do, just in anticipation
of, I think, Your Honor's remarks is after this hearing's
concluded I would expect we'll schedule a call with the
consenting claimants. We'll, basically, brief them on the
issue that Your Honor has raised with respect to concerns about
not having a plan that might blow up in the event ultimately
Your Honor is not prepared to endorse the exculpation as
written.

THE COURT: Look, there could be some other little

I'm picking that one, because it was --1 things. I don't know. I believe the rest of the conditions 2 MR. ECKSTEIN: are, I think, appropriately dealt with through the language of 3 the document. We'll go back and look again, but I think it 4 5 doesn't tie the Court's hands to make modification. certainly doesn't give parties blowup rights, although the 6 7 exculpation, I think it does. And so I would expect to have a 8 conversation with the consenting claimants, and we would come 9 back to Your Honor. If we can do it today, we'll do it. 10 THE COURT: Okay. MR. ECKSTEIN: But I think we can do it very quickly. 11 I imagine Ally has to weigh in, and the debtor has to weigh in 12 13 to make sure everybody understands what flexibility is being created, and we absolutely, I think, would intend to present 14 15 the issue to Your Honor in connection with the confirmation 16 evidence and hopefully persuade Your Honor that in this case the exculpation, as drafted, is appropriate. 17 18 Yeah, look, and this last part I made, if

THE COURT: Yeah, look, and this last part I made, if it's consensual it's a different issue, so --

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Let me ask one other question. With respect to the -where is the section -- point me to the section in the
disclosure statement, Mr. Marinuzzi, that deals with the
explanation of the AFI release, the basis for the release.

MR. MARINUZZI: Your Honor, it's believe it's page -I think, because this was addressed in connection with the

108

1	objection filed by the JSNs.
2	THE COURT: Yes, it is.
3	MR. MARINUZZI: And I know we added additional
4	disclosures.
5	THE COURT: Yeah.
6	MR. MARINUZZI: Let me just try to find in my chart
7	where we've provided that disclosure.
8	So, Your Honor, yeah, on pages 81 through 86 of the
9	revised disclosure statement there's a discussion, which we
10	characterize as a robust discussion of the creditors'
11	committee's investigation, the examiner's investigation, and
12	the findings and conclusions in the examiner's report dealing
13	with the Ally settlement.
14	There's also, at various points, and I'll let Your
15	Honor look at pages 81 through 86
16	THE COURT: Here, in your omnibus reply with respect
17	to the JSNs, they had discussion about what the examiner
18	identified as claims against AFI. And in your omnibus reply
19	you said I don't remember what the number was 5.5
20	billion, and you said something like 3 billion of that is
21	MR. MARINUZZI: Of that amount; some is less likely,
22	Your Honor.
23	THE COURT: characterized as weak or whatnot, not
24	probable.
25	I mean, what I didn't see, and maybe because

there's a lot in here, and I've read it a bunch of times, but 1 2 maybe you'll point me to it. This comes back a little bit to when I talked about the FHFA, how much value -- I don't see 3 anything in here that's attributing value to the release. AFI, 4 5 yes, it's contributing 2.1 billion. There's a discussion of well, these claims have been identified. The proponents may 6 7 disagree as to some of the things that the examiner identified. 8 Okay. But I haven't seen anything that attempts to place a 9 value on the release that AFI will receive. MR. MARINUZZI: Your Honor, I think the point -- a 10 11 couple points. One, there were some objections that asked us 12 to allocate as between --I agree you don't have to allocate. 13 THE COURT: We can't do that. 14 MR. MARINUZZI: 15 THE COURT: That I agree with. 16 MR. MARINUZZI: We can't do that. 17 THE COURT: I agree. MR. MARINUZZI: And if you look at Exhibit 10 to the 18 disclosure statement --19 20 THE COURT: Yes. -- what we've tried to do here is lay 21 MR. MARINUZZI: 22 out fairly the positions of the JSN and positions of AFI with 23 respect to the examiner's conclusion on the liability that AFI would have otherwise had to the estate, basically, in the 24

I think there's a fair amount of

25

absence of a settlement.

detail in here.

Now, we want this plan to be confirmed, obviously, and so we walked a fine line, because in the event the plan's not confirmed we certainly don't want to be stuck with positions with respect to claims that somebody might have to assert against AFI --

THE COURT: Right.

MR. MARINUZZI: -- if this plan's not confirmed. So we've got the point-counterpoint that's part of the disclosure statement about why the examiner's report says that the claims against AFI are worth so much, and then we've got AFI's position that says -- and our brief says this too -- I think you're overstating --

THE COURT: The examiner report doesn't say they're worth so much. It identifies a potential claim and a dollar amount and then ascribes a certain probability. That isn't necessarily the value for settlement purposes, and it doesn't -- properly so, doesn't elaborate on that.

Mr. Eckstein?

MR. ECKSTEIN: Your Honor, if I may? I don't mean to interrupt the colloquy --

THE COURT: Yes?

MR. ECKSTEIN: -- but I think it's important. If Your Honor looks at page 25 of the black-line to the disclosure statement, which is Article 2, which is really the discussion

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

that goes through in, I think, painstaking detail, the global settlement and the implementation of the plan, and after section A on page 26 talks about the global settlement, section B begins with settlement of claims against Ally and plan releases, and this is the section, which is quite early on in the disclosure statement, that lays out in extensive detail the nature of the claims against Ally, both estate and third party, and the basis for the release.

THE COURT: Yes. So look, one of things -- and I'm not saying you need to include this or not, but one of the -when I thought through this last night -- actually, it was after reading the JSN's -- rereading the JSN's objection and then seeing the language that you propose to add. Metromedia talks about what are the factors that Metromedia looks at in determining whether the third-party nondebtor release is appropriate is they talk about channeling injunction. Well, you've, in effect, by setting up the securities litigation trust, the borrower trust, various other specific pot -- you've channeled -- and where there are claims that have been asserted or potential claims that could be asserted against AFI, you have channeled claims to specific funds. And then people aren't being repaid in full, but -- far from it, but you have, in fact -- for Mr. Eckstein's clients, set aside -- they can say that their claim is worth billions, but you're creating, assuming it's confirmed, a very large pot of money to satisfy

1 those claims. Well, that seems to me, in some ways, that 2 that's a factor that Metromedia identified as supporting -- and maybe we'll get to that at the time of confirmation when you go 3 through it, but I didn't see any statement in here that, in 4 5 fact, you know, some of the claims against AFI that are being released have been channeled into specific funds available for 6 7 distribution to creditors here. 8 MR. ECKSTEIN: Your Honor, and I think, from a 9 disclosure standpoint I would submit we have ample 10 disclosure --11 Okay. THE COURT: 12 MR. ECKSTEIN: -- and I actually would suggest that given the significance of the third-party release issue and the 13 fact that this is, I would say, a somewhat extraordinary third-14 15 party release structure as bankruptcy Chapter 11 cases go. 16 It probably is appropriate to defer the full discussion of 17 it --That's fine. 18 THE COURT:

MR. ECKSTEIN: -- until it's been briefed and we can present it.

THE COURT: That's fine.

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. ECKSTEIN: But the reality is we are not -- other than the borrower claims and the private securities claims and the Carpenter's claims -- we are not channeling any other claims --

113

	113
1	THE COURT: That's true.
2	MR. ECKSTEIN: to specific trusts.
3	THE COURT: Okay. All right. Let me leave it at
4	that. You need to get back to me with so, let's put it this
5	way. Subject to satisfying myself with the issue I've raised
6	today, which you'll advise me in due course, I'm prepared to
7	enter an order. You had to make some tweaks based on
8	MR. MARINUZZI: To the ballots, Your Honor.
9	THE COURT: Santa Barbara, and you're going to
10	change the ballot.
11	MR. MARINUZZI: Correct.
12	THE COURT: And you'll let us just send me the
13	black-line of those pages rather than send the whole thing,
14	but specifically identify those pages that have any changes.
15	So, okay.
16	MR. MARINUZZI: Right. There are a couple more
17	objections we're going to go through, Your Honor.
18	THE COURT: Oh. Okay. I thought we had
19	MR. MARINUZZI: We're not done. I wish we were.
20	We're not done. I'm sorry.
21	THE COURT: I wish we were done. Okay.
22	MR. MARINUZZI: Your Honor, we have a number of what
23	I'll call borrower objections. The next one, I believe, on the
24	list is
25	THE COURT: Yes. Lawson.

	114
1	MR. MARINUZZI: Michelle Lawson's objection.
2	THE COURT: Is Ms. Lawson on the phone or present in
3	court? Overruled.
4	MR. MARINUZZI: Then we get to Ms. Nelson.
5	THE COURT: Is Ms. Nelson present in court or on the
6	phone? Overruled.
7	THE COURT: Yes.
8	MR. MARINUZZI: Mary McDonald. We resolved her
9	objection.
10	THE COURT: Okay.
11	MR. MARINUZZI: Basic Life Resources and Pamela Hill.
12	We were not able to resolve that.
13	THE COURT: Is anyone for Basic Life Resources or
14	Pamela Hill in court or on the telephone? Overruled.
15	MR. MARINUZZI: And Paul Corrado, Your Honor.
16	THE COURT: Is Mr. Corrado present in court or on the
17	telephone? Overruled.
18	MR. MARINUZZI: That's all of the objections, Your
19	Honor. There are some reservations of rights. I think we can
20	acknowledge people's rights are reserved.
21	THE COURT: Right. Okay.
22	MR. MARINUZZI: Your Honor
23	THE COURT: So, basically, I'm conditionally agreeing
24	to approve the disclosure statement subject to seeing these
25	last changes and getting a response on the issue I raised with

115

1	the coproponent's counsel.
2	MR. MARINUZZI: That's terrific, Your Honor. We'll do
3	what we can to try to get that done this afternoon, if
4	possible.
5	MR. ECKSTEIN: Your Honor, I don't want to preview
6	exactly when and what the outcome is, but can we come back to
7	Your Honor by calling chambers?
8	THE COURT: You can. I'm not here tomorrow.
9	MR. ECKSTEIN: So, if possible, today is better.
10	THE COURT: You can draw your own conclusion about
11	that. I'm not here tomorrow, Mr. Eckstein.
12	MR. MARINUZZI: Your Honor, not to prolong the hearing
13	any more than necessary, but to the extent the Court had any
14	questions on the order, the dates that were put in the order
15	THE COURT: Let me just say, Mr. Eckstein, I'll be in
16	contact with my chambers tomorrow. Okay.
17	Hang on just one second.
18	Oh, I am here tomorrow. Tomorrow I have MF Global.
19	It's Friday I'm not here. MF Glo how could I forget? I
20	left a day out of the week. Okay.
21	MR. ECKSTEIN: We'll reach chambers.
22	THE COURT: Okay. I will be here tomorrow.
23	MR. MARINUZZI: Your Honor, to the extent the Court
24	wants to review the order we plugged dates in there for the
25	confirmation hearing on November 19th.

116

1	THE COURT: Well, let me I do want to raise bear
2	with me. What did I do with it?
3	MR. MARINUZZI: And Your Honor, the marked order is in
4	a small bound booklet on your desk. Hopefully.
5	THE COURT: Yes, but I made some notes on a copy.
6	MR. MARINUZZI: Okay.
7	(Pause)
8	MR. MARINUZZI: Your Honor, if it's helpful I could
9	provide you with copies.
10	THE COURT: Sure. Just of the timeline. I had made
11	some notes on mine.
12	MR. MARINUZZI: Your Honor, if it's specific to the
13	timeline I could just I've got a copy of our timeline broken
14	out.
15	THE COURT: Yeah. That's what I had made notes on. I
16	had looked at that.
17	Ah, which I can't find my copy, but I remember the
18	questions I had. There are several things that are of concern
19	to me in your timeline. The deadline for objections,
20	responses well, it's the well. This had to do with
21	temporary allowance of voting. I didn't see when I was
22	supposed to have a hearing and what was going to have to you
23	had deadlines for their filing it and you responding to it, and
24	you have an October 23 deadline for entry of order granting
25	motion seeking temporary voting allowance, but there is going

to be a phase 1 trial with the JSNs, and the time, the amount of time for that has not -- the start date has not been specifically set. I said early October. And the length of time for that trial hasn't been set. And I'm likely to require proposed findings of fact, conclusions of law. I'm going to be very occupied with the phase 1 trial with the JSNs. And how you think you're going to fit in hearings if there are contested issues about temporary voting, boy, you're asking a lot of me. I've already been kicking myself that I agreed to schedule this hearing today, when I spent a good part of my vacation interrupted reading in limine motions, immediately coming back deciding in limine motions, having a trial last Friday and Monday of this week, and then having to be prepared to deal with the disclosure statement today.

I accomplished all that. But when I looked at this schedule and I saw October, I don't see how it's going to happen. I'll live with -- you can put this, but I'll tell you right now that the commencement of the confirmation for November 19th, I don't know whether it's really going to happen then or not. I mean, some of it's going to depend on what's going to happen. You start having contested hearings about temporary voting, I don't know what's going to be involved yet.

MR. MARINUZZI: Your Honor, I think that's probably an inspiration for people to agree on what the claim amount should be for voting purposes rather than have to involve Your Honor,

The November 19th date, which is just before 1 obviously. 2 Thanksgiving, gives us --THE COURT: Thank you. We'll all have a -- so I'll 3 4 have a very nice Thanksgiving. We'll commence the hearing, and 5 how long it's going to take, I don't know. It was going to be -- that was going to include the phase 2 JSN trial if you 6 7 hadn't settled it. You really think starting a hearing 8 November 19th, you think it's going to be a day or two hearing? 9 MR. MARINUZZI: Your Honor, there's always hope. 10 THE COURT: There is. There is. I don't mind. Oh, I'll agree to this schedule, but 11 12 everybody be on notice this schedule may not hold. MR. ZIDE: Your Honor, Stephen Zide from Kramer, Levin 13 on behalf of the creditors' committee. We had actually 14 15 contacted chambers prior to setting this --16 THE COURT: Didn't contact me. 17 MR. ZIDE: Noted. And we had thought that we had reserved the days from November 19th to November 26th, which I 18 think are six days, for a trial and confirmation. 19 THE COURT: Oh, happy Thanksgiving. Yeah, that's --20 It was prior to Thanksgiving weekend. 21 MR. ZIDE: 22 But did you build in that if I have THE COURT: Yes. 23 to have contested hearings about voting, temporary voting? I think what we were contemplating there, 24 MR. ZIDE:

Your Honor, is that we would give those creditors a provisional

25

ballot, which I think is in the order and is in the motion, and we'd be able to resolve that prior to confirmation.

THE COURT: Look. I have bent over backwards to move this case forward, and I'm prepared to do that, but the last month has not been pleasant, okay, and I don't want a repeat of it. And I'm looking at this schedule, and I see the repeat of it right here, and with what -- I'm going to have a hearing with a discovery dispute in the UMB case tomorrow. What it is, I don't know. The number I do -- as you know, I do timed trials. How many days are going to be set for that trial, I don't know. I don't know how many witnesses people contemplate. So there's a lot to be done.

I'll approve an order with this schedule, but everybody be on notice that these days may not hold, okay?

MR. ECKSTEIN: Your Honor, I think, first of all, we appreciate the imposition on the Court, and I think Your Honor can appreciate the parties in the case empathize because we're, obviously, experiencing a similar burden. And we recognize that even though this is not what I would call the fastest track confirmation schedule, it is going to be very difficult to get through everything within the timetables. Right now --

THE COURT: If we have to have a confirmation trial that really deals with -- and I don't know yet -- with what it's going to have to deal with, the settlement of intercompany claims and other issues in the global settlement or that affect

	120					
1	the JSNs that our so-called phase 2, to be included in					
2	confirmation, it's not going to be a short hearing.					
3	MR. ECKSTEIN: Your Honor, I think we're all doing					
4	everything we can to comply with milestones.					
5	THE COURT: Okay.					
6	MR. ECKSTEIN: And we					
7	THE COURT: I'm telling you I will					
8	MR. ECKSTEIN: understand the Court is being very					
9	accommodating and					
10	THE COURT: I'll if you get an answer today you'll					
11	get a disclosure statement approved today, but this schedule is					
12	going to be subject it's not the only case I have, and I'll					
13	deal with it. Of course, so					
14	MR. ECKSTEIN: I think					
15	THE COURT: nobody be surprised if dates get					
16	pushed.					
17	MR. ECKSTEIN: I think the best we can do today is to					
18	try to resolve as many issues as we can, as we have tried to					
19	do					
20	THE COURT: Have lunch with Mr. Uzzi and maybe					
21	you'll					
22	MR. ECKSTEIN: I've had many lunches with Mr. Uzzi,					
23	and I always enjoy them.					
24	THE COURT: He's sitting with Mr. Walper. You can					
25	have lunch with both of them.					

- 1	
1	MR. ECKSTEIN: And I probably will do something that
2	involves a meal. But as Your Honor knows, it may or may not
3	affect the outcome of how these issues proceed, and I think
4	right now we hear Your Honor that there's only so much the
5	Court can do, no matter how hard one tries, and we recognize
6	that. And I think we'll make everybody well aware of those
7	issues, and we'll have to proceed as best we can.
8	Right now we are dealing with milestones, and I think
9	Your Honor is familiar with the fact that we have an effective
10	date milestone of December 15th. That
11	THE COURT: I know you do.
12	MR. ECKSTEIN: is guiding
13	THE COURT: And we pushed you
14	MR. ECKSTEIN: what we're doing right now.
15	THE COURT: the date for conclusion of the FGIC
16	matter has been pushed to September 16th. There's a lot of
17	things that are stacked up here.
18	MR. ECKSTEIN: There are. The only observation
19	that without minimizing the burdens of the issues, we
20	fortunately do not have we do have a lot of consensus,
21	obviously, and I don't think we would be suggesting these dates
22	if there was not overwhelming consensus, which, as Your Honor

appreciates, is a tall order in and of itself. And so I think

given that fact, we're going to try to do the best we can --

THE COURT: Okay.

23

24

25

1	MR. ECKSTEIN: to accommodate, not overburdening
2	the Court and meeting the schedule as best we can, and we'll
3	hear Your Honor's caution that we may back up at some point and
4	parties will have to deal with that, I think, in the next
5	couple of weeks.
6	THE COURT: All right. The Kessler matter. Mr.
7	Rosenbaum?
8	MS. NORA: Your Honor, is this a moment that Wendy
9	Alison Nora could be heard? I was on CourtCall the entire
10	time.
11	THE COURT: No, Ms. Nora. I called. I asked for you
12	to speak. You did not appear at the time. We're well past
13	that matter, so no, it is not a time for you to speak.
14	Mr. Rosenbaum? Go ahead.
15	MS. NORA: Well, Your Honor, I was on the phone, and I
16	was unable to be heard because of a connection problem, and
17	then I'm instructed not to interrupt the Court.
18	THE COURT: Ms
19	MS. NORA: I did say hello.
20	THE COURT: Ms
21	MS. NORA: I heard you say that I was connected to the
22	Court. Then I could not be heard. It will take me two minutes
23	to state
24	THE COURT: Ms. Nora, I ruled on your objection. I
25	overruled it. I everruled it based on the papers

123

1 Mr. Rosenbaum, let's move on. Thank you, Your Honor. 2 MS. NORA: MR. ROSENBAUM: Your Honor, Norm Rosenbaum, Morrison & 3 4 Foerster. Your Honor, we have been discussing the issues Your 5 Honor raised. I believe we have a solution. If we could indulge the Court? If we could appear before Your Honor after 6 7 a break, if you want to do --8 THE COURT: I don't think so. 9 MR. ROSENBAUM: Then the other alternative Okay. would be --10 Tell me what your solution is. 11 THE COURT: MR. ROSENBAUM: The solution is to -- we have to make 12 changes to the settlement agreement to account for the method 13 in which the judgment reduction would be applied and 14 15 modifications to the class notice to put the class on notice 16 that this is how we're proposing the notification, as well as 17 the proposal of PNC, so the class is informed of what the 18 issues are. THE COURT: I have a couple of concerns. First, can I 19 approve it without you filing it on ECF and giving -- I mean, 20 what I had was a motion to approve -- preliminarily approve a 21 settlement, terms of which were set out. Okay. 22 I'm not trying 23 to slow you down, Mr. Rosenbaum. I am concerned that -- well, I'll tell you what. I have a hearing set for 2 o'clock 24 25 tomorrow with respect to the UMB case, a discovery dispute.

124

1 I'll hear you at 1:30. MR. ROSENBAUM: Thank you, Your Honor. 2 Thank you, Your Honor. 3 MR. FLANIGAN: For the record, I'm Dan Flanigan appearing for the 4 5 Kessler class. 6 Didn't want to make your life complicated, THE COURT: 7 Mr. Flanigan, but anyway, we'll deal with it tomorrow at 1:30. 8 MR. FLANIGAN: We're solving it, Your Honor. 9 MR. MARINUZZI: Your Honor, I'm trying to find my agenda in the pile of papers here, but I think that concludes 10 11 the agenda for today. 12 THE COURT: Let me just say. I congratulate you all for -- this is another big milestone. We've got lots of steps 13 to do, but just to get to this point, I think no one should 14 15 misinterpret any of my comments along the way. There's a lot 16 to be done, but you've accomplished an enormous amount. doesn't mean you're going to get this plan confirmed. We'll 17 18 But you all -- and I know you've all worked tirelessly to get to this point and the Court appreciates that. And I don't 19 think it's an easy road. I hope you resolve the remaining 20 disputes, and that this -- when we get to confirmation, this 21 will be as close to a consensual confirmation as is possible. 22 23 Having said that, we're adjourned. MR. MARINUZZI: Thank you, Your Honor. 24 25 (Whereupon these proceedings were concluded at 12:33 PM))

			125
1			
2	INDEX		
3			
4	RULINGS		
5	Page	Line	
6	Debtors' motion to extend exclusive periods, 23	8	
7	granted.		
8	Disclosure statement conditionally 114	23	
9	approved		
10			
11			
12			
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			

		126
1		
2	CERTIFICATION	
3		
4	I, Penina Wolicki, certify that the foregoing transcript is a	
5	true and accurate record of the proceedings.	
6		
7		
8	Penina Waliety	
9		
10	PENINA WOLICKI	
11	AAERT Certified Electronic Transcriber CET**D 569	
12		
13	eScribers	
14	700 West 192nd Street, Suite #607	
15	New York, NY 10040	
16		
17	Date: August 22, 2013	
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

	93:15	administrative (8)	29:17;30:20;34:24;	25 2 5 42
		aummistrative (o)	27.11,30.20,3T.2T,	35:3;56:13
# a	action (11)	25:17;30:1;36:1;	46:6,9;106:17	allows (2)
	20:2,17;31:5,10;	54:16;64:16,20;65:2;	agree (10)	55:2;63:14
#607 (1)	32:7;34:14,25;51:5,	68:5	41:7;82:12,24;	Allstate (1)
3:22	14;54:14;56:6	admonition (1)	87:19;93:11;109:13,	11:19
a	actions (1)	29:22	15,17;117:24;118:11	Ally (27)
\mathbf{A}	95:17	adopting (1)	agreed (10)	7:11,11;31:25;32:8,
a	activities (1)	19:2	32:25;36:14,18;	9,18,24;33:6;34:12;
abetting (1)	57:9	adversary (1)	50:1;53:21;55:20;	35:13;36:14;38:15,
101:12	actual (1)	53:24	69:5;78:5;83:3;117:9	18;44:3;45:6,9,10;
ability (2)	26:21	advise (2)	agreeing (3)	81:18,24;94:11;
17.7,71.2	actually (12)	21:10;113:6	104:17;106:4;	98:25;102:12;103:3;
able (11)	24:19,21;29:22;	Advisory (2)	114:23	107:12;108:13;111:4,
24:24;25:3;29:6;	41:4;44:23;49:8;81:9; 88:14;101:17;111:11;	14:11;92:2 affect (4)	Agreement (25) 2:16,21;22:18;24:5,	almost (1)
43:14;60:7;72:21,22;	112:12;118:14	63:25;83:1;119:25;	8;30:12;32:13,19;	34:9
76:21;86:5;114:12;	Ad (3)	121:3	36:17;37:9;49:13;	along (4)
119.2	10:3;76:20;77:22	affecting (1)	50:3,3,6;55:14;75:12;	24:2;44:7;52:3;
absence (4)	add (6)	19:9	78:1;79:24;80:7,24;	124:15
29.23,40.12,13,	27:5;67:1;68:21,23;	affects (1)	84:25;93:1;97:11;	altered (3)
109:25	103:25;111:13	19:13	99:13:123:13	42:9;81:23;86:12
absent (3)	added (7)	affirmative (1)	Ah (1)	alternative (6)
34:6,16;36:6 absolute (4)	39:10;52:14;61:5;	56:6	116:17	30:4;36:13,15;83:9,
44:25;47:15;96:25;	63:18;64:18;65:9;	affirmatively (1)	ahead (11)	17;123:9
97:6	108:3	53:22	22:24;49:20;56:25;	although (5)
Absolutely (3)	adding (3)	AFI (31)	69:10;70:18,21;78:7;	30:22;35:14;80:15;
69:14;98:5;107:14	55:11;67:5,25	24:6;28:12;30:24;	79:14;92:4,6;122:14	98:1;107:6
Accept (5)	addition (6)	34:2;37:19;39:21;	aiding (1)	ALVES (1)
2:4;23:24;78:14;	19:7;33:8;35:3;	40:5,8,14,24;41:7,13;	101:12	8:16
87.9 10	37:12;60:17;64:15	50:1,4;79:22;80:3;	AIG (1)	always (3)
acceptability (1)	additional (10)	101:9,11,14;102:11;	11:19	46:17;118:9;120:23
97:1	29:20;52:14;61:16;	105:14;107:23;	air (1)	Ambac (2)
acceptable (4)	75:9;76:23;78:13;	108:18;109:4,9,22,23;	44:1	14:3;54:7
81:18;96:23,24;	83:16,24;100:20;	110:6,11;111:20;	AKIN (1)	amended (3)
97:4	108:3	112:5	10:11	37:24;57:5;91:7
acceptance (2)	additions (1) 83:8	AFI's (2) 101:5;110:11	al (1) 14:19	amendments (1) 91:1
104:8,20	address (9)	afternoon (2)	ALAN (2)	Americas (3)
Acceptances (1)	22:10;24:16;31:9;	102:11;115:3	13:8;71:25	6:4;14:4;15:4
3:5	45:21;67:4;76:23;	afterwards (1)	ALDRIDGE (2)	Amherst (5)
ACCESS (1)	79:18;96:15;101:18	72:16	13:2;72:1	14:11;92:2,11;
16:10	addressed (3)	Again (17)	ALISON (4)	93:12,15
accommodate (2) 40:20;122:1	34:22;59:23;107:25	34:15;36:6;44:23;	16:15;58:24;59:6;	among (4)
accommodating (1)	addresses (1)	48:11;56:19;64:22;	122:9	30:22;32:17;33:23;
120:9	60:20	65:10;87:16;90:20;	ALLEN (1)	95:18
accomplish (1)	addressing (1)	93:16;97:3,6,19,25;	15:2	amount (17)
50:20	106:16	100:16;106:1;107:4	allocate (2)	19:20;26:22;33:25;
accomplished (3)	adequate (7)	against (30)	109:12,13	39:25;54:18;55:5,8,9;
33:20;117:15;	37:20;57:6,15;	26:7;31:25;33:6;	allocated (1)	56:14,16,17;108:21;
124:16	83:20;87:11;89:20;	34:2,12;35:13;37:18;	79:23	109:25;110:16;117:1,
accordance (1)	91:1	38:15,18,18;40:14;	allocates (1)	24;124:16
67:11	adequately (1)	47:16;54:8,13;55:6;	33:14	ample (1)
account (2)	34:22	63:21;80:23;91:14,	allocation (4)	112:9
03.23,123.13	adhere (1)	15;94:10;96:11;	33:10,13,22;36:1	analysis (5)
achieved (3)	20:25	99:25;101:11;108:18;	allow (2)	32:8;39:17;53:14;
20.17,30.13,21	adjourn (4) 21:5,7;22:8,17	110:6,11;111:4,7,20; 112:5	57:4;82:2 allowance (4)	55:11;103:12 ANDREW (1)
achieving (1)	21:5,7;22:8,17 adjourned (1)	agenda (9)	33:10,22;116:21,25	9:8
32.13	124:23	17:6,7,8,9;21:19,	allowed (9)	Angeles (1)
acknowledge (1)	admin (1)	20;23:10;124:10,11	54:7;55:9,20;56:1;	9:15
117.20	67:7	aggregate (1)	63:19,24;66:10;93:3;	anticipate (2)
acknowledged (1)	Administration (1)	77:10	95:15	29:8;99:6
07.22	70:6	ago (6)	allowing (2)	anticipated (4)
act (1)		G (-)	60,	

12-12020-mg Doc 4815 Filed RESIDENTIAL CAPITAL, LLC, et al., Doc 4815 Filed 08/22/13 Entered 08/23/13 14:21:11 Main Document Pa 128 of 147 Case No. 12-12020-mg August 21, 2013 44:11:55:9,12; 120:11 26:13;27:13;28:11; becomes (1) 57:13 Approving (15) 54:21 27:16 В 2:3,6,7,15,18,21; bed (1) anticipating (1) assign (1) 45:3 20:1,1;23:22,23,24, 72:4 81:11 back (18) anticipation (1) assigned (1) began (1) 25;56:5;89:1;96:4 20:24;21:17;44:22; 106:17 approximately (2) 62:19 24:10 45:21;47:22;51:21; 31:19;32:25 beginning (2) apologize (3) assume (7) 58:16;80:12;96:3; 23:10;91:16,18 April (1) 71:21,22;72:4,22, 33:1:36:22 98:24;100:7;107:4,9; 29:24 begins (1) Apparently (1) 24;73:1,10 109:2;113:4;115:6; 50:3 arguably (1) Assuming (6) 111:4 117:12;122:3 appeals (1) 54:16 18:10;55:21;83:1; Behalf (15) backed (1) 2:16,16;17:5;22:6; 34:9 100:23;103:1;111:25 argue (4) 43:6 appear (2) 39:18;80:13;95:13; Assurance (1) 29:3;35:13;72:1; backwards (1) 122:12;123:6 104:10 14:3 73:21;77:19,22; 119:3 appearing (1) argument (13) Assured (4) 92:11;93:11,15; bad' (1) 102:11;118:14 37:19;59:20;69:9; 13:12;54:7;55:18; 124:4 19:15 appellate (2) 83:9,15,17,17,19,25; 60:2 behind (1) Baer (1) 95:24;100:22;101:9; attached (1) 34:9;36:8 48:23 76:4 applicable (11) 106:5 65:4 believes (1) BALLARD (1) 18:20,22;20:3,17; arguments (3) attempt (2) 21:2 15:19 60:15;63:6,22;67:10, 37:17;47:24;48:4 31:24;93:1 BELKNAP (1) ballot (14) attempts (1) 24;68:15;99:21 arising (2) 14:2 102:18:103:4.7.20: applied (1) 101:23;102:3 109:8 belong (1) 104:3.6.17.18:105:8. 123:14 ARLENE (1) Attorneys (28) 101:13 22,22,24;113:10;

applies (2) 51:3;68:2 apply (5) 50:11;52:1;63:5; 93:2;103:24

appointed (3) 24:11;31:24;32:4 Appointment (2) 2:13;57:9

appreciate (8) 44:10,11;78:15; 96:2;99:4;106:11; 119:16,17

appreciates (2) 121:23;124:19 approaching (1) 42:5

appropriate (12) 21:1;56:11;73:8; 95:13;96:15;98:13; 99:5,21;100:6; 107:17;111:16; 112:16

appropriately (1) 107:3 Approval (19)

> 2:19;17:10,20,25; 18:1,3,5,8,11;20:19; 21:13;22:2,11;24:4; 28:21;76:6;82:3;86:9; 88:24

approve (10) 47:21;48:9;98:23; 100:17,20;114:24; 119:13;123:20,21,21 approved (11)

20:16;43:3;49:9; 83:19;86:11,14,17; 101:15;103:2,23;

8:16 arm (1) 25:10 around (2) 33:4;96:2 array (2) 29:16;33:6 arrived (1) 79:23 Article (6)

81:15;96:20,21; 97:2;101:20;110:25 articulate (1)

90:3 articulating (1) 82:17 ascertaining (1) 19:19

ascribe (1) 41:2 ascribes (1) 110:16 aside (1)

111:23 aspirational (1) 38:8 assert (3)

37:18;63:13;110:5 asserted (7) 33:5;34:1;54:13; 56:3;101:11;111:19, 20 assess (1)

62:14 asset (2) 31:18:57:8 assets (4)

19:9

assessed (1)

6:3;7:11,19;8:3,11, 20;9:3,12,21;10:3,12, 20;11:3,19;12:3,12, 21;13:3,12,20;14:3, 11,19;15:3,11,20; 16:3,11

Attorney's (1) 5:13 attributed (1) 29:15 attributing (1)

109:4 August (1) 78:2 authorities (1) 62:20

authority (10) 59:12,16:63:13; 67:16,19;68:1,13; 69:1;95:19,24 automatically (1)

62:12 available (5) 40:12;41:13;50:18. 22;112:6

Avenue (15) 6:4;7:12,20;9:13, 22;10:21;11:4,12,20; 12:13,22;13:4;14:4, 12;15:4 avoid (1) 30:3

aware (3) 32:21;82:10;121:6 away (4) 47:3:50:5:54:20; 76:3

119:1

Ballots (7) 2:6;23:25;103:19, 20;105:1;106:7;113:8 Bank (12)

7:11;8:11;11:3; 12:21;15:20;18:18; 19:1;20:10;21:15; 93:11,21;102:12

Bankr (4) 2:12;95:20,21,23 Bankruptcy (9)

17:23,24;34:3; 40:18;50:16,21;65:9; 88:3;112:15 Barbara (1)

113:9 **BARTLETT (1)** 12:20 base (2)

56:16,17

Based (5) 20:10;83:16;88:19;

113:7;122:25 Basic (2) 114:11.13

basically (7) 32:11;55:18,22; 104:7;106:20;109:24; 114:23

Basis (7) 2:20,21;23:15;34:6; 95:1;107:23;111:8 Battery (1)

8:12 bear (3) 19:14;65:17;116:1 became (2) 32:9;83:13

BENDER (1) 12:2

beneficiaries (2) 27:25;50:15 BENEFIT (4) 16:18;73:21;86:15;

95:7 BENSON (1) 13:19

bent (1) 119:3 Berkshire (1)

9:12 Bernardino (4) 16:3;59:11,15,18

best (15) 27:11;32:14;39:3; 40:17,19,22;46:24; 53:12,18;74:15; 77:13;120:17;121:7, 24;122:2

better (6) 26:25;45:19;46:24; 48:5;95:23;115:9 beyond (1)

47:25 big (5) 22:4;27:13;43:11; 64:18;124:13

bigger (1) 103:13 **BIGGERS (1)** 14:24

billion (12) 31:2,4,5,8,19; 32:24;36:4;79:22; 80:2;108:20,20;109:5 billions (5)

Case No. 12-12020-111g				August 21, 2013
111:24	9:4	calendar (5)	25:15;29:12,19;	51:16,22;52:4,12;
binder (1)	BR (2)	21:11;22:9,13,23;	30:1,3,4,14,15,21,23;	54:4;55:15;62:25;
25:25	95:20,22	62:12	31:16,21,22,23;32:3,	69:4;88:6;100:3;
binding (1)	breadth (2)	California (1)	11,18;33:18;34:19,	105:3;113:10
95:19	29:16;96:18	59:19	21;35:8,18,25;36:6,	changed (4)
bit (5)	break (1)	call (4)	10,22;37:2,4;38:16;	41:6;51:18;52:3;
78:5;79:17;82:22;	123:7	46:7;106:19;	46:18;48:1,1,2,7;	69:20
97:25;109:2	BRIAN (2)	113:23;119:19	51:2;57:8;75:13;79:6;	changes (10)
BLACK (1)	5:9;14:7	called (2)	80:13;85:15;92:22;	27:8,8;28:19;57:2;
7:23	brief (8)	60:3;122:11	98:13;99:19;100:2;	59:3;69:15,17;
black- (1)	18:17;21:1;60:18;	calling (1)	104:5;107:16;119:4,	113:14;114:25;
39:13	88:15,17,20;106:20;	115:7	8,17;120:12;123:25	123:13
blackline (9)	110:12	calls (2)	cases (10)	channeled (3)
52:16,17;60:24;	briefed (2)	35:10,18	33:1;34:8;53:3;	111:19,21;112:6
61:18,19;64:21;	97:19;112:19	came (3)	63:2;96:10;97:21,22;	channeling (2)
66:15;87:23;101:25	briefing (3)	41:3;42:24;94:20	99:25;103:6;112:15	111:16;112:24
black-line (2)	94:16;100:20,21	can (61)	cash (10)	Chapter (12)
110:24;113:13	briefly (2)	18:20,23;22:12,13;	26:15,21;28:2,5,9,	2:5;3:3,5;24:2,3;
blow (4)	32:22;77:24	37:16;38:5;39:5;		31:21;35:8;36:6;
	52.22,77.24 briefs (1)		10;35:1,3;54:15,18	38:19;40:6;57:8;
76:25;77:1,12;	21:12	40:15,20;41:16;	caught (1)	
106:22 blown (1)	-	42:24;44:9;46:23,25;	84:10	112:15 characterization (1)
89:9	brings (7)	47:24;54:18;62:23;	caused (1) 77:10	80:19
	23:20;74:10,18;	65:21;69:16;70:24;		
blowup (1)	76:20;92:1;93:20,24	73:3,5,7;76:2,14;	causes (1) 32:6	characterize (1)
107:6	broad (6)	77:23;78:21;82:22;		108:10
blue (2)	33:2;47:25;61:7;	86:24,24,24,25;88:1,	caution (1)	characterized (1)
45:3,4	64:10;67:17,17	10;95:6;99:6;100:7,	122:3	108:23
BMR (1)	Broadway (2)	10,24;101:24;103:25;	CAVE (1)	charges (4)
16:4	13:21;14:20	107:9,11;111:23;	14:18	60:15;63:14;67:11;
board (4)	broke (1)	112:19;114:19;115:3,	CC (2)	68:15
20:24;35:5;37:10;	58:13	6,8,10;117:17;	2:2,11	chart (8)
70:6	broken (1)	119:17;120:4,17,18,	cede (2)	24:22;25:10,22;
BOCKIUS (1)	116:13	24;121:5,7,24;122:2; 123:19	17:11;28:15	28:22;57:19,21;75:2; 108:6
11:2	brought (2)		Center (2)	
bold (1)	31:18;92:21	capacity (1)	7:4;95:21	Chase (1)
103:9	BRYAN (1)	95:11	centerpiece (2)	10:4
booklet (1)	14:18	Capital (2)	28:13;32:23	check (1)
116:4	Bryant (2)	14:19;17:3	central (1)	62:20 Chief (1)
borrower (19)	8:21;10:13	capture (2)	44:5	Chief (1)
26:21;31:10;34:18,	bucket (2)	25:13;51:1	cents (5)	16:19
25;35:7;54:10,13,14,	39:10,12	care (1)	40:9,24;41:7,8,14	choose (1)
20,25;55:6,11,21;	build (1)	18:23	Certain (7)	72:23
56:21;59:2;75:12;	118:22	carefully (1)	11:11;43:18,18;	chosen (1)
111:18;112:23;	Building (1)	45:15	46:4;77:7;85:4;	19:13
113:23	16:4	CARL (1)	110:16	circle (1)
borrowers (11)	bunch (2)	7:23	certainly (12)	106:14
26:17,20;27:2;	43:15;109:1	CARLSON (2)	29:7;30:5,6;34:9;	Circuit (11)
34:19,23;35:2,3,4;	burden (3)	15:10,16	38:19;48:23;49:5;	18:18;19:2,2,6;
56:3,21,23 Restan (1)	53:16;56:24;119:18	CARNEY (5)	99:23;100:4;105:21;	20:15;21:3,14;48:2;
Boston (1)	burdens (1)	8:25;71:5,6,9,9	107:6;110:4	72:6;95:18;97:23
9:5 both (12)	121:19	Carpenters (3)	certainty (1)	circuits (1)
both (12)	burning (1)	26:17;27:25;34:13	19:17	48:3
29:10;31:24;33:4;	95:15	Carpenter's (1)	Certification (1)	Circuit's (1)
34:4;37:17;45:7;	business (6)	112:24	2:13	50:11
46:13;85:9;92:25;	31:20;57:7;65:5;	carry (1)	certified (1)	circumstances (1)
97:21;111:7;120:25	67:10;101:7,15	56:8	34:14	95:12
bottom (1)	businesses (1)	carve (1)	cetera (1)	City (1)
101:22	102:14	50:1	68:15	12:5
bound (3)	C	carved (2)	Chambers (6)	claim (49)
88:8;92:17;116:4	C	27:21;38:13	5:14;23:16;115:7,	38:21,23;39:11,19;
boy (1)	CA (2)	carve-out (3)	16,21;118:15	53:23;55:2,9,10,20;
117:8	CA (2)	50:5;98:4,11	change (16)	56:1,7,13;59:23,24;
Boylston (1)	9:15;16:5	case (51)	27:9;38:8;49:22,22;	60:1,1,14;61:12,12,
-	1	ı	1	1

Case No. 12-12020-mg 13;62:2,2,13;63:9,13, 14.19.23.24:64:10.11. 13;65:15,20;66:14,22, 25;67:14;68:4,6,11, 14,20,24;84:21;85:4; 110:15;111:24; 124:5 117:24 claimant (1) 49:23 44:9 clause (1) claimants (20) 98:23 28:4;32:19;42:17, clear (14) 22;43:21;44:18,21; 45:6;56:8;81:19,25; 94:11;96:25;97:5; 98:24,25;99:13; 100:5;106:20;107:8 clearly (4) claimants' (1) 94:5 42:11 CLEARY (1) claimant's (1) 75:12 8:2 **claims** (132) Cleveland (1) 25:17,17,18;26:6. 7:21 17,19;27:1,15;31:2,4, client (1) 5,6,10,25;32:6,11; 92:14 clients (1) 33:3,9,9,11,13,18,21, 22,25;34:1,4,4,6,11, 111:23 12,15,18,19;35:7,10, close (2) 12,18;36:2,3,4;37:13, 20,21;38:15,18,18,22; closer (2) 40:14;46:13;54:2,7, 13,14,16,20,21;55:6, closest (1) 11.21:56:3.6:59:14. 41:3 23;60:3,4,5,7,9,12,23; closing (1) 61:1,7;62:3,4,10,22; 31:18 63:8,16;64:6,8,16,17, **Code (6)** 20,22,23;65:2,10,12, 12,14;66:10,25;67:7, 9,15,16,18,21,21,24; 68:2;83:14,18,22; 50:14 collateral (6) 84:5,13;85:1,3,5;86:1, 10;101:7,10,13,14; 108:18;109:6;110:5, 84:20 10;111:4,7,19,20,21; colleagues (1) 112:1,5,23,23,24,25; 103:7 119:25 collection (1) clarification (1) 35:6 collectively (1) 37:22 clarifications (2) 76:1 37:15;38:2 colloquy (2) clarified (2) 89:11,13 clarify (2) 28:7

77:4,13 comfort (1) clarifying (1) 69:3 comfortable (2) 90:12 clarity (2) 40:19;76:17 46:23;83:2 comforted (4) **Class (48)** 88:11,12,13,15 2:12,14,14,17,18; comforting (2) 12:3,12;15:11;17:11, 67:25;81:20 19,21;19:8,21;20:2, coming (5)

28:1;31:5,10;34:13, 25;39:4,5,11;51:5,14; 54:14,24;55:19,20,21, 23:56:9.14.15.15: 60:3;123:15,15,17; classification (1)

19:13;22:3;29:21; 42:25;43:3;47:3;71:8; 79:5;83:7;85:3;91:5; 93:13;99:3;103:18

89:10,15;92:14;

106:13;124:22

26:24;77:20

17:24;23:5;40:18; 65:9;72:5;82:2

codefendants (1)

37:17;77:6,7,8,10;

88:13;110:21

combination (1)

28:9,9,10,12; 117:12

commence (1)

118:4 commenced (1) 30:21

commencement (1) 117:18

comment (1) 69:7

comments (3) 20:11;81:12;124:15 Committee (15)

6:3,14;7:3;9:3; 22:6;24:6;29:4;32:6, 8,18;33:17;42:13; 44:13;77:8;118:14

committee's (1) 108:11 communicated (1)

53:24 Company (1)

35:13 comparable (1) 27:3

compare (1) 41:12 compared (1) 87:22

complain (1) 27:17 complained (1)

73:9 complaining (1) 79:22 complete (1)

105:11 completely (4) 30:6,11:42:18; 87:19

complex (2) 35:24;36:20 complicated (1) 124:6 comply (2)

40:17;120:4 components (2) 57:11,12

comprehensive (1) 78:13 compromise (1)

32:19 compromises (2) 57:12.12

concept (2) 26:23;40:10

conceptually (1) 84:8

concern (8) 47:3;49:7;85:22; 92:22;94:14;96:17; 100:9;116:18

concerned (3) 82:7;84:11;123:23 concerning (2)

33:24;38:10 concerns (2)

106:21;123:19

conclude (1) 96:9

concluded (2) 106:19:124:25 concludes (2)

39:3;124:10 conclusion (4) 38:4;109:23;

115:10;121:15 conclusions (2)

108:12;117:5 condition (3) 43:19;47:15;94:18

conditionally (1) 114:23

conditioned (1) 42:11 conditions (2)

81:15;107:2 conduct (3)

47:11;94:7;98:12 confer (1)

98:25 confess (1) 102:15

confirm (3) 37:16;99:1;102:8

confirmability (2) 79:16:81:8

confirmable (4) 84:15;85:23;86:7;

88:19 Confirmation (56) 2:7,8,9;23:25;24:1,

14;39:1,2;41:24,24; 42:15,20;43:7,8,10, 13,15,20;44:16;47:5, 20;49:2,6;53:16; 56:19;72:5,19;73:25; 74:15;81:14,16,17; 83:2,12;92:13;93:16;

94:3,24;95:13;96:6, 18,20,23;98:17;99:2; 107:15;112:3;115:25; 117:18;118:19;119:2,

20,22;120:2;124:21, 22 confirmed (8)

42:4:104:2.8:110:2. 4,8;111:25;124:17

conflicts (1) 20:9 congratulate (1) 124:12 connected (1)

122:21 connection (9)

34:21;37:12,20,24; 46:9,10;107:15,25; 122:16

consensual (6)

29:6:103:13.13:

August 21, 2013

106:2;107:19;124:22 consensually (1) 33:8

consensus (6) 28:17;29:12,14; 30:22;121:20,22

consent (8) 35:6;45:16,17; 81:24;97:4;103:4,8,

consenting (16) 24:7;32:18;45:6;

81:19,25;96:25;97:5; 98:24,25;99:12; 100:5;103:1,3; 105:25;106:20;107:8

consents (1) 102:19

consequences (2) 52:2;57:14

Consider (3) 2:19;20:12;25:1

considering (2) 47:12;75:12 considers (1)

77:8 consist (1) 25:9

consistent (4) 40:22;42:12;45:23; 99:21

consolidation (1) 36:1

constant (1) 84:12

constituencies (1) 99:7

constituency (3) 37:4;99:7,9

contact (2) 115:16;118:16

contacted (1) 118:15

contemplate (2) 97:11;119:12

contemplated (3) 31:11;35:17;97:18

contemplates (1) 40:5

contemplating (1) 118:24

contemplation (1) 40:21

contested (6) 43:14,14;44:2;

117:8,21;118:23 context (5)

98:13.18 continue (3)

(4) claimant - continue

30:19;33:19;35:8;

17,21;21:2;22:3;

26:17,19;27:20,22,25;

Case No. 12-12020-111g				August 21, 2013
continued (1)	country (2)	100:14,16;101:2,4,19,	9:25	51:5;60:18;107:3
42:12	33:5;63:3	24;102:2,5,15,21,23;	cure (2)	debt (1)
continues (1)	counts (1)	103:22;104:1,12,14,	33:13;72:17	84:23
32:2	82:16	18,22,25;105:3,5,9,	current (1)	debtor (12)
continuing (2)	County (4)	13;106:8,15,25;	32:20	25:6,15;27:4,18;
43:20;78:3	16:3;58:19,21;	107:10,18;108:2,5,16,	currently (2)	31:17;35:5;38:16;
contract (4)	59:18	23;109:13,15,17,20;	26:8;54:11	42:13;44:13;82:4;
71:23;72:16;78:24;	couple (8)	110:7,14,22;111:9;	Curtis (1)	101:13;107:12
71.23,72.10,78.24, 79:1	24:19;46:3;48:8;		20:9	Debtors (31)
		112:11,18,21;113:1,3,		
contrary (2)	49:24;109:11;113:16;	9,12,18,21,25;114:2,	cut (2)	2:17;17:6,10,17,18;
72:5;88:23	122:5;123:19	3,5,5,7,10,13,14,16,	62:20;103:20	25:7,9,11,12,14;26:7,
contributing (1)	course (5)	16,21,23;115:8,10,13,	CUTLER (1)	8,9;32:4,12,18;34:12;
109:5	65:5,18;67:10;	15,22,23;116:1,5,10,	7:2	36:5;54:8,8,13;59:8;
contribution (7)	113:6;120:13	15;118:3,10,16,20,22;		63:22;72:3;73:24;
28:12;32:24;36:2,	COURT (380)	119:3,16,22;120:5,7,	D	78:14;85:6;98:25;
15;79:22,25;80:3	17:2,13,15,22,25;	8,10,15,20,24;121:5,		101:8,16;102:4
control (3)	18:3,6,9,12;20:7,14;	11,13,15,25;122:2,6,	DAN (2)	Debtors' (8)
43:7;44:20;96:7	21:9,19,22,25;22:13,	11,17,18,20,22,24;	12:17;124:4	3:3;24:5;26:13;
controlling (2)	16,18,22;23:6,13,17,	123:6,8,11,19;124:6,	DANIEL (2)	39:23;57:7,8;102:13;
18:18;51:6	19;24:10,17;25:5;	12,19	10:16;13:24	105:8
conversation (1)	27:5,21;28:3,19;29:6,	CourtCall (1)	dark (1)	December (1)
107:8	23;30:9,11;32:21;	122:9	88:22	121:10
conversations (1)	33:11;34:3,5,8,14;	courtroom (1)	date (11)	decide (15)
59:25	35:21;36:8;37:6;	87:16	26:24;68:7,19;72:5,	20:21;43:4,17;
copies (2)	38:21,25;39:2;40:7,	courts (3)	9,19;93:16;117:2;	47:16;83:3;86:23;
76:2;116:9	23;41:10,15,18;44:3,	33:4;36:8;50:15	118:1;121:10,15	87:1;88:7;89:14;
copious (1)	14,20;45:2,5,9,14,19;	Court's (3)	dates (4)	94:16,24;96:10;
48:24	46:2,7,18;47:2;48:13,	24:4;49:5;107:5	115:14,24;120:15;	98:17;100:22;105:14
coproponents (6)	15,18,20,22,25;49:4,	cover (1)	121:21	decided (4)
42:13;43:1;90:21;	15,17;50:16,21;51:4,	101:7	DAVID (1)	47:6;48:5;50:4;
93:6;94:14;96:5	10,12,14,19,21;52:8,	covered (4)	12:7	78:19
coproponent's (1)	11,16,19,21,24;53:3,	51:17;60:3;94:6;	DAY (10)	decides (1)
115:1	5,17,19;54:1,3,22;	99:9	7:18;49:3;55:12;	94:25
co-proponents (1)	55:2,5,16,25;56:10,	covers (1)	89:5,7,14;93:7,12;	deciding (4)
69:4	12,18,20,23,25;57:20,	47:10		47:17;98:15;103:5;
		created (2)	115:20;118:8	117:12
copy (3) 116:5,13,17	25;58:2,5,8,11,15;	106:9;107:14	days (7)	decision (8)
	59:3,5,7,15,16,20;	creating (1)	24:19;75:11;87:13;	
Coram (2)	61:2,4,8,10,14,18,21;	0 \ /	118:18,19;119:10,14	50:11;57:4;72:4,19,
95:22;97:20	62:1,7,15,23;63:15;	111:24	DC (1)	20;96:6,7;99:2
CORDARO (1)	64:1,4,6;65:16,21,24;	Credit (1)	16:21	decisions (1)
5:18	66:3,7,9,12,17,21,23;	70:5	de (1)	94:20
Corp (1)	67:3,6;68:3,7,25;69:2,	creditor (4)	31:12	decree (1)
95:22	4,8,14,16,19,22;70:1,	27:12;30:23;40:12;	deadline (4)	35:6
corporate (1)	2,7,11,13,18,21,23;	57:16	24:18;75:11;	deemed (2)
25:10	71:1,4,7,14,21,23;	creditors (22)	116:19,24	104:7,19
Corporation (4)	72:6,8,12,21;73:5,7,	24:7;26:6,14,16;	deadlines (1)	default (3)
14:3;16:18;71:17;	15,18;74:2,7,11,13,	27:4;28:1,8;29:17;	116:23	78:22;79:1,5
73:22	21,21;75:2,5,14,17,	30:23,25;31:12;	deal (17)	defendant (1)
Corrado (2)	21,23,25;76:3,7,9,12,	32:15;33:6;39:4,15;	21:10;29:12;32:1;	50:18
114:15,16	16,19;77:3,15,20;	41:13;53:11;56:15;	37:9;54:22;57:22;	defendants (5)
correctly (2)	78:7,11,21,24;79:3,	105:7,16;112:7;	61:15;65:13;95:5,5,	18:23;19:7,17;
45:5;91:8	10,12,21;80:9,11;	118:25	14;96:19;117:14;	46:16;50:24
corresponding (1)	81:3,3,5;82:3,8,12,14,	Creditors' (8)	119:24;120:13;122:4;	defer (3)
52:5	23;84:9,19;85:7,10,	6:3,14;7:3;22:6;	124:7	91:4;102:7;112:16
costs (4)	12,14;86:3,8,19,21,	24:6;29:3;108:10;	dealing (7)	defined (3)
28:2;60:15;67:11;	23;87:6,9,13,25;88:3,	118:14	23:11;61:5;62:3;	39:4;63:20;66:20
68:15	6,21;89:21,25;90:2,4,	CRO (1)	63:8;65:3;108:12;	defines (2)
Counsel (10)	6,8,10,13,16,17,20,24;	32:5	121:8	22:19;66:14
2:14;6:14;7:3;	91:4,5,11,15,18,20,	CRUTCHER (2)	deals (8)	definition (7)
16:19;20:9;59:15,25;	25;92:4,6,8;93:5,9,18,	14:10;92:11	63:16;64:4,6,7;	61:6;64:9;66:9,24;
62:7;71:23;115:1	22;94:2;95:18;96:6;	crystal (1)	65:12;67:15;107:22;	67:14,16;68:24
counsel's (2)	97:23;98:5,9,14,17;	99:3	119:23	Del (2)
61:4;62:1	99:1,2,9,15,17,22,25;	CURCHACK (1)	dealt (3)	95:20,23
	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,		(c)	70.20,20

Case No. 12-12020-mg August 21, 2013 delay (3) different (14) 10,17;109:5;110:25; 123:23 44:10,19,22;45:3,13, 19:19:29:19:31:15 31:16;33:6,9;51:24, 112:16 draft (1) 15.21:46:6.8.21: demonstrate (1) 25:52:1:63:4,4,5; discussions (1) 76:2 48:10,14,16,19,21; 56:20 64:25;65:1;90:7; 95:10 drafted (10) 49:24:53:6:57:11: Denney (6) 98:19;107:19 dispute (3) 20:25;21:12,12; 77:3;81:10;88:13,25; 18:18;19:1;20:15; differently (1) 38:10;119:8;123:25 89:10,22,23;90:1,3,5, 47:10;87:10;94:6; 21:15;46:18;51:5 94:11 disputes (7) 96:21;97:16;98:3; 7,9,11,14,17;92:21; denv (1) difficult (7) 32:1;33:23,24; 107:17 96:13,14;97:25;98:7, 21:5 19:20;31:13;34:8, 35:23;36:21;87:19; drafts (1) 10;99:4,11,16,18,23; **DEPARTMENT (2)** 16;44:3;105:14; 124:21 76:15 100:2,15;101:1,3,17, 119:20 distinguish (1) draw (1) 20;102:3,6;106:13, 5:2,12 depend (1) diminution (3) 96:16 115:10 16;107:2,11;110:19, 117:20 77:9;83:20,21 distributable (2) drawing (1) 20,23;112:8,12,19,22; depending (1) 28:6;56:14 113:2;115:5,9,11,15, direction (3) 20:24 distribution (8) 31:16,23;93:14 driven (1) 21;119:15;120:3,6,8, 26:6 25:7;26:12,21;35:3; deprive (1) directly (2) 83:24 14,17,22;121:1,12,14, 85:2;97:5 39:5,14,22;112:7 19:8 driver (1) 18;122:1 Eckstein's (3) derivative (2) disagree (5) distributions (10) 27:13 101:12,13 89:17,18,22;90:1; 26:14,15,16,20; drop (1) 24:16;53:10;111:23 describe (3) 109:7 28:8;35:6;54:24; 53:19 effect (3) disagreeing (1) 57:14,15;81:23 dropped (5) 42:14;105:21; 28:17,18,21 described (1) 104:17 district (2) 38:16,24,24;53:13, 111:17 30:21 disagreement (1) 28:3;34:14 15 effective (4) describes (1) 36:25 diverse (1) drove (2) 26:24;68:7,19; 39:11 Disclosure (87) 29:17 83:10,16 121:9 2:3;3:3;23:22;24:3, **due (2)** effectively (2) describing (1) divert (1) 13,18;25:2,20,22,23; 47:2 62:21;113:6 67:20;103:20 67:23 designed (2) 26:5;27:10;28:22; Doc (1) **DUNN (2)** effects (1) 40:3,21 29:6,10;30:19;37:24; 2:2 14:10;92:10 97:6 desirability (1) effectuate (1) 38:3;39:7,10;41:2,21, Doc# (2) during (2) 19:13 22;42:25;51:24;52:5, 2:11;3:2 36:5;38:19 26:11 desk (1) dwell (1) efforts (1) 14,15,15,17;53:21; docket (4) 116:4 55:8;56:5;57:2,5; 58:17,24:59:6; 94:13 32:2 detail (6) 59:1,6,8;60:24;61:14; 71:20 dynamic (1) eight (1) 59:2;70:19,20; 62:24;63:7,15,17; document (1) 101:3 40:8 110:1;111:1,6 eighteen (2) 64:1;66:18;69:25; 107:4 \mathbf{E} detailed (1) documents (6) 30:20;36:21 71:11;73:1,24;74:6, 24:4;44:23;45:7; 32:8 17,20;75:8,9;77:2; either (11) 76:15;97:16,17 43:3;47:21;48:8; details (1) 79:4,9,19;80:21;83:8; earlier (11) 32:21 85:15;87:11,12,15,18, dollar (9) 19:18:46:23:54:23: 49:18:53:24:70:1; determination (1) 55:19;56:1,7,13; 84:21;85:2;89:19; 21,22;88:12;90:25; 68:12;74:14;77:3; 53:23 91:6,12:96:5;100:17, 79:22,25;80:2;95:15; 81:10,12;88:14;94:3, 103:17:104:17 determine (8) 20;107:22;108:7,9; 110:15 14 elaborate (1) 84:4,7,12;85:20,21, 109:19;110:9,24; dollars (18) early (10) 110:18 Eleven (1) 111:6;112:9,10; 26:23;27:14,15; 34:18;38:15;43:13; 24,25;89:7 determined (1) 114:24;117:14; 28:1;29:20;31:2,3,5,8, 59:19;86:6;88:24; 13:13 120:11 9,19;32:24;33:14; 90:15;98:1;111:5; eliminate (1) 103:7 disclosures (5) 34:12,15;35:4;36:4; determines (4) 117:3 37:24 35:21;88:3,18; 76:24;78:13,15,16; 55:3 earmarked (1) eliminating (2) 90:17 108:4 done (19) 33:23;38:9 55:10 determining (1) discovery (2) 27:9;28:18;37:10; earnest (1) ELISE (1) 111:15 119:8;123:25 58:16;61:22;77:4; 24:11 6:9 discrete (3) 82:16;83:2,4;95:5,15; ELLIS (2) Deutsche (6) easy (3) 11:3;12:21;18:18; 22:9;25:3;36:23 95:9;103:25;124:20 103:15,19;113:19,20, 7:10;102:11 19:1;21:15;93:11 discretion (2) 21;115:3;119:12; **ECF (2)** ELROD (1) 42:2;45:5 developments (1) 124:16 59:6;123:20 10:24 DORR (1) else (4) 31:22 discrimination (2) ECKSTEIN (105) DEVORE (1) 53:18;56:24 7:2 6:7;22:5,6,14,17, 57:22;58:20;65:16; 9:8 discussing (2) DOUGLAS (1) 21;24:9;28:13,16; 85:20 devote (1) 64:11;123:4 6:8 29:1,2,3;30:10,13; e-mail (1) 32:3 discussion (11) down (7) 37:8;38:23;39:24; 69:19 diff (1) 20:20;78:5;79:9; 42:2;43:12;49:16, 40:10;41:9,12,16; **EMANUEL (1)**

52:1

11:18

21;58:13;94:15;

42:23;43:11,25;

85:12;87:20;108:9,

Case No. 12-12020-mg August 21, 2013 embark (1) error (3) 106:2;107:13;118:12; 53:19:101:5 fair (9) 29:18 18:16:19:25:20:7 119:14:121:6 explains (1) 36:9,20;39:25; embodied (2) eScribers (1) evidence (1) 51:24 53:18:80:5,14:94:19; 32:20:57:12 3:21 107:16 explanation (1) 100:12:109:25 especially (2) exact (2) 107:23 empathize (1) fairly (6) 119:17 57:5;95:9 55:13;67:22 expressed (2) 17:7;19:9,21;37:11; 30:7;94:14 empty (1) **ESQ (43)** exactly (7) 77:23;109:22 5:8,9,18;6:7,8,9,10, Fairness (1) 89:9 44:23;49:12;82:19, expressly (1) 21;86:6;97:21;115:6 2:19 encompass (2) 19,20;7:7,15,23;8:7, 89:3 64:10;67:17 15,16,25;9:7,8,17,25; examiner (3) expunge (1) faith (2) encompassed (1) 10:7,8,16,24;11:7,15, 108:17;109:7; 54:12 95:6;100:13 110:14 29:16 24;12:7,8,17,25;13:8, Extend (5) **fall** (5) 44:5;45:16;49:3,3; encouraged (1) 16,24;14:7,15,24; examiner's (6) 3:2;23:1,3;100:24; 54:17 15:7,16,25;16:7,15,23 32:7;95:7;108:11, 101:15 53:15 end (14) essential (1) 12;109:23;110:10 extended (1) familiar (6) 41:22;57:3;96:22; 22:8,13,22;24:11; 36:16 example (7) 78:2 97:20,20;121:9 29:24;41:14;43:16; 19:14;50:19;67:8; essentially (6) Extending (1) 44:2;49:3;55:12;89:5. 30:21;41:9,17; 77:10;84:23;86:15; 3:4 Fannie (2) 55:17;72:3;97:16 6,14;100:9 102:17 extends (1) 50:2,4 endorse (3) establish (1) except (2) 47:11 far (3) 30:5;46:22;106:23 76:22;78:17 67:16;100:24; 26:11 extension (1) established (2) enforce (1) exchange (1) 21:21 111:22 38:17 27:24:72:6 33:1 extensions (1) fashion (1) Establishing (1) enhanced (1) Exclusive (1) 23:5 26:18 2:3 32:23 3:4 extensive (3) fast (1) Exclusivity (6) 32:9,10;111:6 105:5 enjoy (1) estate (11) 120:23 31:25;32:10;33:2,3; 3:2;21:21;23:2,3,3, fastest (1) extent (16) 28:24;38:6;40:18; enormous (1) 39:23;47:14,25; 119:19 124:16 99:10;105:8;109:24; exculpated (1) 45:8;48:12;63:19; favor (8) enough (9) 111:7 95:17 77:12;81:3,20;82:16; 55:19;87:3,4; 52:25:56:8:64:10, estates' (1) exculpation (24) 91:17;92:19;97:5; 102:18;103:5,8; 13:67:17.17:69:2: 32:15 47:8.13.25:48:10: 103:10:115:13.23 105:24:106:3 80:5;90:10 estimate (1) 82:4;94:4,9,21;95:1; extraordinary (1) favorable (1) ensure (1) 26:7 96:19;97:9,13,15; 112:14 47:13 98:2,12,23;99:20; 34:22 estimated (1) Fed (1) F entailed (1) 100:23;105:25;106:1, 2:11 55:5 34:8 estimates (1) 4,23;107:7,17 Federal (3) Excuse (1) 15:12;33:4;35:5 entails (1) 55:11 F2d (1) et (2) feedback (1) 19:19 30:10 19:3 enter (1) 14:19:68:15 excused (2) F3d (1) 100:8 113:7 **ETKIN (16)** 69:12,16 fees (6) 18:19 entered (2) 11:15;75:5,6,7,15, exercise (1) facilitate (1) 43:18:60:15:64:24; 36:19;76:6 16,18,22,24;76:3,5,8, 89:10 32:5 67:11;68:15;79:1 facing (1) Exhibit (1) **FELD** (1) entire (2) 11,12,13,15 100:10;122:9 ETS (11) 109:18 100:25 10:11 entirety (1) 27:5,9,12,12,14,15, existed (1) **fact (22) felt** (1) 62:14 19,21;39:10;49:24; 29:11,15;35:22; 87:19 32:11 51:17 entities (3) exists (2) 37:11,16;38:17;40:2, few (4) 25:14,15;27:4 evaluate (2) 28:19;62:12 7;44:24;47:7;54:19; 29:17;36:23;47:23; entitled (11) 20:21;105:17 expect (5) 98:22 63:8:65:13:67:23: 37:20;40:4;76:25; even (14) 73:25;74:14;95:16; 81:19:92:16:111:23: fewer (1) 77:5:78:25:83:19: 25:2;51:6;56:7; 106:19:107:7 112:5,14;117:5; 36:21 84:14;89:7,16;90:18, 60:20;65:14;68:19; expected (2) 121:9,24 FGIC (3) 21 72:13;81:2;84:2,4,6; 26:4;27:15 factor (1) 7:19;54:6;121:15 85:22;105:8;119:19 entitlement (3) expense (2) 112:2 FHAVA (1) event (4) 29:20;31:15 37:14,18;78:18 factored (2) 28:11 43:7;97:14;106:22; **FHFA (20)** Entry (4) expenses (1) 55:8,10 3:4;23:22;24:5; 13:20;37:1,3;38:13, 110:3 36:2 factors (1) 116:24 events (1) experiencing (1) 15,19;39:11,16,20; 111:14 envisioning (1) 57:7 119:18 factual (1) 40:2,4,11:41:6;53:7, expire (1) 68:23 everybody (11) 95:1 17,22;74:5,7,14;109:3 equity (2) 48:23:56:14;82:19; 78:1 failure (1) **FHFA's (1)** 85:23;87:16;100:15; explain (2) 80:22 85:6,8 53:23

fiduciaries (6)	29:23	50.6.52.15.60.22.	60.1.96.1 2.00.5	8:2
iduciaries (6)		50:6;52:15;60:23;	69:1;86:1,2;88:5	
47:12,14,25;94:10;	first (16)	64:8;83:11	general (4)	Grand (1)
95:10;98:13	17:9;31:23;39:1;	four (3)	25:5;60:25;96:18;	9:13
iduciary (2)	47:10;48:21;58:12,	31:2,19,22	97:7	granted (2)
95:11;99:10	18;63:1;73:12;80:16;	Fourth (4)	generally (6)	23:2,7
ifteen (1)	83:10,25;96:15;	19:2,6;32:12;	28:21;29:10,12;	Granting (4)
36:3	104:5;119:15;123:19	101:22	34:20;46:22;96:22	2:9,12,22;116:24
Fifth (1)	fit (3)	frame (1)	generously (1)	gratitude (1)
97:23	55:13;63:9;117:7	19:20	37:11	32:2
ifty- (1)	five (2)	framework (2)	GERARD (3)	GRAY (1)
56:15	27:15;40:8	24:15;32:9	10:7;77:18,21	9:2
ighting (3)	flags (1)	FRANKEL (1)	gets (4)	great (4)
87:4,14,17	52:6	6:2	43:3;85:23;86:16;	26:1;29:11;32:1;
igure (2)	FLANIGAN (5)	frankly (2)	99:9	105:16
19:18;87:4 File (6)	12:17;124:3,4,7,8	38:11;90:5	GIBSON (2)	greatly (1)
· /	flexibility (2)	Freddie (4)	14:10;92:10	32:23
3:5;26:25;38:23;	45:24;107:13	8:20;71:3,4,10	given (6)	Gropper (1)
72:22;73:11;76:18	FLIMAN (1)	FREDERICK (1)	27:20;39:25;99:6,	104:9
iled (29)	13:24	12:8	11;112:13;121:24	gross (2)
18:14;23:1;24:2;	flip (3)	free (1)	gives (2)	98:4,11
25:24;27:10;38:21;	24:21;28:22;57:18	38:14 EDE IVA (1)	84:25;118:2	ground (2)
49:23;50:9;57:5;	Floor (5)	FREJKA (1)	giving (3)	78:19;100:19
58:18;59:21;60:22;	5:15;8:22;9:14;	6:9	22:2;77:25;123:20	Group (4)
69:24;70:5;71:2,17,	11:21;15:22	Friday (3)	Glo (1)	10:3;20:11;76:21;
19,21;72:21;73:10,17,	flow (1)	78:1;115:19;117:13	115:19	77:22
23;74:5,25;92:2;	52:2	FRIEDMAN (2)	global (35)	grouped (1)
93:21,25;101:10;	focus (4)	6:20;13:19	24:15,16;28:13,17;	27:18
108:1	38:6,17;85:15;95:3	front (1)	29:15,25;32:14,19,22,	groups (3)
iles (1)	focused (5)	84:12	23;33:12,19;34:7,16;	25:6;27:19;30:23
64:13	31:17;32:13;77:11;	fronts (1)	36:6,10,16,19;38:5;	Guarantee (1)
Filing (8)	83:25;97:10	94:5	40:13;42:25;57:10;	13:12
2:8;3:2;24:4,12;	Foerster (4)	full (16)	78:19;83:13;84:3;	guaranteed (1)
36:5;58:17;116:23;	17:5,16;23:1;123:4	25:17,18;35:19;	86:9,14,16;92:15;	55:22
123:20	folks (1)	37:3,13;48:5;63:24;	94:21;98:21;111:1,3;	GUARANTY (2)
Final (12)	95:4	68:5,8,10,14;94:16;	115:18;119:25	16:18;73:21
2:20,21;17:20;18:3,	followed (2)	98:17;100:21;111:22;	GMAC (1)	guess (4)
6,8,15,24;19:20;23:2;	24:4;26:15	112:16	54:8	39:19;55:16;81:20
76:5,9	following (2)	fully (4)	GMACM (6)	90:24
Finally (2)	18:17;103:24	36:10;48:16;50:23;	25:8,9,10;26:8;	guidance (1)
35:23;54:9	footfall (1)	96:2	27:1,2	24:12
Financial (1)	84:12	fundamental (2)	goal (1)	guiding (1)
7:11	foreclosing (1)	36:25;90:14	45:23	121:12
ind (23)	68:18	funded (2)	goes (7)	GUINEY (1)
42:3;45:2;46:4;	foreclosure (2)	26:22;54:19	19:11;82:1;88:4;	14:7
48:8;49:6;55:7;59:22;	23:12;68:17	Funding (5)	105:22,24;106:1;	GUMP (1)
64:20;66:23;77:5,9,	forget (1)	25:11,12;54:10;	111:1	10:11
12;87:13;89:4,8;	115:19	56:22;71:17	GOLDEN (4)	gun (1)
94:15;96:2,8;100:9,	forgoing (1)	funds (2)	5:8;10:16;104:10,	47:21
17;108:6;116:17;	36:12	111:21;112:6	11	
124:9	Form (10)	Further (8)	GOLDSTEIN (1)	H
indings (2)	2:6,18;23:25;26:14,	3:4;23:15;37:15;	13:16	
108:12;117:5	21;42:16;43:21;	69:9;82:1;83:5;87:20;	Good (22)	HADLEY (3)
inds (1)	44:17;45:10,11	91:3	17:4,14,15;29:2;	10:2;77:19,22
96:22	forms (1)	future (1)	41:25;42:19;47:4,24;	HALE (1)
ine (9)	23:16	80:23	48:4;71:25;73:20;	7:2
23:17;58:8;68:16;	forth (5)		75:6;77:18;82:17;	half (3)
76:19;103:13;106:6;	49:13;50:19;56:4;	G	92:10;93:10;95:6,8;	24:25;31:4,19
110:3;112:18,21	61:24;103:1		100:13;102:10,10;	HAMILTON (1)
inish (1)	fortunately (1)	gain (1)	117:10	8:2
100:3	121:20	19:17	Goren (9)	hand (1)
FIRM (1)	forward (3)	GARRITY (4)	21:23,25;22:1,24,	28:10
16:2	99:3;100:10;119:4	11:7;93:10,10,18	25,25;23:8;56:2;64:8	handle (1)
irms (1)	found (5)	gave (4)	GOTTLIEB (1)	27:1

Case No. 12-12020-mg

·			T	,
handling (2)	Hello (2)	91:3,14,16,22;92:1,5,	19:4;63:5	increased (1)
20:10;21:23	66:2;122:19	23;93:10,13,20;94:19,	II (3)	39:5
hands (3)	help (2)	24,25;96:14,17,22;	2:3;83:1,12	indemnification (1)
42:18;44:21;107:5	32:5;87:24	97:8,10,14,14;98:2,8;	III (1)	101:10
Hang (1)	helpful (1)	99:4,6,19,20;100:2,7;	2:5	indemnity (1)
115:17	116:8	101:17;102:7,10;	imagine (1)	93:14
hanging (1)	Here's (3)	103:18;104:13,24;	107:12	independent (1)
44:1	39:8;57:20;67:20	105:1;106:6,11,13,21,	immediate (1)	95:9
happen (4)	highlighted (1)	23;107:9,15,16,24;	25:8	indicate (1)
48:7;117:17,19,21	48:23	108:8,15,22;109:10;	immediately (1)	28:23
happened (2)	Hill (2)	110:20,24;112:8;	117:11	indicates (1)
42:23;68:19	114:11,14	113:8,17,22;114:15,	Impac (5)	19:6
happens (5)	Hoc (3)	19,22;115:2,5,7,12,	13:3;71:17,17,24;	individual (2)
44:5;76:24;78:18;	10:3;76:21;77:22	23;116:3,8,12;117:23,	72:1	31:10;54:14
104:5;105:7	Hold (8)	25;118:9,13,25;	impacting (1)	individually (1)
happy (8)	66:17,21;74:11;	119:15,16;120:3;	27:19	35:12
47:19;67:13;70:17;	75:20;91:15;102:2;	121:2,4,9,22;122:8,	impaired (1)	individuals (1)
72:14;73:14;74:5;	118:12;119:14	15;123:2,3,4,5,6;	68:3	34:20
100:7;118:20	holder (2)	124:2,3,8,9,24	implementation (1)	indulge (1)
hard (2)	63:19;92:24	Honor's (10)	111:2	123:6
95:25;121:5	holding (4)	20:10;22:10;45:22,	important (17)	indulging (1)
hate (2)	25:25;35:13;47:20;	23;57:2;80:17;81:21;	24:7;30:13,15;	91:23
42:2;43:22	71:18	100:8;106:18;122:3	34:21;37:22;38:11;	inform (2)
Hathaway (1) 9:12	holds (1) 63:19	hope (9) 24:13;38:9;44:1,12;	39:24;46:8,15;80:6;	19:23;49:10 information (6)
HAUER (1)	home (2)	82:20,21;99:20;	82:18,18;91:24; 96:16;98:3;99:19;	19:8;57:3,6,6,16;
10:11	83:10,16	118:9;124:20	110:23	91:2
head (1)	homework (1)	hopeful (1)	importantly (2)	informed (3)
47:21	50:10	38:1	87:5;88:14	57:4,16;123:17
Healthcare (1)	Hon (1)	hopefully (6)	imposed (1)	ingredient (1)
95:22	25:25	24:22;25:24;81:10;	63:23	36:16
hear (17)	Honor (249)	87:2:107:16:116:4	imposition (1)	initial (3)
hear (17) 21:16:40:2:57:21.	Honor (249) 17:4.6.14.18:18:2.	87:2;107:16;116:4 hoping (1)	imposition (1) 119:16	initial (3) 31:17:78:9:84:2
21:16;40:2;57:21,	17:4,6,14,18;18:2,	87:2;107:16;116:4 hoping (1) 48:23	119:16	31:17;78:9;84:2
		hoping (1)		
21:16;40:2;57:21, 25;58:2,3;59:20;	17:4,6,14,18;18:2, 7;20:5,8;21:7,18,18;	hoping (1) 48:23	119:16 improved (1)	31:17;78:9;84:2 initially (1)
21:16;40:2;57:21, 25;58:2,3;59:20; 71:23;72:25;73:12;	17:4,6,14,18;18:2, 7;20:5,8;21:7,18,18; 22:5,15,25;23:8,9,20;	hoping (1) 48:23 host (1)	119:16 improved (1) 80:16	31:17;78:9;84:2 initially (1) 26:22
21:16;40:2;57:21, 25;58:2,3;59:20; 71:23;72:25;73:12; 77:15;94:13,16;96:5; 121:4;122:3;124:1 heard (15)	17:4,6,14,18;18:2, 7;20:5,8;21:7,18,18; 22:5,15,25;23:8,9,20; 24:22,23;25:20,21,24; 26:3;27:7;28:15;29:2, 9,18,22;30:20;31:23;	hoping (1) 48:23 host (1) 47:23 hostage (1) 38:1	119:16 improved (1) 80:16 improves (1) 35:16 inappropriate (1)	31:17;78:9;84:2 initially (1) 26:22 injunction (2) 101:21;111:16 inserts (1)
21:16;40:2;57:21, 25;58:2,3;59:20; 71:23;72:25;73:12; 77:15;94:13,16;96:5; 121:4;122:3;124:1 heard (15) 23:6;34:24;57:23;	17:4,6,14,18;18:2, 7;20:5,8;21:7,18,18; 22:5,15,25;23:8,9,20; 24:22,23;25:20,21,24; 26:3;27:7;28:15;29:2, 9,18,22;30:20;31:23; 33:17;34:18,24;36:9;	hoping (1) 48:23 host (1) 47:23 hostage (1) 38:1 hour (1)	119:16 improved (1) 80:16 improves (1) 35:16 inappropriate (1) 95:25	31:17;78:9;84:2 initially (1) 26:22 injunction (2) 101:21;111:16 inserts (1) 59:1
21:16;40:2;57:21, 25;58:2,3;59:20; 71:23;72:25;73:12; 77:15;94:13,16;96:5; 121:4;122:3;124:1 heard (15) 23:6;34:24;57:23; 58:20;69:2;71:5;	17:4,6,14,18;18:2, 7;20:5,8;21:7,18,18; 22:5,15,25;23:8,9,20; 24:22,23;25:20,21,24; 26:3;27:7;28:15;29:2, 9,18,22;30:20;31:23; 33:17;34:18,24;36:9; 37:8;39:24;40:2,10,	hoping (1) 48:23 host (1) 47:23 hostage (1) 38:1 hour (1) 60:7	119:16 improved (1) 80:16 improves (1) 35:16 inappropriate (1) 95:25 Inc (3)	31:17;78:9;84:2 initially (1) 26:22 injunction (2) 101:21;111:16 inserts (1) 59:1 insolvency (1)
21:16;40:2;57:21, 25;58:2,3;59:20; 71:23;72:25;73:12; 77:15;94:13,16;96:5; 121:4;122:3;124:1 heard (15) 23:6;34:24;57:23; 58:20;69:2;71:5; 73:19;74:8;90:20;	17:4,6,14,18;18:2, 7;20:5,8;21:7,18,18; 22:5,15,25;23:8,9,20; 24:22,23;25:20,21,24; 26:3;27:7;28:15;29:2, 9,18,22;30:20;31:23; 33:17;34:18,24;36:9; 37:8;39:24;40:2,10, 18;41:9,12;44:10,12,	hoping (1) 48:23 host (1) 47:23 hostage (1) 38:1 hour (1) 60:7 HSBC (1)	119:16 improved (1) 80:16 improves (1) 35:16 inappropriate (1) 95:25 Inc (3) 7:11;9:12;71:18	31:17;78:9;84:2 initially (1) 26:22 injunction (2) 101:21;111:16 inserts (1) 59:1 insolvency (1) 30:2
21:16;40:2;57:21, 25;58:2,3;59:20; 71:23;72:25;73:12; 77:15;94:13,16;96:5; 121:4;122:3;124:1 heard (15) 23:6;34:24;57:23; 58:20;69:2;71:5; 73:19;74:8;90:20; 93:22;101:9;122:9,	17:4,6,14,18;18:2, 7;20:5,8;21:7,18,18; 22:5,15,25;23:8,9,20; 24:22,23;25:20,21,24; 26:3;27:7;28:15;29:2, 9,18,22;30:20;31:23; 33:17;34:18,24;36:9; 37:8;39:24;40:2,10, 18;41:9,12;44:10,12, 19,22;45:5,17;46:6,8;	hoping (1) 48:23 host (1) 47:23 hostage (1) 38:1 hour (1) 60:7 HSBC (1) 15:3	119:16 improved (1) 80:16 improves (1) 35:16 inappropriate (1) 95:25 Inc (3) 7:11;9:12;71:18 include (9)	31:17;78:9;84:2 initially (1) 26:22 injunction (2) 101:21;111:16 inserts (1) 59:1 insolvency (1) 30:2 inspiration (1)
21:16;40:2;57:21, 25;58:2,3;59:20; 71:23;72:25;73:12; 77:15;94:13,16;96:5; 121:4;122:3;124:1 heard (15) 23:6;34:24;57:23; 58:20;69:2;71:5; 73:19;74:8;90:20; 93:22;101:9;122:9, 16;21,22	17:4,6,14,18;18:2, 7;20:5,8;21:7,18,18; 22:5,15,25;23:8,9,20; 24:22,23;25:20,21,24; 26:3;27:7;28:15;29:2, 9,18,22;30:20;31:23; 33:17;34:18,24;36:9; 37:8;39:24;40:2,10, 18;41:9,12;44:10,12, 19,22;45:5,17;46:6,8; 48:22;49:11,16;50:1;	hoping (1) 48:23 host (1) 47:23 hostage (1) 38:1 hour (1) 60:7 HSBC (1) 15:3 hundreds (1)	119:16 improved (1) 80:16 improves (1) 35:16 inappropriate (1) 95:25 Inc (3) 7:11;9:12;71:18 include (9) 40:5;51:23,23;	31:17;78:9;84:2 initially (1) 26:22 injunction (2) 101:21;111:16 inserts (1) 59:1 insolvency (1) 30:2 inspiration (1) 117:24
21:16;40:2;57:21, 25;58:2,3;59:20; 71:23;72:25;73:12; 77:15;94:13,16;96:5; 121:4;122:3;124:1 heard (15) 23:6;34:24;57:23; 58:20;69:2;71:5; 73:19;74:8;90:20; 93:22;101:9;122:9, 16,21,22 Hearing (27)	17:4,6,14,18;18:2, 7;20:5,8;21:7,18,18; 22:5,15,25;23:8,9,20; 24:22,23;25:20,21,24; 26:3;27:7;28:15;29:2, 9,18,22;30:20;31:23; 33:17;34:18,24;36:9; 37:8;39:24;40:2,10, 18;41:9,12;44:10,12, 19,22;45:5,17;46:6,8; 48:22;49:11,16;50:1; 51:16,17;52:7;53:6;	hoping (1) 48:23 host (1) 47:23 hostage (1) 38:1 hour (1) 60:7 HSBC (1) 15:3 hundreds (1) 31:9	119:16 improved (1) 80:16 improves (1) 35:16 inappropriate (1) 95:25 Inc (3) 7:11;9:12;71:18 include (9) 40:5;51:23,23; 66:25;67:20;78:14;	31:17;78:9;84:2 initially (1) 26:22 injunction (2) 101:21;111:16 inserts (1) 59:1 insolvency (1) 30:2 inspiration (1) 117:24 instance (2)
21:16;40:2;57:21, 25;58:2,3;59:20; 71:23;72:25;73:12; 77:15;94:13,16;96:5; 121:4;122:3;124:1 heard (15) 23:6;34:24;57:23; 58:20;69:2;71:5; 73:19;74:8;90:20; 93:22;101:9;122:9, 16,21,22 Hearing (27) 2:6,8,19;17:6;	17:4,6,14,18;18:2, 7;20:5,8;21:7,18,18; 22:5,15,25;23:8,9,20; 24:22,23;25:20,21,24; 26:3;27:7;28:15;29:2, 9,18,22;30:20;31:23; 33:17;34:18,24;36:9; 37:8;39:24;40:2,10, 18;41:9,12;44:10,12, 19,22;45:5,17;46:6,8; 48:22;49:11,16;50:1; 51:16,17;52:7;53:6; 54:5,9;55:1,7,24;56:2,	hoping (1) 48:23 host (1) 47:23 hostage (1) 38:1 hour (1) 60:7 HSBC (1) 15:3 hundreds (1) 31:9 hung (1)	119:16 improved (1) 80:16 improves (1) 35:16 inappropriate (1) 95:25 Inc (3) 7:11;9:12;71:18 include (9) 40:5;51:23,23; 66:25;67:20;78:14; 79:1;111:10;118:6	31:17;78:9;84:2 initially (1) 26:22 injunction (2) 101:21;111:16 inserts (1) 59:1 insolvency (1) 30:2 inspiration (1) 117:24 instance (2) 81:17;85:19
21:16;40:2;57:21, 25;58:2,3;59:20; 71:23;72:25;73:12; 77:15;94:13,16;96:5; 121:4;122:3;124:1 heard (15) 23:6;34:24;57:23; 58:20;69:2;71:5; 73:19;74:8;90:20; 93:22;101:9;122:9, 16,21,22 Hearing (27) 2:6,8,19;17:6; 18:15,24;21:16;	17:4,6,14,18;18:2, 7;20:5,8;21:7,18,18; 22:5,15,25;23:8,9,20; 24:22,23;25:20,21,24; 26:3;27:7;28:15;29:2, 9,18,22;30:20;31:23; 33:17;34:18,24;36:9; 37:8;39:24;40:2,10, 18;41:9,12;44:10,12, 19,22;45:5,17;46:6,8; 48:22;49:11,16;50:1; 51:16,17;52:7;53:6; 54:5,9;55:1,7,24;56:2, 19;57:1,18;58:12,23;	hoping (1) 48:23 host (1) 47:23 hostage (1) 38:1 hour (1) 60:7 HSBC (1) 15:3 hundreds (1) 31:9 hung (1) 32:11	119:16 improved (1) 80:16 improves (1) 35:16 inappropriate (1) 95:25 Inc (3) 7:11;9:12;71:18 include (9) 40:5;51:23,23; 66:25;67:20;78:14; 79:1;111:10;118:6 included (8)	31:17;78:9;84:2 initially (1) 26:22 injunction (2) 101:21;111:16 inserts (1) 59:1 insolvency (1) 30:2 inspiration (1) 117:24 instance (2) 81:17;85:19 instead (1)
21:16;40:2;57:21, 25;58:2,3;59:20; 71:23;72:25;73:12; 77:15;94:13,16;96:5; 121:4;122:3;124:1 heard (15) 23:6;34:24;57:23; 58:20;69:2;71:5; 73:19;74:8;90:20; 93:22;101:9;122:9, 16,21,22 Hearing (27) 2:6,8,19;17:6; 18:15,24;21:16; 23:25;29:7;42:25;	17:4,6,14,18;18:2, 7;20:5,8;21:7,18,18; 22:5,15,25;23:8,9,20; 24:22,23;25:20,21,24; 26:3;27:7;28:15;29:2, 9,18,22;30:20;31:23; 33:17;34:18,24;36:9; 37:8;39:24;40:2,10, 18;41:9,12;44:10,12, 19,22;45:5,17;46:6,8; 48:22;49:11,16;50:1; 51:16,17;52:7;53:6; 54:5,9;55:1,7,24;56:2, 19;57:1,18;58:12,23; 59:10,17,21;61:3,6,	hoping (1) 48:23 host (1) 47:23 hostage (1) 38:1 hour (1) 60:7 HSBC (1) 15:3 hundreds (1) 31:9 hung (1) 32:11 hurdles (1)	119:16 improved (1) 80:16 improves (1) 35:16 inappropriate (1) 95:25 Inc (3) 7:11;9:12;71:18 include (9) 40:5;51:23,23; 66:25;67:20;78:14; 79:1;111:10;118:6 included (8) 39:11;47:9;60:9;	31:17;78:9;84:2 initially (1) 26:22 injunction (2) 101:21;111:16 inserts (1) 59:1 insolvency (1) 30:2 inspiration (1) 117:24 instance (2) 81:17;85:19 instead (1) 32:13
21:16;40:2;57:21, 25;58:2,3;59:20; 71:23;72:25;73:12; 77:15;94:13,16;96:5; 121:4;122:3;124:1 heard (15) 23:6;34:24;57:23; 58:20;69:2;71:5; 73:19;74:8;90:20; 93:22;101:9;122:9, 16,21,22 Hearing (27) 2:6,8,19;17:6; 18:15,24;21:16; 23:25;29:7;42:25; 49:19;72:9;73:11;	17:4,6,14,18;18:2, 7;20:5,8;21:7,18,18; 22:5,15,25;23:8,9,20; 24:22,23;25:20,21,24; 26:3;27:7;28:15;29:2, 9,18,22;30:20;31:23; 33:17;34:18,24;36:9; 37:8;39:24;40:2,10, 18;41:9,12;44:10,12, 19,22;45:5,17;46:6,8; 48:22;49:11,16;50:1; 51:16,17;52:7;53:6; 54:5,9;55:1,7,24;56:2, 19;57:1,18;58:12,23; 59:10,17,21;61:3,6, 16;62:6;63:11,17;	hoping (1) 48:23 host (1) 47:23 hostage (1) 38:1 hour (1) 60:7 HSBC (1) 15:3 hundreds (1) 31:9 hung (1) 32:11 hurdles (1) 43:16	119:16 improved (1) 80:16 improves (1) 35:16 inappropriate (1) 95:25 Inc (3) 7:11;9:12;71:18 include (9) 40:5;51:23,23; 66:25;67:20;78:14; 79:1;111:10;118:6 included (8) 39:11;47:9;60:9; 63:3;64:21;67:9;	31:17;78:9;84:2 initially (1) 26:22 injunction (2) 101:21;111:16 inserts (1) 59:1 insolvency (1) 30:2 inspiration (1) 117:24 instance (2) 81:17;85:19 instead (1) 32:13 instructed (1)
21:16;40:2;57:21, 25;58:2,3;59:20; 71:23;72:25;73:12; 77:15;94:13,16;96:5; 121:4;122:3;124:1 heard (15) 23:6;34:24;57:23; 58:20;69:2;71:5; 73:19;74:8;90:20; 93:22;101:9;122:9, 16,21,22 Hearing (27) 2:6,8,19;17:6; 18:15,24;21:16; 23:25;29:7;42:25; 49:19;72:9;73:11; 75:20;76:4,5,10;	17:4,6,14,18;18:2, 7;20:5,8;21:7,18,18; 22:5,15,25;23:8,9,20; 24:22,23;25:20,21,24; 26:3;27:7;28:15;29:2, 9,18,22;30:20;31:23; 33:17;34:18,24;36:9; 37:8;39:24;40:2,10, 18;41:9,12;44:10,12, 19,22;45:5,17;46:6,8; 48:22;49:11,16;50:1; 51:16,17;52:7;53:6; 54:5,9;55:1,7,24;56:2, 19;57:1,18;58:12,23; 59:10,17,21;61:3,6, 16;62:6;63:11,17; 64:12;65:8,19,25;	hoping (1) 48:23 host (1) 47:23 hostage (1) 38:1 hour (1) 60:7 HSBC (1) 15:3 hundreds (1) 31:9 hung (1) 32:11 hurdles (1) 43:16 hypothetically (1)	119:16 improved (1) 80:16 improves (1) 35:16 inappropriate (1) 95:25 Inc (3) 7:11;9:12;71:18 include (9) 40:5;51:23,23; 66:25;67:20;78:14; 79:1;111:10;118:6 included (8) 39:11;47:9;60:9; 63:3;64:21;67:9; 104:19;120:1	31:17;78:9;84:2 initially (1) 26:22 injunction (2) 101:21;111:16 inserts (1) 59:1 insolvency (1) 30:2 inspiration (1) 117:24 instance (2) 81:17;85:19 instead (1) 32:13 instructed (1) 122:17
21:16;40:2;57:21, 25;58:2,3;59:20; 71:23;72:25;73:12; 77:15;94:13,16;96:5; 121:4;122:3;124:1 heard (15) 23:6;34:24;57:23; 58:20;69:2;71:5; 73:19;74:8;90:20; 93:22;101:9;122:9, 16;21,22 Hearing (27) 2:6,8,19;17:6; 18:15,24;21:16; 23:25;29:7;42:25; 49:19;72:9;73:11; 75:20;76:4,5,10; 115:12,25;116:22;	17:4,6,14,18;18:2, 7;20:5,8;21:7,18,18; 22:5,15,25;23:8,9,20; 24:22,23;25:20,21,24; 26:3;27:7;28:15;29:2, 9,18,22;30:20;31:23; 33:17;34:18,24;36:9; 37:8;39:24;40:2,10, 18;41:9,12;44:10,12, 19,22;45:5,17;46:6,8; 48:22;49:11,16;50:1; 51:16,17;52:7;53:6; 54:5,9;55:1,7,24;56:2, 19;57:1,18;58:12,23; 59:10,17,21;61:3,6, 16;62:6;63:11,17; 64:12;65:8,19,25; 66:6;67:1;68:11;69:6,	hoping (1) 48:23 host (1) 47:23 hostage (1) 38:1 hour (1) 60:7 HSBC (1) 15:3 hundreds (1) 31:9 hung (1) 32:11 hurdles (1) 43:16	119:16 improved (1) 80:16 improves (1) 35:16 inappropriate (1) 95:25 Inc (3) 7:11;9:12;71:18 include (9) 40:5;51:23,23; 66:25;67:20;78:14; 79:1;111:10;118:6 included (8) 39:11;47:9;60:9; 63:3;64:21;67:9; 104:19;120:1 includes (7)	31:17;78:9;84:2 initially (1) 26:22 injunction (2) 101:21;111:16 inserts (1) 59:1 insolvency (1) 30:2 inspiration (1) 117:24 instance (2) 81:17;85:19 instead (1) 32:13 instructed (1) 122:17 insufficient (1)
21:16;40:2;57:21, 25;58:2,3;59:20; 71:23;72:25;73:12; 77:15;94:13,16;96:5; 121:4;122:3;124:1 heard (15) 23:6;34:24;57:23; 58:20;69:2;71:5; 73:19;74:8;90:20; 93:22;101:9;122:9, 16,21,22 Hearing (27) 2:6,8,19;17:6; 18:15,24;21:16; 23:25;29:7;42:25; 49:19;72:9;73:11; 75:20;76:4,5,10; 115:12,25;116:22; 117:10;118:4,7,8;	17:4,6,14,18;18:2, 7;20:5,8;21:7,18,18; 22:5,15,25;23:8,9,20; 24:22,23;25:20,21,24; 26:3;27:7;28:15;29:2, 9,18,22;30:20;31:23; 33:17;34:18,24;36:9; 37:8;39:24;40:2,10, 18;41:9,12;44:10,12, 19,22;45:5,17;46:6,8; 48:22;49:11,16;50:1; 51:16,17;52:7;53:6; 54:5,9;55:1,7,24;56:2, 19;57:1,18;58:12,23; 59:10,17,21;61:3,6, 16;62:6;63:11,17; 64:12;65:8,19,25; 66:6;67:1;68:11;69:6, 7,11,12,23;70:4,17;	hoping (1) 48:23 host (1) 47:23 hostage (1) 38:1 hour (1) 60:7 HSBC (1) 15:3 hundreds (1) 31:9 hung (1) 32:11 hurdles (1) 43:16 hypothetically (1) 86:13	119:16 improved (1) 80:16 improves (1) 35:16 imappropriate (1) 95:25 Inc (3) 7:11;9:12;71:18 include (9) 40:5;51:23,23; 66:25;67:20;78:14; 79:1;111:10;118:6 included (8) 39:11;47:9;60:9; 63:3;64:21;67:9; 104:19;120:1 includes (7) 22:19;36:14;60:12;	31:17;78:9;84:2 initially (1) 26:22 injunction (2) 101:21;111:16 inserts (1) 59:1 insolvency (1) 30:2 inspiration (1) 117:24 instance (2) 81:17;85:19 instead (1) 32:13 instructed (1) 122:17 insufficient (1) 60:11
21:16;40:2;57:21, 25;58:2,3;59:20; 71:23;72:25;73:12; 77:15;94:13,16;96:5; 121:4;122:3;124:1 heard (15) 23:6;34:24;57:23; 58:20;69:2;71:5; 73:19;74:8;90:20; 93:22;101:9;122:9, 16;21,22 Hearing (27) 2:6,8,19;17:6; 18:15,24;21:16; 23:25;29:7;42:25; 49:19;72:9;73:11; 75:20;76:4,5,10; 115:12,25;116:22; 117:10;118:4,7,8; 119:7;120:2;123:24	17:4,6,14,18;18:2, 7;20:5,8;21:7,18,18; 22:5,15,25;23:8,9,20; 24:22,23;25:20,21,24; 26:3;27:7;28:15;29:2, 9,18,22;30:20;31:23; 33:17;34:18,24;36:9; 37:8;39:24;40:2,10, 18;41:9,12;44:10,12, 19,22;45:5,17;46:6,8; 48:22;49:11,16;50:1; 51:16,17;52:7;53:6; 54:5,9;55:1,7,24;56:2, 19;57:1,18;58:12,23; 59:10,17,21;61:3,6, 16;62:6;63:11,17; 64:12;65:8,19,25; 66:6;67:1;68:11;69:6, 7,11,12,23;70:4,17; 71:6,16,25;72:11,13,	hoping (1) 48:23 host (1) 47:23 hostage (1) 38:1 hour (1) 60:7 HSBC (1) 15:3 hundreds (1) 31:9 hung (1) 32:11 hurdles (1) 43:16 hypothetically (1)	119:16 improved (1) 80:16 improves (1) 35:16 imappropriate (1) 95:25 Inc (3) 7:11;9:12;71:18 include (9) 40:5;51:23,23; 66:25;67:20;78:14; 79:1;111:10;118:6 included (8) 39:11;47:9;60:9; 63:3;64:21;67:9; 104:19;120:1 includes (7) 22:19;36:14;60:12; 64:22;94:10;102:25;	31:17;78:9;84:2 initially (1) 26:22 injunction (2) 101:21;111:16 inserts (1) 59:1 insolvency (1) 30:2 inspiration (1) 117:24 instance (2) 81:17;85:19 instead (1) 32:13 instructed (1) 122:17 insufficient (1) 60:11 integral (1)
21:16;40:2;57:21, 25;58:2,3;59:20; 71:23;72:25;73:12; 77:15;94:13,16;96:5; 121:4;122:3;124:1 heard (15) 23:6;34:24;57:23; 58:20;69:2;71:5; 73:19;74:8;90:20; 93:22;101:9;122:9, 16,21,22 Hearing (27) 2:6,8,19;17:6; 18:15,24;21:16; 23:25;29:7;42:25; 49:19;72:9;73:11; 75:20;76:4,5,10; 115:12,25;116:22; 117:10;118:4,7,8; 119:7;120:2;123:24 hearings (4)	17:4,6,14,18;18:2, 7;20:5,8;21:7,18,18; 22:5,15,25;23:8,9,20; 24:22,23;25:20,21,24; 26:3;27:7;28:15;29:2, 9,18,22;30:20;31:23; 33:17;34:18,24;36:9; 37:8;39:24;40:2,10, 18;41:9,12;44:10,12, 19,22;45:5,17;46:6,8; 48:22;49:11,16;50:1; 51:16,17;52:7;53:6; 54:5,9;55:1,7,24;56:2, 19;57:1,18;58:12,23; 59:10,17,21;61:3,6, 16;62:6;63:11,17; 64:12;65:8,19,25; 66:6;67:1;68:11;69:6, 7,11,12,23;70:4,17; 71:6,16,25;72:11,13, 15;73:6,14,16,20,23;	hoping (1) 48:23 host (1) 47:23 hostage (1) 38:1 hour (1) 60:7 HSBC (1) 15:3 hundreds (1) 31:9 hung (1) 32:11 hurdles (1) 43:16 hypothetically (1) 86:13	119:16 improved (1) 80:16 improves (1) 35:16 imappropriate (1) 95:25 Inc (3) 7:11;9:12;71:18 include (9) 40:5;51:23,23; 66:25;67:20;78:14; 79:1;111:10;118:6 included (8) 39:11;47:9;60:9; 63:3;64:21;67:9; 104:19;120:1 includes (7) 22:19;36:14;60:12; 64:22;94:10;102:25; 103:4	31:17;78:9;84:2 initially (1) 26:22 injunction (2) 101:21;111:16 inserts (1) 59:1 insolvency (1) 30:2 inspiration (1) 117:24 instance (2) 81:17;85:19 instead (1) 32:13 instructed (1) 122:17 insufficient (1) 60:11 integral (1) 86:10
21:16;40:2;57:21, 25;58:2,3;59:20; 71:23;72:25;73:12; 77:15;94:13,16;96:5; 121:4;122:3;124:1 heard (15) 23:6;34:24;57:23; 58:20;69:2;71:5; 73:19;74:8;90:20; 93:22;101:9;122:9, 16,21,22 Hearing (27) 2:6,8,19;17:6; 18:15,24;21:16; 23:25;29:7;42:25; 49:19;72:9;73:11; 75:20;76:4,5,10; 115:12,25;116:22; 117:10;118:4,7,8; 119:7;120:2;123:24 hearings (4) 21:8;117:7,21;	17:4,6,14,18;18:2, 7;20:5,8;21:7,18,18; 22:5,15,25;23:8,9,20; 24:22,23;25:20,21,24; 26:3;27:7;28:15;29:2, 9,18,22;30:20;31:23; 33:17;34:18,24;36:9; 37:8;39:24;40:2,10, 18;41:9,12;44:10,12, 19,22;45:5,17;46:6,8; 48:22;49:11,16;50:1; 51:16,17;52:7;53:6; 54:5,9;55:1,7,24;56:2, 19;57:1,18;58:12,23; 59:10,17,21;61:3,6, 16;62:6;63:11,17; 64:12;65:8,19,25; 66:6;67:1;68:11;69:6, 7,11,12,23;70:4,17; 71:6,16,25;72:11,13, 15;73:6,14,16,20,23; 74:1,4,10,18,24;75:6,	hoping (1) 48:23 host (1) 47:23 hostage (1) 38:1 hour (1) 60:7 HSBC (1) 15:3 hundreds (1) 31:9 hung (1) 32:11 hurdles (1) 43:16 hypothetically (1) 86:13 I idea (3)	119:16 improved (1) 80:16 improves (1) 35:16 imappropriate (1) 95:25 Inc (3) 7:11;9:12;71:18 include (9) 40:5;51:23,23; 66:25;67:20;78:14; 79:1;111:10;118:6 included (8) 39:11;47:9;60:9; 63:3;64:21;67:9; 104:19;120:1 includes (7) 22:19;36:14;60:12; 64:22;94:10;102:25; 103:4 including (9)	31:17;78:9;84:2 initially (1) 26:22 injunction (2) 101:21;111:16 inserts (1) 59:1 insolvency (1) 30:2 inspiration (1) 117:24 instance (2) 81:17;85:19 instead (1) 32:13 instructed (1) 122:17 insufficient (1) 60:11 integral (1) 86:10 intend (3)
21:16;40:2;57:21, 25;58:2,3;59:20; 71:23;72:25;73:12; 77:15;94:13,16;96:5; 121:4;122:3;124:1 heard (15) 23:6;34:24;57:23; 58:20;69:2;71:5; 73:19;74:8;90:20; 93:22;101:9;122:9, 16,21,22 Hearing (27) 2:6,8,19;17:6; 18:15,24;21:16; 23:25;29:7;42:25; 49:19;72:9;73:11; 75:20;76:4,5,10; 115:12,25;116:22; 117:10;118:4,7,8; 119:7;120:2;123:24 hearings (4) 21:8;117:7,21; 118:23	17:4,6,14,18;18:2, 7;20:5,8;21:7,18,18; 22:5,15,25;23:8,9,20; 24:22,23;25:20,21,24; 26:3;27:7;28:15;29:2, 9,18,22;30:20;31:23; 33:17;34:18,24;36:9; 37:8;39:24;40:2,10, 18;41:9,12;44:10,12, 19,22;45:5,17;46:6,8; 48:22;49:11,16;50:1; 51:16,17;52:7;53:6; 54:5,9;55:1,7,24;56:2, 19;57:1,18;58:12,23; 59:10,17,21;61:3,6, 16;62:6;63:11,17; 64:12;65:8,19,25; 66:6;67:1;68:11;69:6, 7,11,12,23;70:4,17; 71:6,16,25;72:11,13, 15;73:6,14,16,20,23; 74:1,4,10,18,24;75:6, 13,19,19;76:1,5,13,	hoping (1) 48:23 host (1) 47:23 hostage (1) 38:1 hour (1) 60:7 HSBC (1) 15:3 hundreds (1) 31:9 hung (1) 32:11 hurdles (1) 43:16 hypothetically (1) 86:13 I idea (3) 25:13;27:2;63:24	119:16 improved (1) 80:16 improves (1) 35:16 imappropriate (1) 95:25 Inc (3) 7:11;9:12;71:18 include (9) 40:5;51:23,23; 66:25;67:20;78:14; 79:1;111:10;118:6 included (8) 39:11;47:9;60:9; 63:3;64:21;67:9; 104:19;120:1 includes (7) 22:19;36:14;60:12; 64:22;94:10;102:25; 103:4 including (9) 34:13;35:25;36:3;	31:17;78:9;84:2 initially (1) 26:22 injunction (2) 101:21;111:16 inserts (1) 59:1 insolvency (1) 30:2 inspiration (1) 117:24 instance (2) 81:17;85:19 instead (1) 32:13 instructed (1) 122:17 insufficient (1) 60:11 integral (1) 86:10 intend (3) 28:8;92:19;107:14
21:16;40:2;57:21, 25;58:2,3;59:20; 71:23;72:25;73:12; 77:15;94:13,16;96:5; 121:4;122:3;124:1 heard (15) 23:6;34:24;57:23; 58:20;69:2;71:5; 73:19;74:8;90:20; 93:22;101:9;122:9, 16,21,22 Hearing (27) 2:6,8,19;17:6; 18:15,24;21:16; 23:25;29:7;42:25; 49:19;72:9;73:11; 75:20;76:4,5,10; 115:12,25;116:22; 117:10;118:4,7,8; 119:7;120:2;123:24 hearings (4) 21:8;117:7,21; 118:23 hearing's (1)	17:4,6,14,18;18:2, 7;20:5,8;21:7,18,18; 22:5,15,25;23:8,9,20; 24:22,23;25:20,21,24; 26:3;27:7;28:15;29:2, 9,18,22;30:20;31:23; 33:17;34:18,24;36:9; 37:8;39:24;40:2,10, 18;41:9,12;44:10,12, 19,22;45:5,17;46:6,8; 48:22;49:11,16;50:1; 51:16,17;52:7;53:6; 54:5,9;55:1,7,24;56:2, 19;57:1,18;58:12,23; 59:10,17,21;61:3,6, 16;62:6;63:11,17; 64:12;65:8,19,25; 66:6;67:1;68:11;69:6, 7,11,12,23;70:4,17; 71:6,16,25;72:11,13, 15;73:6,14,16,20,23; 74:1,4,10,18,24;75:6, 13,19,19;76:1,5,13, 14,20,24;77:5,9,12,	hoping (1) 48:23 host (1) 47:23 hostage (1) 38:1 hour (1) 60:7 HSBC (1) 15:3 hundreds (1) 31:9 hung (1) 32:11 hurdles (1) 43:16 hypothetically (1) 86:13 I idea (3) 25:13;27:2;63:24 identified (4)	119:16 improved (1) 80:16 improves (1) 35:16 imappropriate (1) 95:25 Inc (3) 7:11;9:12;71:18 include (9) 40:5;51:23,23; 66:25;67:20;78:14; 79:1;111:10;118:6 included (8) 39:11;47:9;60:9; 63:3;64:21;67:9; 104:19;120:1 includes (7) 22:19;36:14;60:12; 64:22;94:10;102:25; 103:4 including (9) 34:13;35:25;36:3; 37:18,19;55:19;	31:17;78:9;84:2 initially (1) 26:22 injunction (2) 101:21;111:16 inserts (1) 59:1 insolvency (1) 30:2 inspiration (1) 117:24 instance (2) 81:17;85:19 instead (1) 32:13 instructed (1) 122:17 insufficient (1) 60:11 integral (1) 86:10 intend (3) 28:8;92:19;107:14 intended (3)
21:16;40:2;57:21, 25;58:2,3;59:20; 71:23;72:25;73:12; 77:15;94:13,16;96:5; 121:4;122:3;124:1 heard (15) 23:6;34:24;57:23; 58:20;69:2;71:5; 73:19;74:8;90:20; 93:22;101:9;122:9, 16,21,22 Hearing (27) 2:6,8,19;17:6; 18:15,24;21:16; 23:25;29:7;42:25; 49:19;72:9;73:11; 75:20;76:4,5,10; 115:12,25;116:22; 117:10;118:4,7,8; 119:7;120:2;123:24 hearings (4) 21:8;117:7,21; 118:23 hearing's (1) 106:18	17:4,6,14,18;18:2, 7;20:5,8;21:7,18,18; 22:5,15,25;23:8,9,20; 24:22,23;25:20,21,24; 26:3;27:7;28:15;29:2, 9,18,22;30:20;31:23; 33:17;34:18,24;36:9; 37:8;39:24;40:2,10, 18;41:9,12;44:10,12, 19,22;45:5,17;46:6,8; 48:22;49:11,16;50:1; 51:16,17;52:7;53:6; 54:5,9;55:1,7,24;56:2, 19;57:1,18;58:12,23; 59:10,17,21;61:3,6, 16;62:6;63:11,17; 64:12;65:8,19,25; 66:6;67:1;68:11;69:6, 7,11,12,23;70:4,17; 71:6,16,25;72:11,13, 15;73:6,14,16;20,23; 74:1,4,10,18,24;75:6, 13,19,19;76:1,5,13, 14,20,24;77:5,9,12, 18,23,24;78:3,6,9;	hoping (1) 48:23 host (1) 47:23 hostage (1) 38:1 hour (1) 60:7 HSBC (1) 15:3 hundreds (1) 31:9 hung (1) 32:11 hurdles (1) 43:16 hypothetically (1) 86:13 I idea (3) 25:13;27:2;63:24 identified (4) 108:18;109:6,7;	119:16 improved (1) 80:16 improves (1) 35:16 imappropriate (1) 95:25 Inc (3) 7:11;9:12;71:18 include (9) 40:5;51:23,23; 66:25;67:20;78:14; 79:1;111:10;118:6 included (8) 39:11;47:9;60:9; 63:3;64:21;67:9; 104:19;120:1 includes (7) 22:19;36:14;60:12; 64:22;94:10;102:25; 103:4 including (9) 34:13;35:25;36:3; 37:18,19;55:19; 68:15;86:10;98:12	31:17;78:9;84:2 initially (1) 26:22 injunction (2) 101:21;111:16 inserts (1) 59:1 insolvency (1) 30:2 inspiration (1) 117:24 instance (2) 81:17;85:19 instead (1) 32:13 instructed (1) 122:17 insufficient (1) 60:11 integral (1) 86:10 intend (3) 28:8;92:19;107:14 intended (3) 45:1;102:6,9
21:16;40:2;57:21, 25;58:2,3;59:20; 71:23;72:25;73:12; 77:15;94:13,16;96:5; 121:4;122:3;124:1 heard (15) 23:6;34:24;57:23; 58:20;69:2;71:5; 73:19;74:8;90:20; 93:22;101:9;122:9, 16,21,22 Hearing (27) 2:6,8,19;17:6; 18:15,24;21:16; 23:25;29:7;42:25; 49:19;72:9;73:11; 75:20;76:4,5,10; 115:12,25;116:22; 117:10;118:4,7,8; 119:7;120:2;123:24 hearings (4) 21:8;117:7,21; 118:23 hearing's (1)	17:4,6,14,18;18:2, 7;20:5,8;21:7,18,18; 22:5,15,25;23:8,9,20; 24:22,23;25:20,21,24; 26:3;27:7;28:15;29:2, 9,18,22;30:20;31:23; 33:17;34:18,24;36:9; 37:8;39:24;40:2,10, 18;41:9,12;44:10,12, 19,22;45:5,17;46:6,8; 48:22;49:11,16;50:1; 51:16,17;52:7;53:6; 54:5,9;55:1,7,24;56:2, 19;57:1,18;58:12,23; 59:10,17,21;61:3,6, 16;62:6;63:11,17; 64:12;65:8,19,25; 66:6;67:1;68:11;69:6, 7,11,12,23;70:4,17; 71:6,16,25;72:11,13, 15;73:6,14,16,20,23; 74:1,4,10,18,24;75:6, 13,19,19;76:1,5,13, 14,20,24;77:5,9,12,	hoping (1) 48:23 host (1) 47:23 hostage (1) 38:1 hour (1) 60:7 HSBC (1) 15:3 hundreds (1) 31:9 hung (1) 32:11 hurdles (1) 43:16 hypothetically (1) 86:13 I idea (3) 25:13;27:2;63:24 identified (4) 108:18;109:6,7; 112:2	119:16 improved (1) 80:16 improves (1) 35:16 imappropriate (1) 95:25 Inc (3) 7:11;9:12;71:18 include (9) 40:5;51:23,23; 66:25;67:20;78:14; 79:1;111:10;118:6 included (8) 39:11;47:9;60:9; 63:3;64:21;67:9; 104:19;120:1 includes (7) 22:19;36:14;60:12; 64:22;94:10;102:25; 103:4 including (9) 34:13;35:25;36:3; 37:18,19;55:19;	31:17;78:9;84:2 initially (1) 26:22 injunction (2) 101:21;111:16 inserts (1) 59:1 insolvency (1) 30:2 inspiration (1) 117:24 instance (2) 81:17;85:19 instead (1) 32:13 instructed (1) 122:17 insufficient (1) 60:11 integral (1) 86:10 intend (3) 28:8;92:19;107:14 intended (3)
21:16;40:2;57:21, 25;58:2,3;59:20; 71:23;72:25;73:12; 77:15;94:13,16;96:5; 121:4;122:3;124:1 heard (15) 23:6;34:24;57:23; 58:20;69:2;71:5; 73:19;74:8;90:20; 93:22;101:9;122:9, 16,21,22 Hearing (27) 2:6,8,19;17:6; 18:15,24;21:16; 23:25;29:7;42:25; 49:19;72:9;73:11; 75:20;76:4,5,10; 115:12,25;116:22; 117:10;118:4,7,8; 119:7;120:2;123:24 hearings (4) 21:8;117:7,21; 118:23 hearing's (1) 106:18 heart (1)	17:4,6,14,18;18:2, 7;20:5,8;21:7,18,18; 22:5,15,25;23:8,9,20; 24:22,23;25:20,21,24; 26:3;27:7;28:15;29:2, 9,18,22;30:20;31:23; 33:17;34:18,24;36:9; 37:8;39:24;40:2,10, 18;41:9,12;44:10,12, 19,22;45:5,17;46:6,8; 48:22;49:11,16;50:1; 51:16,17;52:7;53:6; 54:5,9;55:1,7,24;56:2, 19;57:1,18;58:12,23; 59:10,17,21;61:3,6, 16;62:6;63:11,17; 64:12;65:8,19,25; 66:6;67:1;68:11;69:6, 7,11,12,23;70:4,17; 71:6,16,25;72:11,13, 15;73:6,14,16;20,23; 74:1,4,10,18,24;75:6, 13,19,19;76:1,5,13, 14,20,24;77:5,9,12, 18,23,24;78:3,6,9; 79:2,7,15,16;80:5,10,	hoping (1) 48:23 host (1) 47:23 hostage (1) 38:1 hour (1) 60:7 HSBC (1) 15:3 hundreds (1) 31:9 hung (1) 32:11 hurdles (1) 43:16 hypothetically (1) 86:13 I idea (3) 25:13;27:2;63:24 identified (4) 108:18;109:6,7;	119:16 improved (1) 80:16 improves (1) 35:16 imappropriate (1) 95:25 Inc (3) 7:11;9:12;71:18 include (9) 40:5;51:23,23; 66:25;67:20;78:14; 79:1;111:10;118:6 included (8) 39:11;47:9;60:9; 63:3;64:21;67:9; 104:19;120:1 includes (7) 22:19;36:14;60:12; 64:22;94:10;102:25; 103:4 including (9) 34:13;35:25;36:3; 37:18,19;55:19; 68:15;86:10;98:12 inconsistency (1)	31:17;78:9;84:2 initially (1) 26:22 injunction (2) 101:21;111:16 inserts (1) 59:1 insolvency (1) 30:2 inspiration (1) 117:24 instance (2) 81:17;85:19 instead (1) 32:13 instructed (1) 122:17 insufficient (1) 60:11 integral (1) 86:10 intend (3) 28:8;92:19;107:14 intended (3) 45:1;102:6,9 intent (2)
21:16;40:2;57:21, 25;58:2,3;59:20; 71:23;72:25;73:12; 77:15;94:13,16;96:5; 121:4;122:3;124:1 heard (15) 23:6;34:24;57:23; 58:20;69:2;71:5; 73:19;74:8;90:20; 93:22;101:9;122:9, 16,21,22 Hearing (27) 2:6,8,19;17:6; 18:15,24;21:16; 23:25;29:7;42:25; 49:19;72:9;73:11; 75:20;76:4,5,10; 115:12,25;116:22; 117:10;118:4,7,8; 119:7;120:2;123:24 hearings (4) 21:8;117:7,21; 118:23 hearing's (1) 106:18 heart (1) 104:25	17:4,6,14,18;18:2, 7;20:5,8;21:7,18,18; 22:5,15,25;23:8,9,20; 24:22,23;25:20,21,24; 26:3;27:7;28:15;29:2, 9,18,22;30:20;31:23; 33:17;34:18,24;36:9; 37:8;39:24;40:2,10, 18;41:9,12;44:10,12, 19,22;45:5,17;46:6,8; 48:22;49:11,16;50:1; 51:16,17;52:7;53:6; 54:5,9;55:1,7,24;56:2, 19;57:1,18;58:12,23; 59:10,17,21;61:3,6, 16;62:6;63:11,17; 64:12;65:8,19,25; 66:6;67:1;68:11;69:6, 7,11,12,23;70:4,17; 71:6,16,25;72:11,13, 15;73:6,14,16,20,23; 74:1,4,10,18,24;75:6, 13,19,19;76:1,5,13, 14,20,24;77:5,9,12, 18,23,24;78:3,6,9; 79:2,7,15,16;80:5,10, 14,20;81:1,6,8,12;	hoping (1) 48:23 host (1) 47:23 hostage (1) 38:1 hour (1) 60:7 HSBC (1) 15:3 hundreds (1) 31:9 hung (1) 32:11 hurdles (1) 43:16 hypothetically (1) 86:13 I idea (3) 25:13;27:2;63:24 identified (4) 108:18;109:6,7; 112:2 identifies (2)	119:16 improved (1) 80:16 improves (1) 35:16 inappropriate (1) 95:25 Inc (3) 7:11;9:12;71:18 include (9) 40:5;51:23,23; 66:25;67:20;78:14; 79:1;111:10;118:6 included (8) 39:11;47:9;60:9; 63:3;64:21;67:9; 104:19;120:1 includes (7) 22:19;36:14;60:12; 64:22;94:10;102:25; 103:4 including (9) 34:13;35:25;36:3; 37:18,19;55:19; 68:15;86:10;98:12 inconsistency (1) 43:8	31:17;78:9;84:2 initially (1) 26:22 injunction (2) 101:21;111:16 inserts (1) 59:1 insolvency (1) 30:2 inspiration (1) 117:24 instance (2) 81:17;85:19 instead (1) 32:13 instructed (1) 122:17 insufficient (1) 60:11 integral (1) 86:10 intend (3) 28:8;92:19;107:14 intended (3) 45:1;102:6,9 intent (2) 40:17;45:22
21:16;40:2;57:21, 25;58:2,3;59:20; 71:23;72:25;73:12; 77:15;94:13,16;96:5; 121:4;122:3;124:1 heard (15) 23:6;34:24;57:23; 58:20;69:2;71:5; 73:19;74:8;90:20; 93:22;101:9;122:9, 16,21,22 Hearing (27) 2:6,8,19;17:6; 18:15,24;21:16; 23:25;29:7;42:25; 49:19;72:9;73:11; 75:20;76:4,5,10; 115:12,25;116:22; 117:10;118:4,7,8; 119:7;120:2;123:24 hearings (4) 21:8;117:7,21; 118:23 hearing's (1) 106:18 heart (1) 104:25 held (2)	17:4,6,14,18;18:2, 7;20:5,8;21:7,18,18; 22:5,15,25;23:8,9,20; 24:22,23;25:20,21,24; 26:3;27:7;28:15;29:2, 9,18,22;30:20;31:23; 33:17;34:18,24;36:9; 37:8;39:24;40:2,10, 18;41:9,12;44:10,12, 19,22;45:5,17;46:6,8; 48:22;49:11,16;50:1; 51:16,17;52:7;53:6; 54:5,9;55:1,7,24;56:2, 19;57:1,18;58:12,23; 59:10,17,21;61:3,6, 16;62:6;63:11,17; 64:12;65:8,19,25; 66:6;67:1;68:11;69:6, 7,11,12,23;70:4,17; 71:6,16,25;72:11,13, 15;73:6,14,16,20,23; 74:1,4,10,18,24;75:6, 13,19,19;76:1,5,13, 14,20,24;77:5,9,12, 18,23,24;78:3,6,9; 79:2,7,15,16;80:5,10, 14,20;81:1,6,8,12; 82:6,9,10,13,15;84:1,	hoping (1) 48:23 host (1) 47:23 hostage (1) 38:1 hour (1) 60:7 HSBC (1) 15:3 hundreds (1) 31:9 hung (1) 32:11 hurdles (1) 43:16 hypothetically (1) 86:13 I idea (3) 25:13;27:2;63:24 identified (4) 108:18;109:6,7; 112:2 identifies (2) 19:3;110:15	119:16 improved (1) 80:16 improves (1) 35:16 imappropriate (1) 95:25 Inc (3) 7:11;9:12;71:18 include (9) 40:5;51:23,23; 66:25;67:20;78:14; 79:1;111:10;118:6 included (8) 39:11;47:9;60:9; 63:3;64:21;67:9; 104:19;120:1 includes (7) 22:19;36:14;60:12; 64:22;94:10;102:25; 103:4 including (9) 34:13;35:25;36:3; 37:18,19;55:19; 68:15;86:10;98:12 inconsistency (1) 43:8 inconsistent (2)	31:17;78:9;84:2 initially (1) 26:22 injunction (2) 101:21;111:16 inserts (1) 59:1 insolvency (1) 30:2 inspiration (1) 117:24 instance (2) 81:17;85:19 instead (1) 32:13 instructed (1) 122:17 insufficient (1) 60:11 integral (1) 86:10 intend (3) 28:8;92:19;107:14 intended (3) 45:1;102:6,9 intent (2) 40:17;45:22 intercompany (18)
21:16;40:2;57:21, 25;58:2,3;59:20; 71:23;72:25;73:12; 77:15;94:13,16;96:5; 121:4;122:3;124:1 heard (15) 23:6;34:24;57:23; 58:20;69:2;71:5; 73:19;74:8;90:20; 93:22;101:9;122:9, 16,21,22 Hearing (27) 2:6,8,19;17:6; 18:15,24;21:16; 23:25;29:7;42:25; 49:19;72:9;73:11; 75:20;76:4,5,10; 115:12,25;116:22; 117:10;118:4,7,8; 119:7;120:2;123:24 hearings (4) 21:8;117:7,21; 118:23 hearing's (1) 106:18 heart (1) 104:25 held (2) 34:20;37:25	17:4,6,14,18;18:2, 7;20:5,8;21:7,18,18; 22:5,15,25;23:8,9,20; 24:22,23;25:20,21,24; 26:3;27:7;28:15;29:2, 9,18,22;30:20;31:23; 33:17;34:18,24;36:9; 37:8;39:24;40:2,10, 18;41:9,12;44:10,12, 19,22;45:5,17;46:6,8; 48:22;49:11,16;50:1; 51:16,17;52:7;53:6; 54:5,9;55:1,7,24;56:2, 19;57:1,18;58:12,23; 59:10,17,21;61:3,6, 16;62:6;63:11,17; 64:12;65:8,19,25; 66:6;67:1;68:11;69:6, 7,11,12,23;70:4,17; 71:6,16,25;72:11,13, 15;73:6,14,16,20,23; 74:1,4,10,18,24;75:6, 13,19,19;76:1,5,13, 14,20,24;77:5,9,12, 18,23,24;78:3,6,9; 79:2,7,15,16;80:5,10, 14,20;81:1,6,8,12; 82:6,9,10,13,15;84:1, 24;85:11,17;86:6,18;	hoping (1) 48:23 host (1) 47:23 hostage (1) 38:1 hour (1) 60:7 HSBC (1) 15:3 hundreds (1) 31:9 hung (1) 32:11 hurdles (1) 43:16 hypothetically (1) 86:13 I idea (3) 25:13;27:2;63:24 identified (4) 108:18;109:6,7; 112:2 identifies (2) 19:3;110:15 identify (2)	119:16 improved (1) 80:16 improves (1) 35:16 imappropriate (1) 95:25 Inc (3) 7:11;9:12;71:18 include (9) 40:5;51:23,23; 66:25;67:20;78:14; 79:1;111:10;118:6 included (8) 39:11;47:9;60:9; 63:3;64:21;67:9; 104:19;120:1 includes (7) 22:19;36:14;60:12; 64:22;94:10;102:25; 103:4 including (9) 34:13;35:25;36:3; 37:18,19;55:19; 68:15;86:10;98:12 inconsistency (1) 43:8 inconsistent (2) 78:19;97:16	31:17;78:9;84:2 initially (1) 26:22 injunction (2) 101:21;111:16 inserts (1) 59:1 insolvency (1) 30:2 inspiration (1) 117:24 instance (2) 81:17;85:19 instead (1) 32:13 instructed (1) 122:17 insufficient (1) 60:11 integral (1) 86:10 intend (3) 28:8;92:19;107:14 intended (3) 45:1;102:6,9 intent (2) 40:17;45:22 intercompany (18) 36:3,4;37:21;77:11;

Case No. 12-12020-mg				August 21, 201
96.10.110.24	16.20.1.41.24.24.	IOSEDII (1)	KIDI ED (1)	20.2 17.47.12.49.1 1
86:10;119:24	16;39:1;41:24,24;	JOSEPH (1)	KIBLER (1)	20:3,17;47:12;48:1,1,
intercreditor (5)	43:11;44:3,24,25;	5:18	15:7	2;72:25;99:21;117:5
31:14;32:1;33:23;	45:17;46:8;47:18,19;	Josh (1)	kicking (1)	Lawson (2)
35:23,24	48:6,12;49:1,7;53:9;	92:10	117:9	113:25;114:2
interdebtor (4)	60:8;62:16,17,17;	JOSHUA (1)	kind (3)	Lawson's (1)
31:14;35:23,25;	68:12;74:15;75:22;	14:15	43:25;84:8;100:24	114:1
36:2	78:17;79:16;80:3,17,	JR (1)	KIRBY (1)	lay (2)
interest (22)	19;81:8,21;82:11;	11:7	10:19	42:9;109:21
35:21;37:14;40:22;	83:13;87:1;89:6;	JSN (3)	KIRKLAND (2)	lays (1)
60:13;61:24;63:2,5,6;	90:24;91:24;93:16;	35:18;109:22;118:6	7:10;102:11	111:6
65:7;67:11;78:18,22;	94:3,4,4,5,5,8,9,16,20,	JSNs (22)	KISSEL (1)	Lead (2)
79:1,5;83:21;84:15,	23;96:3,15;97:18;	25:19;30:7;35:14,	8:10	15:11;68:22
24;85:2,4;89:3,8;	98:6;99:1,5;100:1,21;	17,19,21;37:1,2,12,	knowledge (2)	leading (2)
90:18	101:5,18;102:7;	16,16;38:1,2;77:14;	92:24,25	36:5;57:7
interesting (1)	103:6,10;104:4;	78:22;80:1;83:1;	known (1)	least (16)
79:21	105:7,14;106:2,21;	108:1,17;117:1,6;	49:8	22:8,11;24:25;42:8;
interests (14)	107:15,19;112:13;	120:1	knows (3)	52:2,6;55:10;65:8;
27:11;32:14;34:23;	113:5;114:25	JSN's (3)	29:18;33:17;121:2	78:2,3;86:8;88:17;
39:3;40:17,19;46:16;	issues (37)	78:18;111:12,12	Kodak (2)	94:5;97:11;100:23;
53:12,18;74:16;	25:3;29:9;33:13;	Judge (13)	104:2,6	105:10
76:25;77:6;84:20,20	35:20,25;36:6,23;	20:16;24:12;31:24;	KOTWICK (1)	leave (4)
interim (1)	42:7;43:4,9;44:2,6;	32:2;43:19;47:16,21;	8:15	43:25;47:15;75:13;
23:15	45:25;46:5,23;47:5,	50:22;76:3;95:19;	KRAMER (3)	113:3
interrupt (2)	20,23;48:11,22;	97:22;100:16;104:8	6:2;29:3;118:13	leaves (3)
110:21;122:17	49:11;79:17,19;80:1;	judgment (15)	KRELL (1)	98:10;105:2,6
interrupted (1)	82:24,25;85:16;	18:20;20:2;46:11,	6:19	leaving (2)
117:11	87:14;92:14;117:8;	11,15;50:8,12,17,22;	Kruger (2)	18:22;21:14
into (17)	119:25;120:18;121:3,	51:1,7,22,25;57:16;	32:4;57:10	led (1)
24:5;25:14;26:21;	7,19;123:4,18	123:14		24:12
29:19;30:1;36:19;	item (2)	July (2)	\mathbf{L}	Lee (1)
54:19;55:10;68:22;	23:9,21	24:3;49:23		89:12
70:17;87:13;88:16;	items (1)	June (1)	labored (1)	left (4)
70:17;87:13;88:16; 92:15;100:13;103:20;	items (1) 77:7	June (1) 24:5	labored (1) 100:11	
92:15;100:13;103:20; 105:21;112:6		24:5 Junior (6)		left (4)
92:15;100:13;103:20;	77:7	24:5 Junior (6) 10:3;35:14;61:12;	100:11 Lakeside (1) 7:20	left (4) 18:20;45:14;99:1;
92:15;100:13;103:20; 105:21;112:6	77:7 IV (1) 2:6	24:5 Junior (6)	100:11 Lakeside (1) 7:20	left (4) 18:20;45:14;99:1; 115:20
92:15;100:13;103:20; 105:21;112:6 investigated (1) 32:6 investigation (3)	77:7 IV (1)	24:5 Junior (6) 10:3;35:14;61:12;	100:11 Lakeside (1)	left (4) 18:20;45:14;99:1; 115:20 LEGAL (2)
92:15;100:13;103:20; 105:21;112:6 investigated (1) 32:6	77:7 IV (1) 2:6	24:5 Junior (6) 10:3;35:14;61:12; 76:21;80:7,8 jurisdictions (1) 51:25	100:11 Lakeside (1) 7:20 Landon (1)	left (4) 18:20;45:14;99:1; 115:20 LEGAL (2) 16:10;95:1
92:15;100:13;103:20; 105:21;112:6 investigated (1) 32:6 investigation (3)	77:7 IV (1) 2:6	24:5 Junior (6) 10:3;35:14;61:12; 76:21;80:7,8 jurisdictions (1)	100:11 Lakeside (1) 7:20 Landon (1) 10:20	left (4) 18:20;45:14;99:1; 115:20 LEGAL (2) 16:10;95:1 legalese (1)
92:15;100:13;103:20; 105:21;112:6 investigated (1) 32:6 investigation (3) 32:7;108:11,11 investor (1) 57:4	77:7 IV (1) 2:6	24:5 Junior (6) 10:3;35:14;61:12; 76:21;80:7,8 jurisdictions (1) 51:25	100:11 Lakeside (1) 7:20 Landon (1) 10:20 language (43)	left (4) 18:20;45:14;99:1; 115:20 LEGAL (2) 16:10;95:1 legalese (1) 84:11
92:15;100:13;103:20; 105:21;112:6 investigated (1) 32:6 investigation (3) 32:7;108:11,11 investor (1)	77:7 IV (1) 2:6 J JAMES (1)	24:5 Junior (6) 10:3;35:14;61:12; 76:21;80:7,8 jurisdictions (1) 51:25 JUSTICE (2) 5:2,12 justifies (1)	100:11 Lakeside (1) 7:20 Landon (1) 10:20 language (43) 50:20;51:23,23;	left (4) 18:20;45:14;99:1; 115:20 LEGAL (2) 16:10;95:1 legalese (1) 84:11 length (1)
92:15;100:13;103:20; 105:21;112:6 investigated (1) 32:6 investigation (3) 32:7;108:11,11 investor (1) 57:4	77:7 IV (1) 2:6 J JAMES (1) 11:7	24:5 Junior (6) 10:3;35:14;61:12; 76:21;80:7,8 jurisdictions (1) 51:25 JUSTICE (2) 5:2,12	100:11 Lakeside (1) 7:20 Landon (1) 10:20 language (43) 50:20;51:23,23; 52:4,5,8;59:13;60:6,	left (4) 18:20;45:14;99:1; 115:20 LEGAL (2) 16:10;95:1 legalese (1) 84:11 length (1) 117:3
92:15;100:13;103:20; 105:21;112:6 investigated (1) 32:6 investigation (3) 32:7;108:11,11 investor (1) 57:4 Investors (2)	77:7 IV (1) 2:6 J JAMES (1) 11:7 January (3)	24:5 Junior (6) 10:3;35:14;61:12; 76:21;80:7,8 jurisdictions (1) 51:25 JUSTICE (2) 5:2,12 justifies (1)	100:11 Lakeside (1) 7:20 Landon (1) 10:20 language (43) 50:20;51:23,23; 52:4,5,8;59:13;60:6, 10,20;61:4,17;62:5,8,	left (4) 18:20;45:14;99:1; 115:20 LEGAL (2) 16:10;95:1 legalese (1) 84:11 length (1) 117:3 lengthy (2) 32:16;34:16 less (3)
92:15;100:13;103:20; 105:21;112:6 investigated (1) 32:6 investigation (3) 32:7;108:11,11 investor (1) 57:4 Investors (2) 9:3;33:16 invite (1) 81:3	77:7 IV (1) 2:6 J JAMES (1) 11:7 January (3) 23:4;62:11;65:4	24:5 Junior (6) 10:3;35:14;61:12; 76:21;80:7,8 jurisdictions (1) 51:25 JUSTICE (2) 5:2,12 justifies (1) 39:22	100:11 Lakeside (1) 7:20 Landon (1) 10:20 language (43) 50:20;51:23,23; 52:4,5,8;59:13;60:6, 10,20;61:4,17;62:5,8, 9,19;64:17,19,19;	left (4) 18:20;45:14;99:1; 115:20 LEGAL (2) 16:10;95:1 legalese (1) 84:11 length (1) 117:3 lengthy (2) 32:16;34:16
92:15;100:13;103:20; 105:21;112:6 investigated (1) 32:6 investigation (3) 32:7;108:11,11 investor (1) 57:4 Investors (2) 9:3;33:16 invite (1)	77:7 IV (1) 2:6 J JAMES (1) 11:7 January (3) 23:4;62:11;65:4 JEREMY (1)	24:5 Junior (6) 10:3;35:14;61:12; 76:21;80:7,8 jurisdictions (1) 51:25 JUSTICE (2) 5:2,12 justifies (1) 39:22 JUSTIN (1) 6:19	100:11 Lakeside (1) 7:20 Landon (1) 10:20 language (43) 50:20;51:23,23; 52:4,5,8;59:13;60:6, 10,20;61:4,17;62:5,8, 9,19;64:17,19,19; 65:1,10,15;67:7;	left (4) 18:20;45:14;99:1; 115:20 LEGAL (2) 16:10;95:1 legalese (1) 84:11 length (1) 117:3 lengthy (2) 32:16;34:16 less (3) 28:2;30:20;108:21 level (1)
92:15;100:13;103:20; 105:21;112:6 investigated (1) 32:6 investigation (3) 32:7;108:11,11 investor (1) 57:4 Investors (2) 9:3;33:16 invite (1) 81:3	77:7 IV (1) 2:6 J JAMES (1) 11:7 January (3) 23:4;62:11;65:4 JEREMY (1) 8:7 Jericho (2) 6:15,17	24:5 Junior (6) 10:3;35:14;61:12; 76:21;80:7,8 jurisdictions (1) 51:25 JUSTICE (2) 5:2,12 justifies (1) 39:22 JUSTIN (1)	100:11 Lakeside (1) 7:20 Landon (1) 10:20 language (43) 50:20;51:23,23; 52:4,5,8;59:13;60:6, 10,20;61:4,17;62:5,8, 9,19;64:17,19,19; 65:1,10,15;67:7; 68:12,21;69:15,18;	left (4) 18:20;45:14;99:1; 115:20 LEGAL (2) 16:10;95:1 legalese (1) 84:11 length (1) 117:3 lengthy (2) 32:16;34:16 less (3) 28:2;30:20;108:21
92:15;100:13;103:20; 105:21;112:6 investigated (1) 32:6 investigation (3) 32:7;108:11,11 investor (1) 57:4 Investors (2) 9:3;33:16 invite (1) 81:3 inviting (3)	77:7 IV (1) 2:6 J JAMES (1) 11:7 January (3) 23:4;62:11;65:4 JEREMY (1) 8:7 Jericho (2)	24:5 Junior (6) 10:3;35:14;61:12; 76:21;80:7,8 jurisdictions (1) 51:25 JUSTICE (2) 5:2,12 justifies (1) 39:22 JUSTIN (1) 6:19	100:11 Lakeside (1) 7:20 Landon (1) 10:20 language (43) 50:20;51:23,23; 52:4,5,8;59:13;60:6, 10,20;61:4,17;62:5,8, 9,19;64:17,19,19; 65:1,10,15;67:7; 68:12,21;69:15,18; 73:24;83:16,24;87:9, 10,11,15,22,23;88:2, 12,16;91:7;105:21;	left (4) 18:20;45:14;99:1; 115:20 LEGAL (2) 16:10;95:1 legalese (1) 84:11 length (1) 117:3 lengthy (2) 32:16;34:16 less (3) 28:2;30:20;108:21 level (1) 29:16 LEVIN (3)
92:15;100:13;103:20; 105:21;112:6 investigated (1) 32:6 investigation (3) 32:7;108:11,11 investor (1) 57:4 Investors (2) 9:3;33:16 invite (1) 81:3 inviting (3) 18:15;19:25;20:7 involve (1) 117:25	77:7 IV (1) 2:6 J JAMES (1) 11:7 January (3) 23:4;62:11;65:4 JEREMY (1) 8:7 Jericho (2) 6:15,17	24:5 Junior (6) 10:3;35:14;61:12; 76:21;80:7,8 jurisdictions (1) 51:25 JUSTICE (2) 5:2,12 justifies (1) 39:22 JUSTIN (1) 6:19	100:11 Lakeside (1) 7:20 Landon (1) 10:20 language (43) 50:20;51:23,23; 52:4,5,8;59:13;60:6, 10,20;61:4,17;62:5,8, 9,19;64:17,19,19; 65:1,10,15;67:7; 68:12,21;69:15,18; 73:24;83:16,24;87:9, 10,11,15,22,23;88:2,	left (4) 18:20;45:14;99:1; 115:20 LEGAL (2) 16:10;95:1 legalese (1) 84:11 length (1) 117:3 lengthy (2) 32:16;34:16 less (3) 28:2;30:20;108:21 level (1) 29:16
92:15;100:13;103:20; 105:21;112:6 investigated (1) 32:6 investigation (3) 32:7;108:11,11 investor (1) 57:4 Investors (2) 9:3;33:16 invite (1) 81:3 inviting (3) 18:15;19:25;20:7 involve (1)	77:7 IV (1) 2:6 J JAMES (1) 11:7 January (3) 23:4;62:11;65:4 JEREMY (1) 8:7 Jericho (2) 6:15,17 Jersey (4)	24:5 Junior (6) 10:3;35:14;61:12; 76:21;80:7,8 jurisdictions (1) 51:25 JUSTICE (2) 5:2,12 justifies (1) 39:22 JUSTIN (1) 6:19 K Kansas (1) 12:5	100:11 Lakeside (1) 7:20 Landon (1) 10:20 language (43) 50:20;51:23,23; 52:4,5,8;59:13;60:6, 10,20;61:4,17;62:5,8, 9,19;64:17,19,19; 65:1,10,15;67:7; 68:12,21;69:15,18; 73:24;83:16,24;87:9, 10,11,15,22,23;88:2, 12,16;91:7;105:21;	left (4) 18:20;45:14;99:1; 115:20 LEGAL (2) 16:10;95:1 legalese (1) 84:11 length (1) 117:3 lengthy (2) 32:16;34:16 less (3) 28:2;30:20;108:21 level (1) 29:16 LEVIN (3)
92:15;100:13;103:20; 105:21;112:6 investigated (1) 32:6 investigation (3) 32:7;108:11,11 investor (1) 57:4 Investors (2) 9:3;33:16 invite (1) 81:3 inviting (3) 18:15;19:25;20:7 involve (1) 117:25	77:7 IV (1) 2:6 JAMES (1) 11:7 January (3) 23:4;62:11;65:4 JEREMY (1) 8:7 Jericho (2) 6:15,17 Jersey (4) 26:17;27:25;34:13;	24:5 Junior (6) 10:3;35:14;61:12; 76:21;80:7,8 jurisdictions (1) 51:25 JUSTICE (2) 5:2,12 justifies (1) 39:22 JUSTIN (1) 6:19 K Kansas (1)	100:11 Lakeside (1) 7:20 Landon (1) 10:20 language (43) 50:20;51:23,23; 52:4,5,8;59:13;60:6, 10,20;61:4,17;62:5,8, 9,19;64:17,19,19; 65:1,10,15;67:7; 68:12,21;69:15,18; 73:24;83:16,24;87:9, 10,11,15,22,23;88:2, 12,16;91:7;105:21; 107:3;111:13	left (4) 18:20;45:14;99:1; 115:20 LEGAL (2) 16:10;95:1 legalese (1) 84:11 length (1) 117:3 lengthy (2) 32:16;34:16 less (3) 28:2;30:20;108:21 level (1) 29:16 LEVIN (3) 6:2;29:3;118:13
92:15;100:13;103:20; 105:21;112:6 investigated (1) 32:6 investigation (3) 32:7;108:11,11 investor (1) 57:4 Investors (2) 9:3;33:16 invite (1) 81:3 inviting (3) 18:15;19:25;20:7 involve (1) 117:25 involved (3)	77:7 IV (1) 2:6 J MES (1) 11:7 January (3) 23:4;62:11;65:4 JEREMY (1) 8:7 Jericho (2) 6:15,17 Jersey (4) 26:17;27:25;34:13; 50:19	24:5 Junior (6) 10:3;35:14;61:12; 76:21;80:7,8 jurisdictions (1) 51:25 JUSTICE (2) 5:2,12 justifies (1) 39:22 JUSTIN (1) 6:19 K Kansas (1) 12:5 KASOWITZ (1) 13:19	100:11 Lakeside (1) 7:20 Landon (1) 10:20 language (43) 50:20;51:23,23; 52:4,5,8;59:13;60:6, 10,20;61:4,17;62:5,8, 9,19;64:17,19,19; 65:1,10,15;67:7; 68:12,21;69:15,18; 73:24;83:16,24;87:9, 10,11,15,22,23;88:2, 12,16;91:7;105:21; 107:3;111:13 large (1)	left (4) 18:20;45:14;99:1; 115:20 LEGAL (2) 16:10;95:1 legalese (1) 84:11 length (1) 117:3 lengthy (2) 32:16;34:16 less (3) 28:2;30:20;108:21 level (1) 29:16 LEVIN (3) 6:2;29:3;118:13 LEWIS (4)
92:15;100:13;103:20; 105:21;112:6 investigated (1) 32:6 investigation (3) 32:7;108:11,11 investor (1) 57:4 Investors (2) 9:3;33:16 invite (1) 81:3 inviting (3) 18:15;19:25;20:7 involve (1) 117:25 involved (3) 23:11;97:21;117:22	77:7 IV (1) 2:6 JAMES (1) 11:7 January (3) 23:4;62:11;65:4 JEREMY (1) 8:7 Jericho (2) 6:15,17 Jersey (4) 26:17;27:25;34:13; 50:19 Jiffy (5)	24:5 Junior (6) 10:3;35:14;61:12; 76:21;80:7,8 jurisdictions (1) 51:25 JUSTICE (2) 5:2,12 justifies (1) 39:22 JUSTIN (1) 6:19 K Kansas (1) 12:5 KASOWITZ (1)	100:11 Lakeside (1) 7:20 Landon (1) 10:20 language (43) 50:20;51:23,23; 52:4,5,8;59:13;60:6, 10,20;61:4,17;62:5,8, 9,19;64:17,19,19; 65:1,10,15;67:7; 68:12,21;69:15,18; 73:24;83:16,24;87:9, 10,11,15,22,23;88:2, 12,16;91:7;105:21; 107:3;111:13 large (1) 111:25	left (4) 18:20;45:14;99:1; 115:20 LEGAL (2) 16:10;95:1 legalese (1) 84:11 length (1) 117:3 lengthy (2) 32:16;34:16 less (3) 28:2;30:20;108:21 level (1) 29:16 LEVIN (3) 6:2;29:3;118:13 LEWIS (4) 11:2;32:4;69:24;
92:15;100:13;103:20; 105:21;112:6 investigated (1) 32:6 investigation (3) 32:7;108:11,11 investor (1) 57:4 Investors (2) 9:3;33:16 invite (1) 81:3 inviting (3) 18:15;19:25;20:7 involve (1) 117:25 involved (3) 23:11;97:21;117:22 involves (1)	77:7 IV (1) 2:6 JAMES (1) 11:7 January (3) 23:4;62:11;65:4 JEREMY (1) 8:7 Jericho (2) 6:15,17 Jersey (4) 26:17;27:25;34:13; 50:19 Jiffy (5) 19:3,4,6,6;20:20	24:5 Junior (6) 10:3;35:14;61:12; 76:21;80:7,8 jurisdictions (1) 51:25 JUSTICE (2) 5:2,12 justifies (1) 39:22 JUSTIN (1) 6:19 K Kansas (1) 12:5 KASOWITZ (1) 13:19	100:11 Lakeside (1) 7:20 Landon (1) 10:20 language (43) 50:20;51:23,23; 52:4,5,8;59:13;60:6, 10,20;61:4,17;62:5,8, 9,19;64:17,19,19; 65:1,10,15;67:7; 68:12,21;69:15,18; 73:24;83:16,24;87:9, 10,11,15,22,23;88:2, 12,16;91:7;105:21; 107:3;111:13 large (1) 111:25 largely (2)	left (4) 18:20;45:14;99:1; 115:20 LEGAL (2) 16:10;95:1 legalese (1) 84:11 length (1) 117:3 lengthy (2) 32:16;34:16 less (3) 28:2;30:20;108:21 level (1) 29:16 LEVIN (3) 6:2;29:3;118:13 LEWIS (4) 11:2;32:4;69:24; 93:11
92:15;100:13;103:20; 105:21;112:6 investigated (1) 32:6 investigation (3) 32:7;108:11,11 investor (1) 57:4 Investors (2) 9:3;33:16 invite (1) 81:3 inviting (3) 18:15;19:25;20:7 involve (1) 117:25 involved (3) 23:11;97:21;117:22 involves (1) 121:2	77:7 IV (1) 2:6 JAMES (1) 11:7 January (3) 23:4;62:11;65:4 JEREMY (1) 8:7 Jericho (2) 6:15,17 Jersey (4) 26:17;27:25;34:13; 50:19 Jiffy (5) 19:3,4,6,6;20:20 Jim (1)	24:5 Junior (6) 10:3;35:14;61:12; 76:21;80:7,8 jurisdictions (1) 51:25 JUSTICE (2) 5:2,12 justifies (1) 39:22 JUSTIN (1) 6:19 K Kansas (1) 12:5 KASOWITZ (1) 13:19 KAUFMAN (7)	100:11 Lakeside (1) 7:20 Landon (1) 10:20 language (43) 50:20;51:23,23; 52:4,5,8;59:13;60:6, 10,20;61:4,17;62:5,8, 9,19;64:17,19,19; 65:1,10,15;67:7; 68:12,21;69:15,18; 73:24;83:16,24;87:9, 10,11,15,22,23;88:2, 12,16;91:7;105:21; 107:3;111:13 large (1) 111:25 largely (2) 17:7;29:6	left (4) 18:20;45:14;99:1; 115:20 LEGAL (2) 16:10;95:1 legalese (1) 84:11 length (1) 117:3 lengthy (2) 32:16;34:16 less (3) 28:2;30:20;108:21 level (1) 29:16 LEVIN (3) 6:2;29:3;118:13 LEWIS (4) 11:2;32:4;69:24; 93:11 Lewises (1)
92:15;100:13;103:20; 105:21;112:6 investigated (1) 32:6 investigation (3) 32:7;108:11,11 investor (1) 57:4 Investors (2) 9:3;33:16 invite (1) 81:3 inviting (3) 18:15;19:25;20:7 involve (1) 117:25 involved (3) 23:11;97:21;117:22 involves (1) 121:2 IRENA (1) 13:16 ironic (1)	77:7 IV (1) 2:6 J MMES (1) 11:7 January (3) 23:4;62:11;65:4 JEREMY (1) 8:7 Jericho (2) 6:15,17 Jersey (4) 26:17;27:25;34:13; 50:19 Jiffy (5) 19:3,4,6,6;20:20 Jim (1) 93:10 job (2) 49:10;82:17	24:5 Junior (6) 10:3;35:14;61:12; 76:21;80:7,8 jurisdictions (1) 51:25 JUSTICE (2) 5:2,12 justifies (1) 39:22 JUSTIN (1) 6:19 K Kansas (1) 12:5 KASOWITZ (1) 13:19 KAUFMAN (7) 13:8;71:25,25; 72:13;73:3,6,14 keep (1)	100:11 Lakeside (1) 7:20 Landon (1) 10:20 language (43) 50:20;51:23,23; 52:4,5,8;59:13;60:6, 10,20;61:4,17;62:5,8, 9,19;64:17,19,19; 65:1,10,15;67:7; 68:12,21;69:15,18; 73:24;83:16,24;87:9, 10,11,15,22,23;88:2, 12,16;91:7;105:21; 107:3;111:13 large (1) 111:25 largely (2) 17:7;29:6 last (13) 24:11;25:24;38:9; 44:15;54:3;62:8;78:1;	left (4) 18:20;45:14;99:1; 115:20 LEGAL (2) 16:10;95:1 legalese (1) 84:11 length (1) 117:3 lengthy (2) 32:16;34:16 less (3) 28:2;30:20;108:21 level (1) 29:16 LEVIN (3) 6:2;29:3;118:13 LEWIS (4) 11:2;32:4;69:24; 93:11 Lewises (1) 70:1 Lexington (2) 7:12;12:22
92:15;100:13;103:20; 105:21;112:6 investigated (1) 32:6 investigation (3) 32:7;108:11,11 investor (1) 57:4 Investors (2) 9:3;33:16 invite (1) 81:3 inviting (3) 18:15;19:25;20:7 involve (1) 117:25 involved (3) 23:11;97:21;117:22 involves (1) 121:2 IRENA (1) 13:16	77:7 IV (1) 2:6 JAMES (1) 11:7 January (3) 23:4;62:11;65:4 JEREMY (1) 8:7 Jericho (2) 6:15,17 Jersey (4) 26:17;27:25;34:13; 50:19 Jiffy (5) 19:3,4,6,6;20:20 Jim (1) 93:10 job (2)	24:5 Junior (6) 10:3;35:14;61:12; 76:21;80:7,8 jurisdictions (1) 51:25 JUSTICE (2) 5:2,12 justifies (1) 39:22 JUSTIN (1) 6:19 K Kansas (1) 12:5 KASOWITZ (1) 13:19 KAUFMAN (7) 13:8;71:25,25; 72:13;73:3,6,14 keep (1) 90:11	100:11 Lakeside (1) 7:20 Landon (1) 10:20 language (43) 50:20;51:23,23; 52:4,5,8;59:13;60:6, 10,20;61:4,17;62:5,8, 9,19;64:17,19,19; 65:1,10,15;67:7; 68:12,21;69:15,18; 73:24;83:16,24;87:9, 10,11,15,22,23;88:2, 12,16;91:7;105:21; 107:3;111:13 large (1) 111:25 largely (2) 17:7;29:6 last (13) 24:11;25:24;38:9;	left (4) 18:20;45:14;99:1; 115:20 LEGAL (2) 16:10;95:1 legalese (1) 84:11 length (1) 117:3 lengthy (2) 32:16;34:16 less (3) 28:2;30:20;108:21 level (1) 29:16 LEVIN (3) 6:2;29:3;118:13 LEWIS (4) 11:2;32:4;69:24; 93:11 Lewises (1) 70:1 Lexington (2)
92:15;100:13;103:20; 105:21;112:6 investigated (1) 32:6 investigation (3) 32:7;108:11,11 investor (1) 57:4 Investors (2) 9:3;33:16 invite (1) 81:3 inviting (3) 18:15;19:25;20:7 involve (1) 117:25 involved (3) 23:11;97:21;117:22 involves (1) 121:2 IRENA (1) 13:16 ironic (1)	77:7 IV (1) 2:6 J MMES (1) 11:7 January (3) 23:4;62:11;65:4 JEREMY (1) 8:7 Jericho (2) 6:15,17 Jersey (4) 26:17;27:25;34:13; 50:19 Jiffy (5) 19:3,4,6,6;20:20 Jim (1) 93:10 job (2) 49:10;82:17	24:5 Junior (6) 10:3;35:14;61:12; 76:21;80:7,8 jurisdictions (1) 51:25 JUSTICE (2) 5:2,12 justifies (1) 39:22 JUSTIN (1) 6:19 K Kansas (1) 12:5 KASOWITZ (1) 13:19 KAUFMAN (7) 13:8;71:25,25; 72:13;73:3,6,14 keep (1)	100:11 Lakeside (1) 7:20 Landon (1) 10:20 language (43) 50:20;51:23,23; 52:4,5,8;59:13;60:6, 10,20;61:4,17;62:5,8, 9,19;64:17,19,19; 65:1,10,15;67:7; 68:12,21;69:15,18; 73:24;83:16,24;87:9, 10,11,15,22,23;88:2, 12,16;91:7;105:21; 107:3;111:13 large (1) 111:25 largely (2) 17:7;29:6 last (13) 24:11;25:24;38:9; 44:15;54:3;62:8;78:1;	left (4) 18:20;45:14;99:1; 115:20 LEGAL (2) 16:10;95:1 legalese (1) 84:11 length (1) 117:3 lengthy (2) 32:16;34:16 less (3) 28:2;30:20;108:21 level (1) 29:16 LEVIN (3) 6:2;29:3;118:13 LEWIS (4) 11:2;32:4;69:24; 93:11 Lewises (1) 70:1 Lexington (2) 7:12;12:22
92:15;100:13;103:20; 105:21;112:6 investigated (1) 32:6 investigation (3) 32:7;108:11,11 investor (1) 57:4 Investors (2) 9:3;33:16 invite (1) 81:3 inviting (3) 18:15;19:25;20:7 involve (1) 117:25 involved (3) 23:11;97:21;117:22 involves (1) 121:2 IRENA (1) 13:16 ironic (1) 80:1	77:7 IV (1) 2:6 JAMES (1) 11:7 January (3) 23:4;62:11;65:4 JEREMY (1) 8:7 Jericho (2) 6:15,17 Jersey (4) 26:17;27:25;34:13; 50:19 Jiffy (5) 19:3,4,6,6;20:20 Jim (1) 93:10 job (2) 49:10;82:17 JOHN (1)	24:5 Junior (6) 10:3;35:14;61:12; 76:21;80:7,8 jurisdictions (1) 51:25 JUSTICE (2) 5:2,12 justifies (1) 39:22 JUSTIN (1) 6:19 K Kansas (1) 12:5 KASOWITZ (1) 13:19 KAUFMAN (7) 13:8;71:25,25; 72:13;73:3,6,14 keep (1) 90:11	100:11 Lakeside (1) 7:20 Landon (1) 10:20 language (43) 50:20;51:23,23; 52:4,5,8;59:13;60:6, 10,20;61:4,17;62:5,8, 9,19;64:17,19,19; 65:1,10,15;67:7; 68:12,21;69:15,18; 73:24;83:16,24;87:9, 10,11,15,22,23;88:2, 12,16;91:7;105:21; 107:3;111:13 large (1) 111:25 largely (2) 17:7;29:6 last (13) 24:11;25:24;38:9; 44:15;54:3;62:8;78:1; 82:3;107:18;111:11;	left (4) 18:20;45:14;99:1; 115:20 LEGAL (2) 16:10;95:1 legalese (1) 84:11 length (1) 117:3 lengthy (2) 32:16;34:16 less (3) 28:2;30:20;108:21 level (1) 29:16 LEVIN (3) 6:2;29:3;118:13 LEWIS (4) 11:2;32:4;69:24; 93:11 Lewises (1) 70:1 Lexington (2) 7:12;12:22 liability (2)
92:15;100:13;103:20; 105:21;112:6 investigated (1) 32:6 investigation (3) 32:7;108:11,11 investor (1) 57:4 Investors (2) 9:3;33:16 invite (1) 81:3 inviting (3) 18:15;19:25;20:7 involve (1) 117:25 involved (3) 23:11;97:21;117:22 involves (1) 121:2 IRENA (1) 13:16 ironic (1) 80:1 Ironically (1)	77:7 IV (1) 2:6 JAMES (1) 11:7 January (3) 23:4;62:11;65:4 JEREMY (1) 8:7 Jericho (2) 6:15,17 Jersey (4) 26:17;27:25;34:13; 50:19 Jiffy (5) 19:3,4,6,6;20:20 Jim (1) 93:10 job (2) 49:10;82:17 JOHN (1) 15:7	24:5 Junior (6) 10:3;35:14;61:12; 76:21;80:7,8 jurisdictions (1) 51:25 JUSTICE (2) 5:2,12 justifies (1) 39:22 JUSTIN (1) 6:19 K Kansas (1) 12:5 KASOWITZ (1) 13:19 KAUFMAN (7) 13:8;71:25,25; 72:13;73:3,6,14 keep (1) 90:11 KENNETH (3)	100:11 Lakeside (1) 7:20 Landon (1) 10:20 language (43) 50:20;51:23,23; 52:4,5,8;59:13;60:6, 10,20;61:4,17;62:5,8, 9,19;64:17,19,19; 65:1,10,15;67:7; 68:12,21;69:15,18; 73:24;83:16,24;87:9, 10,11,15,22,23;88:2, 12,16;91:7;105:21; 107:3;111:13 large (1) 111:25 largely (2) 17:7;29:6 last (13) 24:11;25:24;38:9; 44:15;54:3;62:8;78:1; 82:3;107:18;111:11; 114:25;117:12;119:4	left (4) 18:20;45:14;99:1; 115:20 LEGAL (2) 16:10;95:1 legalese (1) 84:11 length (1) 117:3 lengthy (2) 32:16;34:16 less (3) 28:2;30:20;108:21 level (1) 29:16 LEVIN (3) 6:2;29:3;118:13 LEWIS (4) 11:2;32:4;69:24; 93:11 Lewises (1) 70:1 Lexington (2) 7:12;12:22 liability (2) 101:11;109:23 Liberty (1) 8:4
92:15;100:13;103:20; 105:21;112:6 investigated (1) 32:6 investigation (3) 32:7;108:11,11 investor (1) 57:4 Investors (2) 9:3;33:16 invite (1) 81:3 inviting (3) 18:15;19:25;20:7 involve (1) 117:25 involved (3) 23:11;97:21;117:22 involves (1) 121:2 IRENA (1) 13:16 ironic (1) 80:1 Ironically (1) 37:2	77:7 IV (1) 2:6 JAMES (1) 11:7 January (3) 23:4;62:11;65:4 JEREMY (1) 8:7 Jericho (2) 6:15,17 Jersey (4) 26:17;27:25;34:13; 50:19 Jiffy (5) 19:3,4,6,6;20:20 Jim (1) 93:10 job (2) 49:10;82:17 JOHN (1) 15:7 Joint (4)	24:5 Junior (6) 10:3;35:14;61:12; 76:21;80:7,8 jurisdictions (1) 51:25 JUSTICE (2) 5:2,12 justifies (1) 39:22 JUSTIN (1) 6:19 K Kansas (1) 12:5 KASOWITZ (1) 13:19 KAUFMAN (7) 13:8;71:25,25; 72:13;73:3,6,14 keep (1) 90:11 KENNETH (3) 6:7;22:6;29:2	100:11 Lakeside (1) 7:20 Landon (1) 10:20 language (43) 50:20;51:23,23; 52:4,5,8;59:13;60:6, 10,20;61:4,17;62:5,8, 9,19;64:17,19,19; 65:1,10,15;67:7; 68:12,21;69:15,18; 73:24;83:16,24;87:9, 10,11,15,22,23;88:2, 12,16;91:7;105:21; 107:3;111:13 large (1) 111:25 largely (2) 17:7;29:6 last (13) 24:11;25:24;38:9; 44:15;54:3;62:8;78:1; 82:3;107:18;111:11; 114:25;117:12;119:4 late (2)	left (4) 18:20;45:14;99:1; 115:20 LEGAL (2) 16:10;95:1 legalese (1) 84:11 length (1) 117:3 lengthy (2) 32:16;34:16 less (3) 28:2;30:20;108:21 level (1) 29:16 LEVIN (3) 6:2;29:3;118:13 LEWIS (4) 11:2;32:4;69:24; 93:11 Lewises (1) 70:1 Lexington (2) 7:12;12:22 liability (2) 101:11;109:23 Liberty (1)
92:15;100:13;103:20; 105:21;112:6 investigated (1) 32:6 investigation (3) 32:7;108:11,11 investor (1) 57:4 Investors (2) 9:3;33:16 invite (1) 81:3 inviting (3) 18:15;19:25;20:7 involve (1) 117:25 involved (3) 23:11;97:21;117:22 involves (1) 121:2 IRENA (1) 13:16 ironic (1) 80:1 Ironically (1) 37:2 irrevocably (1) 72:20 issue (79)	77:7 IV (1) 2:6 J JAMES (1) 11:7 January (3) 23:4;62:11;65:4 JEREMY (1) 8:7 Jericho (2) 6:15,17 Jersey (4) 26:17;27:25;34:13; 50:19 Jiffy (5) 19:3,4,6,6;20:20 Jim (1) 93:10 job (2) 49:10;82:17 JOHN (1) 15:7 Joint (4) 2:5,11;17:18;24:3 JONATHAN (1) 10:8	24:5 Junior (6) 10:3;35:14;61:12; 76:21;80:7,8 jurisdictions (1) 51:25 JUSTICE (2) 5:2,12 justifies (1) 39:22 JUSTIN (1) 6:19 K Kansas (1) 12:5 KASOWITZ (1) 13:19 KAUFMAN (7) 13:8;71:25,25; 72:13;73:3,6,14 keep (1) 90:11 KENNETH (3) 6:7;22:6;29:2 Kessler (15)	100:11 Lakeside (1) 7:20 Landon (1) 10:20 language (43) 50:20;51:23,23; 52:4,5,8;59:13;60:6, 10,20;61:4,17;62:5,8, 9,19;64:17,19,19; 65:1,10,15;67:7; 68:12,21;69:15,18; 73:24;83:16,24;87:9, 10,11,15,22,23;88:2, 12,16;91:7;105:21; 107:3;111:13 large (1) 111:25 largely (2) 17:7;29:6 last (13) 24:11;25:24;38:9; 44:15;54:3;62:8;78:1; 82:3;107:18;111:11; 114:25;117:12;119:4 late (2) 60:2,7	left (4) 18:20;45:14;99:1; 115:20 LEGAL (2) 16:10;95:1 legalese (1) 84:11 length (1) 117:3 lengthy (2) 32:16;34:16 less (3) 28:2;30:20;108:21 level (1) 29:16 LEVIN (3) 6:2;29:3;118:13 LEWIS (4) 11:2;32:4;69:24; 93:11 Lewises (1) 70:1 Lexington (2) 7:12;12:22 liability (2) 101:11;109:23 Liberty (1) 8:4
92:15;100:13;103:20; 105:21;112:6 investigated (1) 32:6 investigation (3) 32:7;108:11,11 investor (1) 57:4 Investors (2) 9:3;33:16 invite (1) 81:3 inviting (3) 18:15;19:25;20:7 involve (1) 117:25 involved (3) 23:11;97:21;117:22 involves (1) 121:2 IRENA (1) 13:16 ironic (1) 80:1 Ironically (1) 37:2 irrevocably (1) 72:20	77:7 IV (1) 2:6 J MMES (1) 11:7 January (3) 23:4;62:11;65:4 JEREMY (1) 8:7 Jericho (2) 6:15,17 Jersey (4) 26:17;27:25;34:13; 50:19 Jiffy (5) 19:3,4,6,6;20:20 Jim (1) 93:10 job (2) 49:10;82:17 JOHN (1) 15:7 Joint (4) 2:5,11;17:18;24:3 JONATHAN (1)	24:5 Junior (6) 10:3;35:14;61:12; 76:21;80:7,8 jurisdictions (1) 51:25 JUSTICE (2) 5:2,12 justifies (1) 39:22 JUSTIN (1) 6:19 K Kansas (1) 12:5 KASOWITZ (1) 13:19 KAUFMAN (7) 13:8;71:25,25; 72:13;73:3,6,14 keep (1) 90:11 KENNETH (3) 6:7;22:6;29:2 Kessler (15) 12:3,12;17:11,19;	100:11 Lakeside (1) 7:20 Landon (1) 10:20 language (43) 50:20;51:23,23; 52:4,5,8;59:13;60:6, 10,20;61:4,17;62:5,8, 9,19;64:17,19,19; 65:1,10,15;67:7; 68:12,21;69:15,18; 73:24;83:16,24;87:9, 10,11,15,22,23;88:2, 12,16;91:7;105:21; 107:3;111:13 large (1) 111:25 largely (2) 17:7;29:6 last (13) 24:11;25:24;38:9; 44:15;54:3;62:8;78:1; 82:3;107:18;111:11; 114:25;117:12;119:4 late (2) 60:2,7 later (2)	left (4) 18:20;45:14;99:1; 115:20 LEGAL (2) 16:10;95:1 legalese (1) 84:11 length (1) 117:3 lengthy (2) 32:16;34:16 less (3) 28:2;30:20;108:21 level (1) 29:16 LEVIN (3) 6:2;29:3;118:13 LEWIS (4) 11:2;32:4;69:24; 93:11 Lewises (1) 70:1 Lexington (2) 7:12;12:22 liability (2) 101:11;109:23 Liberty (1) 8:4 lien (6)
92:15;100:13;103:20; 105:21;112:6 investigated (1) 32:6 investigation (3) 32:7;108:11,11 investor (1) 57:4 Investors (2) 9:3;33:16 invite (1) 81:3 inviting (3) 18:15;19:25;20:7 involve (1) 117:25 involved (3) 23:11;97:21;117:22 involves (1) 121:2 IRENA (1) 13:16 ironic (1) 80:1 Ironically (1) 37:2 irrevocably (1) 72:20 issue (79)	77:7 IV (1) 2:6 J JAMES (1) 11:7 January (3) 23:4;62:11;65:4 JEREMY (1) 8:7 Jericho (2) 6:15,17 Jersey (4) 26:17;27:25;34:13; 50:19 Jiffy (5) 19:3,4,6,6;20:20 Jim (1) 93:10 job (2) 49:10;82:17 JOHN (1) 15:7 Joint (4) 2:5,11;17:18;24:3 JONATHAN (1) 10:8	24:5 Junior (6) 10:3;35:14;61:12; 76:21;80:7,8 jurisdictions (1) 51:25 JUSTICE (2) 5:2,12 justifies (1) 39:22 JUSTIN (1) 6:19 K Kansas (1) 12:5 KASOWITZ (1) 13:19 KAUFMAN (7) 13:8;71:25,25; 72:13;73:3,6,14 keep (1) 90:11 KENNETH (3) 6:7;22:6;29:2 Kessler (15) 12:3,12;17:11,19; 22:3;46:9;54:24;55:9,	100:11 Lakeside (1) 7:20 Landon (1) 10:20 language (43) 50:20;51:23,23; 52:4,5,8;59:13;60:6, 10,20;61:4,17;62:5,8, 9,19;64:17,19,19; 65:1,10,15;67:7; 68:12,21;69:15,18; 73:24;83:16,24;87:9, 10,11,15,22,23;88:2, 12,16;91:7;105:21; 107:3;111:13 large (1) 111:25 largely (2) 17:7;29:6 last (13) 24:11;25:24;38:9; 44:15;54:3;62:8;78:1; 82:3;107:18;111:11; 114:25;117:12;119:4 late (2) 60:2,7 later (2) 91:14;93:16	left (4) 18:20;45:14;99:1; 115:20 LEGAL (2) 16:10;95:1 legalese (1) 84:11 length (1) 117:3 lengthy (2) 32:16;34:16 less (3) 28:2;30:20;108:21 level (1) 29:16 LEVIN (3) 6:2;29:3;118:13 LEWIS (4) 11:2;32:4;69:24; 93:11 Lewises (1) 70:1 Lexington (2) 7:12;12:22 liability (2) 101:11;109:23 Liberty (1) 8:4 lien (6) 37:18;62:11;63:20,

Case No. 12-12020-mg August 21, 2013 **LOEB (2)** 59:14;60:13;63:22 8:20;71:3,4,10 79:3,7,11;89:12,16, 118:12;119:14;121:2, Madison (1) 9:20.20 18;91:21;92:1;93:9, 2:122:3 life (4) 80:12;114:11,13; **LONG** (7) 11:20 19,20,24;94:19; **Maybe** (23) 13:2;72:1,3;73:3,9; Mae (2) 101:24;102:1;103:17, 45:2;55:18;79:6; 124:6 light (1) 50:2,4 79:12:118:5 18,23;105:1,6,11; 82:6,16,20,22;83:8, 45:25 longer (1) Main (3) 106:6;107:22,24; 10,17,22,22;84:6; 12:4;25:15;26:12 likely (3) 19:11 108:3,6,21;109:10,14, 85:8;88:22;96:14; 72:18;108:21;117:4 longstanding (1) maintain (2) 16,18,21;110:8;113:8, 98:1;101:24;105:16; limine (2) 34:1 63:12;92:17 11,16,19,22;114:1,4, 108:25;109:2;112:3; 117:11,12 look (23) major (5) 8,11,15,18,22;115:2, 120:20 limited (3) 34:6;41:20;44:23; 27:8;30:23;31:18, 12,23;116:3,6,8,12; **MBIA** (1) 18:14;30:22;102:12 117:23;118:9;124:9, 46:6;51:2,7,21;54:3; 22;34:25 54:6 line (4) 87:21;95:3,18,24; majority (2) 24 MCCLOY (3) 30:6;39:14;101:22; 100:18;102:16;104:1, 30:23,25 Marinuzzi's (1) 10:2;77:19,22 110:3 9;106:25;107:4,18; makes (4) 92:11 McDonald (1) 19:20;26:16;98:16; lined (1) 108:15;109:18;111:9; MARK (1) 114:8 99:25 119:3 105:11 8:15 MCINERNEY (1) making (3) looked (5) liquidated (3) marked (5) 10:19 27:12;59:7;70:14; 26:13;60:19,21 57:15;88:10;100:1 25:23;26:2,3;50:6; MCKENNA (2) liquidating (5) 116:16;117:15 Mallet (1) 116:3 13:2;72:1 26:12,16;28:6; looking (11) 20:9 Market (1) MCKOOL (2) 60:19:63:22 Management (2) 53:10;65:7,16,22; 15:21 8:19;71:9 liquidation (8) 66:3,15,17,19,24; 14:11;92:2 MARTHA (2) meal (1) mandatory (1) 27:16;39:17;40:4,5, 81:15;119:6 16:7;59:18 121:2 MARTIN (1) 6;53:14,14;68:14 looks (3) 92:16 mean (27) list (3) 40:23;110:24; Manhattan (1) 40:7;51:7,10;52:6; 9:7 45:11;48:15;113:24 111:14 10:4 Mary (1) 56:12;68:18,18;73:5; listen (1) Lorenzo (1) MANNAL (1) 114:8 79:13;80:5,14;86:24; 69:9 17:5 6:8 Mass (2) 87:14;88:7;97:24; listing (1) Los (1) Manner (3) 11:19;95:21 98:20;100:18,24; 53:25 9:15 2:18;28:23;33:15 MASUMOTO (10) 104:6,21;105:17; litigate (2) mantra (1) **lose** (1) 5:9:104:11.12.13. 106:1;108:25;110:20; 37:14;89:5 30:16 80:15 16,21,24;105:4;106:8, 117:20;123:20; litigating (2) lot (16) Manville (1) 11 124:17 82:20,22 39:6;41:23;44:6; 103:12 match (1) means (3) litigation (21) many (12) 61:12;75:15,17 47:5,19;48:1;51:11; 40:3 29:19,20;32:16; 29:18;31:14;32:8; 90:5;95:4;105:23; material (2) mech (1) 33:3;34:1,5;36:7,12, 109:1;117:9;119:12; 33:5;34:10;38:22; 59:1:85:3 90:14 15;38:1,6,16,24; 121:16,20;124:15 54:17;101:10;119:10, materiality (1) mechanic (1) 40:16:50:21,23; lots (1) 11;120:18,22 81:25 54:10 80:23;82:22;92:21; 124:13 Marinuzzi (177) math (1) mechanics (1) 95:16;111:17 Louis (1) 17:3,4,5;23:9,14,17, 55:15 57:14 **little (10)** 14:22 18,20;27:7,22;29:5; MATIAS (2) mechanism (1) 34:5;70:19;75:10; **LOWENSTEIN (2)** 30:18;39:9,13;41:20; 16:23;73:21 86:2 77:20;78:5;79:17; 11:10;75:7 42:23;46:25;48:19, matter (12) mechanisms (1) 82:22;97:25;106:25; 90:9 LTD (1) 22;49:1,16,20,21; 17:9;21:20;29:11; 15:10 51:9,11,13,15,20; mediation (5) 109:2 72:24;73:15;78:9; 52:7,10,13,17,20,22; 85:18;97:7;121:5,16; 32:17;57:9;77:25; live (1) **Lube (5)** 117:17 19:3,4,6,6;20:20 53:2,4,6;54:2,4;55:1, 122:6,13 88:9;96:1 Livingston (1) Lumber (1) 4,7,24;56:2,11,17,19; matters (1) mediator (2) 11:12 97:24 57:1,24;58:1,4,6,9,12, 101:15 24:11;31:24 LLC (2) lunch (2) 16,20,23;59:10;61:2, maximum (1) Medical (1) 3:21;14:19 120:20,25 3,6,9,11,16,19,22; 23:5 95:21 LLP (22) lunches (1) 62:6,8,16;63:11,17; may (41) meeting (1) 6:2,13;7:2,10;8:2, 19:8;22:5;29:9; 120:22 64:3,5,7;65:17,18; 122:2 LYNCH (1) 30:9,11,13;38:7,7,8, 10;9:11;10:2,11,19; 66:9,11,13,19,22; members (3) 19:8;26:18;54:24 11:2,10,18;12:20; 15:10 67:1,4,13;68:4,9;69:1, 25;41:19,19;42:18; 44:5;49:2;51:25;52:1, 13:2,11,19;14:2,10, 3,6,10,11,23;70:4,10, mention (7) 18;15:2,19 \mathbf{M} 12,17,18,19,22,24; 6,25;60:19;62:25; 60:4,11,12,13,14, loans (1) 71:2,15,16,22;72:9, 69:7,12,12,17;84:6; 17;64:14 86:13;92:7;96:14,15; mentioning (2) 28:11 MA (1) 10;73:16;74:3,4,10, locked (1) 9:5 12,18,24;75:4,14,25; 99:22;100:14;105:3; 77:25;80:15 Mac (4) 76:1,14,17,20;77:17; 106:13;109:6;110:20; 42:14 merits (2)

Case No. 12-12020-mg August 21, 2013

Case No. 12-12020-mg				August 21, 2013
19:9,21	minority (1)	10.16.55.10.57.6.	6:2	50.10.60.11 22.70.4
	minority (1)	48:16;55:18;57:6;		59:10;69:11,23;70:4,
methdology (1)	80:7	59:2,13;65:19;68:21;	narrative (1)	24;71:2,16;73:15,16;
21:13	minus (1)	69:7;75:10;85:14,22;	25:21	74:4,24;98:22;
method (4)	56:22	87:5;89:21;113:16;	narrow (5)	113:23;122:4
19:13,19,22;123:13	minute (2)	115:13	36:23;82:22;	nice (1)
methodology (7)	25:19;28:14	Moreover (1)	102:23;103:15;	118:4
18:19;19:4;20:19;	minutes (1)	19:18	105:10	night (5)
21:14;22:19;46:19,20	122:22	MORGAN (2)	narrowing (1)	25:24;44:15;54:3;
Metromedia (4)	misconduct (2)	11:2;93:11	102:24	62:8;111:11
103:12;111:13,14;	98:4,11	morning (14)	Nassau (2)	nine (1)
112:2	misinterpret (1)	17:4,14,15;18:4,11;	58:19,21	40:24
MF (5)	124:15	22:11;29:2;71:25;	National (1)	NJ (1)
42:24;94:21;98:21;	misread (1)	73:20;75:6;77:18;	70:5	11:13
115:18,19	45:2	92:10;93:10;102:10	naturally (2)	nobody (3)
MICHAEL (5)	missed (1)	Morrison (4)	25:14;27:16	68:22;87:14;120:15
8:25;11:15;14:24;	79:6	17:5,16;23:1;123:3	nature (1)	nobody's (1)
71:9;75:6	misstating (1)	mortgage (2)	111:7	47:15
Michelle (1)	102:8	31:20;71:17	naught (1)	non (1)
114:1	mixing (1)	most (5)	48:9	88:5
microphone (2)	67:6	62:11;70:15;80:3;	NCUAB (3)	non- (2)
71:7;77:20	MN (1)	82:12;88:14	46:13;70:5,22	19:16;47:13
midst (1)	16:13	Motion (27)	NE (1)	nonconsensual (2)
31:20	MO (2)	2:2,11;3:2,3;17:9,	16:12	103:11,15
might (10)	12:5;14:22	18;18:8;21:5,21;23:1,	necessarily (5)	nonconsenting (1)
22:8;49:8,12;50:17,	modification (5)	2,21;24:2,19;35:11;	46:16;53:11;62:4;	105:16
18;104:1,9,25;	38:11;54:9;59:7;	71:21;72:2,8,13,22,	100:5;110:17	nondebtor (4)
				` ,
106:22;110:5	82:5;107:5	25;73:1,10,13;	necessary (2)	44:4;94:12;101:6;
mightily (1)	modifications (8)	116:25;119:1;123:21	30:3;115:13	111:15
100:11	37:23;38:3;59:1;	motions (3)	need (27)	None (3)
MILBANK (3)	81:22;97:3,12,13;	23:11;117:11,12	18:16;20:11;21:9,9,	19:25;65:1;79:3
10:2;77:19,21	123:15	move (2)	12;33:11;38:4;45:15;	nonestate (3)
milestone (3)	modified (6)	119:3;123:1	49:2,11,18,18;51:23;	94:10,22;98:13
29:13;121:10;	40:20;50:8,10;	moved (1)	52:4;70:8;80:9;82:19;	non-estate (1)
124:13	52:13,14;53:7	31:16	84:3;87:19;88:20;	47:11
milestones (2)	modify (2)	moving (1)	89:21;93:23;94:25;	Nonetheless (1)
120:4;121:8	53:21;106:6	29:12	98:24;105:20;111:10;	
Miller (1)	moment (6)	much (14)	113:4	nonsettling (3)
42:24	30:18;34:24;46:6,9;	32:25;70:19;74:2;	needed (2)	18:23;19:7;50:18
million (13)	106:17;122:8	76:12;92:9;93:3,8,17,	37:9;69:3	Nora (14)
26:23;27:14,15;	Monday (2)	18;101:11;109:3;	needs (6)	16:11,15;58:25;
28:1;33:14;35:4;	71:20;117:13	110:11,15;121:4	21:3;34:23;45:5,24;	59:3,4,6;122:8,9,11,
54:11;55:3,19;56:1,7,	monetization (1)	multiple (2)	63:1,1	15,19,21,24;123:2
	28:10		*	
13;79:25		89:24,25	negligence (2)	Norm (2)
million-dollars'-worth (1)	money (4)	MUNGER (1)	98:4,11	17:16;123:3
28:5	86:3,4,14;111:25	9:11	negotiated (5)	normal (1)
millions (2)	monoline (7)	MURRELL (3)	29:25;30:2,7,14;	65:5
29:20;31:9	31:2;33:21,22,25,	16:23;73:20,21	54:15	Norman (1)
mind (7)	25;34:4;54:5	must (1)	negotiation (1)	17:12
42:6,8;47:4,12;	monolines (2)	52:3	38:12	North (1)
54:24;105:3;118:11	33:23;34:2	mute (1)	negotiations (4)	14:20
mindful (4)	month (1)	49:18	24:9;32:5,9,16	note (7)
29:22;44:24;47:6;	119:5	Mutual (2)	Nelson (2)	30:14;70:8;75:10;
94:20	months (11)	11:19;95:20	114:4,5	78:12;85:1,1;98:3
mine (1)	24:9;29:17;30:20;	myriad (1)	New (27)	noted (4)
116:11	32:16;36:21;46:4;	33:9	3:23;5:6,16;6:5;7:5,	24:23;49:24;53:6;
minimis (1)	48:8;82:11;86:20;	myself (3)	13;8:5,13,23;9:23;	118:17
31:12		46:2;113:5;117:9		
	96:8;98:22	40.2,115.5,117.9	10:5,14,22;11:5,22;	Noteholders (4)
minimizing (1)	moot (1)	™ T	12:15,23;13:6,14,22;	10:3;76:21;80:8,8
121:19	71:19	N	14:5,13;15:5;26:17;	notes (10)
Minneapolis (1)	moots (2)		27:25;34:13;50:19	31:8;35:9,10,14;
16:13	72:2;85:20	NA (2)	next (21)	48:24;61:13;70:9;
Minnesota (1)	more (18)	9:21;15:20	21:8,19,20;23:9;	116:5,11,15
50:18	19:17;20:14;31:7;	NAFTALIS (1)	46:3;48:8;58:23;	noteworthy (1)
-				

Case No. 12-12020-mg

Cuse 110: 12 12020 mg	1	T.	1	1148450 21, 2010
30:5	122:24	18:22;21:7;25:25;	OSTRZEGA (1)	owe (1)
nothing's (1)	Objections (22)	28:20;39:25;41:1;	10:8	32:1
68:19	2:8;24:20,24,25;	47:7,22;49:21;50:19;	others (2)	Own (5)
Notice (16)	25:1,3;29:8;30:17;	51:7;55:16;58:2,3,18,	16:11;85:5	2:16;27:22;68:16,
2:7,18;19:21,23;	42:11;44:7;59:5;	23;62:17;65:19;69:7;	otherwise (4)	17;115:10
20:2,12,14,20;21:2,	76:22;78:9;80:12,21,	70:7;71:19;74:15;	54:20;85:18;	,
12;24:1;87:12;	22;91:6;109:11;	76:22;78:17;82:3;	101:22;109:24	P
118:12;119:14;	113:17,23;114:18;	83:6;86:23;87:21;	ought (2)	
123:15,15	116:19	94:5;97:10;100:18;	103:9;104:1	PA (2)
noticed (2)	objection's (4)	101:16;103:17;107:1,	out (44)	15:14,23
72:8,10	59:9;70:6;73:2;	20;109:11;111:9,10;	20:22;26:4;27:21;	Pacific (1)
notices (2)	91:20	113:23;115:17;121:5;	28:2;38:13;40:1;42:9;	
44:12;103:19	obligations (1)	124:14	44:20;45:5,20;46:4;	page (24)
noticing (1)	50:5	ones (2)	47:9;48:8;49:6;50:1;	17:9;23:10,21;
28:2	observation (5)	25:2;49:24	54:22,24;56:2;59:19;	25:21,23;50:7;52:15,
notification (1)	30:5;56:11;96:16;	ongoing (2)	62:23;72:17;73:12;	21,22;60:25;61:10,11,
123:16	105:18;121:18	65:2;80:23	85:21;87:4,13;92:18,	17,20;62:3;63:18;
notwithstanding (2)	observations (1)	Only (29)	23,25;93:1,3;94:15;	66:13,15,24;101:25;
97:12;99:18	44:11	2:13,15;22:2;29:17;	95:8;96:8;97:19;	102:1;107:24;110:24;
November (11)	obtain (2)	30:9,11;31:13;32:16,	100:1,9,18;105:22,24;	111:3
23:3;24:14,14;49:6;	18:10;40:15	22;33:3;36:24;37:4;	109:22;111:6;115:20;	pages (8)
95:21;115:25;117:19;	obvious (1)	42:3;44:12;46:4;48:8;	116:14;123:22	19:1;25:22;26:3;
118:1,8,18,18	83:23	59:22;60:23,23;65:3;	outcome (3)	39:14;108:8,15;
number (14)	obviously (12)	87:12;92:20,23,24;	49:12;115:6;121:3	113:13,14
17:3;23:21;24:6;	40:14;50:10;53:16;	94:6;98:22;120:12;	outright (1) 54:15	paid (13)
41:14;42:7;43:4;	71:12;92:14;94:24;	121:4,18 open (3)		35:5;43:18;56:21;
55:13,22;58:24; 71:20;95:9;108:19;	95:6;105:6;110:2; 118:1;119:18;121:21	35:20;47:12;105:6	outset (3) 33:17;35:18;96:17	60:14,21;62:17,18; 63:24;65:5;68:5,8,10,
113:22;119:9	occupied (1)	opening (1)	outside (2)	14
numbers (1)	117:6	28:16	34:3;50:21	painful (3)
55:13	occur (1)	operations (1)	outstanding (1)	28:23;82:14,15
numerous (4)	72:18	57:7	63:21	painfully (1)
32:17;35:24;36:20;	occurred (2)	operations@escribersnet (1)	over (18)	82:10
59:24	81:24;97:22	3:25	29:1;31:4;32:10,11;	painstaking (2)
NW (1)	o'clock (1)	OPOLSKY (1)	33:14;35:2;36:3;44:4;	36:7;111:1
16:20	123:24	8:7	45:17;46:3,7;48:8;	Pamela (2)
NY (24)	October (8)	opportunity (6)	49:7;50:23;63:3;	114:11,14
3:23;5:6,16;6:5,17;	43:13;76:6,9,11;	35:20;37:13;38:3;	80:12;87:18;119:3	Papas (2)
7:5,13;8:5,13,23;	100:22;116:24;117:3,	48:17;58:9;73:11	overall (1)	74:19,21
9:23;10:5,14,22;11:5,	16	opposition (1)	27:1	paper (2)
22;12:15,23;13:6,14,	Ocwen (1)	73:12	overburdening (1)	39:6;49:19
22;14:5,13;15:5	68:17	opt (5)	122:1	papers (5)
	off (10)	20:21;92:18,25;	overriding (1)	17:8;56:12;81:5;
0	18:24;20:23;21:4;	93:1,3	47:3	122:25;124:10
1. (5)	42:20;43:6;45:14;	ordeal (1)	overruled (11)	paragraph (5)
object (5)	46:7;47:10,19;63:1	46:3	59:9;69:5;70:3;	60:25;66:13,14,24;
38:5;71:12;104:14,	offered (3)	Order (36)	73:2;74:23;114:3,6,	67:19
22,24	33:1;37:23;64:19	2:3,12;3:4;23:16,	14,17;122:25,25	parallel (1)
objecting (1)	Office (4)	22;30:3;42:16;43:7,8,	oversecured (18)	32:7
56:6	5:3,13;16:19;93:25	10,20;44:16;45:8; 56:5:58:13,17,17;	35:22;38:7;83:12,	parent (1)
objection (57)	Official (2)	73:25;76:6;81:14,17;	15;84:4,14;85:20,21,	25:8 Powls (7)
18:14,15;24:18,22; 37:7;57:22;58:7,12,	6:3;29:3 often (2)	88:9;96:4,6,18,20,23;	24;86:17;88:4,7,7,17, 18;89:7,15,19	Park (7) 8:12,21;9:22;10:13;
13,18,24,25;59:11,11,	89:13;92:20	113:7;115:14,14,24;	overstating (1)	11:4;13:4;14:12
12,22;60:22;65:11;	OLSON (1)	116:3,24;119:1,13;	110:13	part (13)
69:5,11,23,24,25;	9:11	121:23	overview (1)	18:16;20:1;38:13;
70:3,4,10,25;71:2,11,	omnibus (3)	ordinary (2)	25:5	67:13;77:7,8;82:14;
16,17,18;72:2,22;	88:21;108:16,18	65:5;67:10	overwhelm (1)	84:1;86:11;104:17;
73:1,16,23;74:5,6,19,	once (4)	original (1)	55:20	107:18;110:9;117:10
24;75:8;81:2;91:3,9,		33:12	overwhelming (1)	partial (1)
	3/:25:38:2:69:8:	33.12		
12:92:2.12.13:93:21	37:25;38:2;69:8; 90:20		0 , ,	
12;92:2,12,13;93:21, 25;106:9;108:1;	90:20	originally (4)	121:22	19:14
12;92:2,12,13;93:21, 25;106:9;108:1; 111:12;114:1,9;			0 , ,	

Case No. 12-12020-mg	T			August 21, 2013
participated (2)	PENSION (2)	107:1	pledge (3)	18:22;32:10;33:3;
95:5,14	16:18;73:21	pile (1)	84:22;85:5,8	110:15;111:20
particular (2)	people (15)	124:10	pledged (1)	potentially (2)
49:7;50:2	20:7,21;44:1;45:11,	Pittsburgh (1)	85:1	68:22;98:15
particularly (1)	19;49:11;92:20;	15:14	plenty (1)	practical (1)
47:13	95:25;96:11;100:19;	place (3)	87:15	68:9
parties (22)	105:22,23;111:21;	60:23;100:13;109:8	plug (1)	pre- (1)
21:17;29:24;32:5;	117:24;119:11	Plaintiff (2)	96:12	94:22
36:18,23,24;37:9;	people's (1)	10:20;19:8	plugged (1)	precedent (1)
43:18;45:3,23;46:24;	114:20	Plaintiffs (15)	115:24	72:7
49:10;78:2;82:24;	per (1)	2:16;11:11,11;	plunged (2)	precision (1)
95:14;99:11,13;	84:21	15:11;17:11;19:12,	29:19;30:1	90:5
100:11;105:7;107:6;	percent (15)	12,14,17,23;46:16;	plus (4)	prejudice (2)
119:17;122:4 parties-in-interest (1)	26:8,9,10;39:12,15, 16;40:3,3;41:5,6;	50:14,24;55:14;74:25 Plan (169)	56:17,22,22;99:7 PM (1)	18:22;19:7 prejudiced (2)
32:17	53:8,8,12,20,20	2:2,5,5,7,9;3:3,5;	124:25	50:24,24
partner (2)	perfected (1)	20:24;21:11;23:3,21,	PNC (5)	Preliminarily (3)
17:12;42:24	62:13	24;24:3,5,8,13,14,15;	15:20;18:14,23;	2:15;20:1;123:21
party (10)	perfectly (1)	25:6,6,13,16;26:2,3,	20:10;123:17	preliminary (15)
36:9,11,11;44:25;	95:13	11,25,25;27:18;28:7,	podium (2)	17:20,22,25;18:1,3,
45:16;58:9;80:2;82:4;	Period (1)	19;29:10,13;30:19,	17:12;28:16	4,6,8,10;20:19;21:13;
111:7;112:15	3:2	22;31:2,7,9,11,17;	point (39)	22:2,11;34:24;76:6
passes (1)	Periods (1)	32:13,20;34:11,22,25;	18:12;30:6;38:12;	pre-packaged (1)
19:16	3:4	35:2,17;36:17,18,24;	40:1;43:24;45:19,20,	30:22
past (3)	PERLSTEIN (1)	37:1,2,8,25;38:5,14;	22;53:10;61:4,15;	prepared (8)
62:21;82:17;122:12	7:7	39:4,10,13,15,18;	62:1,24;65:3;75:19,	24:23;49:12;79:19;
pasted (1)	permissible (2)	40:20;41:6;42:4,12;	19;76:22,23;77:2,3;	94:25;106:23;113:6;
103:20	72:18,24	43:1,2,5,8,23;44:5,13;	79:5,8;83:4;88:10,24;	
patently (1)	permits (1)	45:7;46:10,12,21;	89:3,13;92:23;94:19;	pre-petition (10)
42:1	24:22	47:15,16;49:6,9,13,	97:19;98:7;106:7,16;	32:12;37:13;47:10;
path (3)	permitted (2)	22;50:7,8,9,12,13;	107:21;109:2,10;	50:3;54:16;79:24;
29:21;30:15;32:7	23:5;92:18 Persons (1)	51:2,6,17,22;52:11, 13;53:7,21;54:4,8;	122:3;124:14,19 point-counterpoint (1)	80:7;89:2;94:7;98:12 present (10)
PATTERSON (1) 14:2	2:17	55:23;57:1,13,13,14,	110:9	29:6;59:3,16;70:2;
Paul (2)	persuade (3)	17;61:11;62:25;	pointed (2)	74:21;107:14;112:20;
74:19;114:15	21:1;99:20;107:16	63:12;64:2,10;66:17,	39:13;47:23	114:2,5,16
Pause (4)	persuaded (1)	19,23;71:13;77:1,4,5,	points (5)	presented (1)
52:23;66:1,8;116:7	19:5	13;79:16,24;80:24;	45:5;47:1;56:2;	32:8
pay (7)	persuasive (2)	81:15,18,22,23,24;	108:14;109:11	presenting (1)
37:2;62:9;64:25;	51:6;80:3	82:2,5;84:6,15,16,17;	poised (1)	18:13
65:1;67:21,22;68:19	petition (4)	85:19,23;86:5,11;	29:17	presents (1)
paying (1)	77:6;89:3;94:23;	88:2,2,19;89:1;90:18;	POLSINELLI (1)	19:21
37:12	95:4	94:18,18;96:19,24;	12:11	presiding (1)
payment (9)	Phase (11)	97:2,3,11,15,17;	position (13)	50:23
25:17,18;35:18;	82:23;83:1,4,12,12;	98:16,23;99:13;	37:18;43:2;69:24;	press (3)
53:12;54:15,18;60:6;	85:19,25;117:1,6;	100:10;101:20;	71:19;77:10;84:3,5;	79:20;80:21,22
61:24;65:14	118:6;120:1	102:17,19,19;103:1,5,	88:23;89:1;92:17; 93:5,6;110:12	pressed (1) 81:2
payments (2) 35:2;59:2	Philadelphia (1) 15:23	8,21,25;104:2;105:21,	positions (3)	
PBGC (3)	phone (7)	25;106:3,22;110:2; 111:2,4;124:17	109:22,22;110:4	pretty (4) 41:22;42:22;60:25;
73:17,18,23	49:17,18;59:4;66:4;	plans (1)	possible (6)	93:3
PC (2)	114:2,6;122:15	64:18	22:7;33:19;92:19;	prevents (1)
8:19;12:2	phrase (1)	plan's (5)	115:4,9;124:22	43:18
Peck (2)	64:21	86:7;103:23;104:6;	post- (3)	preview (1)
31:24;32:2	physical (1)	110:3,8	77:5;89:2;95:3	115:5
Peck's (1)	85:1	Plaza (3)	post-petition (11)	previewed (1)
24:12	pick (1)	8:4,12;10:4	35:21;37:14;47:11;	36:25
penciling (2)	52:4	pleasant (1)	57:8;76:25;78:18;	previously (1)
45:4,4	picked (1)	119:5	84:14;89:8;90:18;	64:15
pending (3)	97:9	Please (2)	94:7,21	primarily (2)
33:4;34:2;35:11	PICKERING (1)	17:2;92:8	pot (2)	28:11;59:22
Penina (1)	7:2	pleased (1)	111:18,25	principal (1)
3:20	picking (1)	29:5	potential (5)	89:2
-	1	1	1	

103:4;104:3 principally (1) properly (3) 6:15 19;70:8;78:9;80:11; 34:22:103:15: provisional (1) qualified (1) 81:5:83:7.23:88:11. 28:12 prior (4) 110:18 118:25 97:8 15,21;91:13;96:10; properties (2) qualifier (1) 75:11;118:15,21; provisions (10) 109:1 42:1,14;46:15; reading (4) 119:2 60:18;63:6 82:1 priority (21) property (11) 50:22;52:1;82:4; quickly (1) 64:5;66:16;111:12; 25:17;33:10,22; 59:14;62:13,18; 97:10,13;101:21; 107:11 117:11 38:19;59:23,24;60:1; 63:3,21,21;67:9; 103:21 Quincy (1) real (4) proviso (1) 95:20 62:18;63:21;67:8; 61:19;62:3;63:8,19, 68:13,16,17;84:20 24:64:9,13:65:10: proponents (13) 91:13 OUINN (1) 68:13 66:10,14,22,25;67:14; 23:22;28:8;43:1; prudent (1) 11:18 realigned (1) 68:24 44:13;80:24;81:18, 36:20 quite (5) 31:23 41:22;79:15;89:13, private (5) 24;82:2;84:7,16,18; Prudential (1) reality (1) 26:18;28:4;31:4; 96:24;109:6 112:22 11:19 15;111:5 realized (2) 46:13;112:23 Proponents' (2) **PSA** (17) quotation (1) 2:2.5 42:11,13,14,15; pro (1) 20:20 28:10;31:13 19:16 proportionate' (3) 43:19;44:16;49:11; quote (3) really (20) 19:11,12;72:14 25:1;28:12;29:14, probability (1) 19:15,19,22 80:16;86:12;88:24; 15;37:3,4;42:22; 110:16 proposal (1) 89:1;96:1;102:24; quotes (1) 103:1,2,2;105:23 probable (1) 123:17 19:4 59:19;60:4;67:14; 108:24 propose (2) public (1) OUSBA (1) 69:25;81:21;87:20; 72:24;111:13 95:5;101:5;103:12; probably (11) 95:8 12:25 18:17,25;24:23; proposed (20) pull (2) 110:25;117:19;118:7; 52:4;75:10;82:6,10; 19:14,22;30:25; 77:20;86:5 R 119:23 100:22;112:16; 31:1,3,8;34:25;37:5, pulling (1) reason (14) 117:23;121:1 21;40:11;46:21;67:7; 39:8;53:13,19; 96:11 raise (6) problem (5) 78:13;83:8;87:7,22, purporting (1) 29:9;42:8;47:7; 54:11;55:25;82:17; 18:25;67:5;84:11; 23;88:2;91:1;117:5 53:1 100:4,6;116:1 87:19,21;88:3,5,9,18, 103:14;122:16 proposing (2) purpose (1) raised (24) 19:94:8 problems (4) 68:13;123:16 52:25 36:23:39:9:44:7; reasonable (4) 18:21:19:3:45:25: prosecute (1) Purposes (8) 46:6.8:49:11:52:11: 44:1;45:24;56:1; 68:22 37:16 2:13.14:22:11:25:7: 57:3 54:23,23;68:12; Procedures (4) prosecuting (1) 73:2;87:11;110:17; 74:13,15;88:23,25; reasonableness (1) 2:4.7:23:23:102:16 92:19 117:25 89:3;90:15;94:3,4; 97:1 proceed (4) Pursuant (1) prosecution (1) 99:6;102:7;106:21; reasonably (5) 21:11,17;121:3,7 2:11 113:5;114:25;123:5 68:9;81:18,20; 35:11 PROSKAUER (1) 96:24:97:3 proceeding (2) pursue (3) raising (3) 35:12;38:15;40:15 80:1;99:5;106:2 53:24:72:17 13:11 reasoning (1) pursued (1) 19:5 proceedings (1) protection (3) range (2) 37:20;83:20;89:20 124:25 33:19 53:15:103:15 reasons (1) proceeds (1) provide (9) pursuing (1) 20:6 rate (5) 31:19 20:12,15;25:5;27:2; 31:17 60:14;63:2,6;65:7; recall (1) process (6) 50:13;59:2;67:13; pushed (3) 78:24 79:7 24:10;29:13;54:12; 96:25;116:9 120:16;121:13,16 rates (2) recalls (1) 56:4;57:9;100:10 provided (13) put (25) 63:5,5 30:20 product (1) 31:12;35:17;43:6; 18:24;20:23;21:4; rather (7) receive (5) 35:2;40:3;57:25; 28:5;35:4,20;75:11; 24:8 59:13;60:6;78:12; 22:13,22;30:19;41:4; Professional (2) 79:20;80:21;89:1,19; 42:2,20;46:2;47:19; 68:21;84:10;113:13; 109:9 16:4;64:24 102:19;103:16;108:7 49:18,18;80:4;87:6; 117:25 received (1) professionals (2) provides (16) 90:25;96:4;98:20; **RAY (2)** 24:20 23:11:94:22 20:2;25:16;35:1,2, 100:13;102:18; 7:15;102:11 recently (3) proffered (1) 7,19;39:4,16;42:15; 105:21;113:4;115:14; re (3) 29:24;34:14;35:5 50:13;57:6,6;88:2; 117:17;123:15 19:3;95:20,22 64:17 recognize (8) 90:19;97:4;98:3 Putative (2) 45:4;49:2;53:9,16; projected (3) reach (4) 26:4;27:13;33:14 providing (2) 15:11;17:21 38:4;44:2;83:15; 80:6;94:23;119:18; 37:13;76:23 puts (2) 115:21 121:5 projects (1) 81:11;103:11 recognized (2) 39:13 provision (26) reached (2) prolong (2) 20:18;42:9;44:16; putting (3) 17:20;32:19 34:18:37:8 70:23;115:12 45:9;46:11,12;47:8, 41:10;44:3,21 reaction (2) recognizing (1) promptly (1) 22;50:9,12,17;51:2,6; 21:6:87:10 50:12 100:7 79:8;81:22;96:18; Q read (21) reconvene (1) proof (3) 97:1,9,15;98:2,12; 39:6,6;44:15;45:13, 20:11 99:21;102:17,25; Quadrangle (1) 15;52:19;56:12;62:9, record (12) 38:21,21,23

Case No. 12-12020-mg

Case No. 12-12020-mg August 21, 2013

ease 110: 12 12020 mg				1148451 21, 2010
17:5;48:5;71:8;	101:7,14,21;102:12;	28:21;40:18;61:23;	26:23;27:11,17;	71:14,22;72:3,16;
78:5;81:10;88:14,16;	103:3,21;107:23,23;	100:23	37:17;50:2;58:21;	73:18;74:2,7;75:23;
91:5;95:1;98:15,17;	109:4,9;111:8,15;	requisite (1)	60:6;64:17;67:8;70:9;	76:8;79:15;80:10;
124:4	112:13,15	55:22	74:14;75:22;76:22;	81:6;91:25;92:4;93:9,
recount (1)	released (2)	rereading (1)	78:8,16,17;79:17,19;	15;97:12;100:6;
32:22	36:4;112:6	111:12	80:6,24;81:1,11,12,	101:2;103:22;110:7;
recoveries (7)	releases (14)	ResCap (5)	13;82:9,25;83:1,3,5;	113:3,16;114:21;
26:4,4,5;33:14;	33:2;40:25;44:4;	25:7;26:7;35:13;	84:4;87:18;94:9;	117:18;119:7,21;
36:12;53:14;57:13	50:13,15;82:4,4;	38:18,24	106:21;107:20;	121:4,8,14;122:6
recovering (1)	102:19;103:9,11,15,	reservation (1)	108:16;109:23;110:5;	rights (12)
53:11	24;105:25;111:5	58:14	123:25	24:21;36:12;45:16;
recovery (5)	relevant (2)	reservations (2)	respective (2)	58:14;63:12;71:12;
27:3,13,19;31:12;	29:9;46:12	24:21;114:19	27:2,4	73:3;91:9;92:13;
40:15	Relief (4)	Reserve (5)	respond (3)	107:6;114:19,20
re-drafted (1)	2:9,20,22;24:1	35:5;71:12;72:15;	18:16;58:10;61:2	rise (2)
94:6	rely (1)	73:3;93:12	responding (1)	44:5;45:16
reduction (13)	100:12	reserved (5)	116:23	risk (4)
18:20;20:3;46:11,	remain (3)	50:23;92:13;93:7;	response (4)	19:15,16;105:15,16
11,15;50:9,12,17,22;	24:25;59:14;63:23	114:20;118:18	20:4,5,9;114:25	RMBS (11)
51:2,7,25;123:14	remaining (7)	reserves (1)	responses (2)	8:11;9:3;31:1;33:9,
reductions (1)	25:2;26:13;28:11;	39:18	41:23;116:20	10,12,15,15,24;34:4;
51:22	35:20;38:6,9;124:20	reserving (2)	responsible (1)	92:15
refer (3)	remains (1)	18:14;91:9	49:14	road (3)
25:13;65:8;81:7	83:4	reset (1)	responsive (1)	42:2;94:15;124:20
reference (1)	remarks (2)	21:10	102:7	robust (2)
65:14	28:16;106:18	reside (1)	rest (1)	35:7;108:10
references (2)	remember (3)	33:3	107:2	rolled (1)
25:21,23	78:1;108:19;116:17	Residential (4)	restricted (1)	25:14
referred (1)	repaid (1)	14:19;17:3;25:11,	43:9	ROMERO (17)
61:22	111:22	12	result (2)	16:2,7;59:17,18,18,
reflect (3)	repeat (2)	resolution (17)	42:3;98:18	21;64:12;65:19,23,
38:3;52:25;54:19	119:5,6	19:18;29:21,25;	retain (1)	25;66:2,6;69:7,12,15,
reflecting (1)	reply (7)	30:2,8,14;31:3;32:10;	40:14	17,21
38:17	60:18;88:15,17,20,	33:18;34:21;35:7;	retaining (1)	RONALD (2)
reflects (1)	21;108:16,18	36:8,20;37:21;58:22;	60:13	6:20;15:16
36:20	report (5)	78:8;96:7	return (1)	ROPES (1)
reformat (1)	74:5;95:8;108:12;	resolutions (3)	81:7	9:2
65:9	110:10,14	34:8,16;78:4	reversed (1)	ROSE (1)
regard (3)	represent (2)	resolve (18)	20:16	13:11
59:25;93:12,13	99:7,8	24:24;25:3;31:2,24;	review (3)	Roseland (1)
regarding (4)	representation (2)	33:2;34:6,10;35:19;	23:12;80:9;115:24	11:13
36:24;59:13;92:14;	84:16,17	43:14;58:25;59:12;	reviewed (2)	Rosenbaum (27)
94:21	representations (1)	60:8;71:18;76:22;	59:5;81:3	17:12,14,15,16,16,
reinstate (1)	99:16 Representative (1)	114:12;119:2;120:18; 124:20	revised (2) 53:14;108:9	23;18:2,4,7,10,13;
61:25 Reject (8)	2:14	resolved (26)	revision (1)	20:5,8;21:7,18,20,23;
2:5;23:24;57:17;	representatives (1)	21:3;28:24,24,25,	60:24	54:22;122:7,14; 123:1,3,3,9,12,23;
72:16;73:10;83:14;	17:19	25;29:7;58:19;70:6,	revisit (1)	123.1,3,3,9,12,23,
84:3;97:15	represented (1)	10,13,15;71:3,12;	105:4	ROSS (1)
rejected (1)	34:5	73:17,23;74:6,25;	RFC (11)	9:7
84:6	representing (2)	75:17,23,74.0,23,	25:11;26:9;27:1,3;	Rothstein (1)
Related (9)	32:24;59:18	92:3;93:21;94:1,2;	39:12,14,15;40:12;	10:20
2:9,20,22;24:1;	request (1)	114:8	41:13;53:14;54:8	rug (1)
79:18;80:20;101:21;	37:15	resolves (10)	riders (3)	98:2
102:3,13	requests (1)	33:8,10,12,13,21;	78:13,14;81:1	Rule (11)
relating (1)	95:2	34:1,11;35:1,9,24	right (60)	17:24;19:2,15,16;
78:17	require (2)	resolving (1)	18:12;27:23;30:15;	42:20;58:3;69:8;73:8;
relative (1)	78:5;117:4	33:24	39:18;40:1;41:9;	87:2,3;105:13
80:16	required (8)	Resources (2)	46:21;47:3,7,9;48:18;	ruled (5)
release (26)	25:16;34:17;36:7;	114:11,13	49:17,20;51:9,13,15,	46:4;48:2;63:2;
37:5;38:14;39:21;	60:15;62:9;63:7;97:5;	RESPA (2)	15;54:25;56:10,18,	69:8;122:24
40:8,24;41:3,7,11;	98:5	11:11;74:25	25;57:10;58:22;59:5;	rules (2)
50:2;94:12;97:9,13;	requirements (4)	respect (38)	61:21;69:2;70:1,8,9;	53:1;76:24
	• ` ′	• , /		

	106 10 117 10 16		10.20.5.10.40.6.12	
ruling (2)	106:19;117:10,16;	seemed (1)	19;38:5,12;40:6,13,	SILVERMANACAMPORA (1)
44:2;106:4	118:11,12;119:6,13,	83:11	13,16;41:13;46:9,14;	6:13
run (1)	20;120:11;122:2	seems (4)	54:15;55:2;56:12;	similar (1)
106:8	scheduled (2)	41:10;101:8;	57:10;77:11;78:20;	119:18
rustling (1)	43:12;73:10	102:25;112:1	83:13,15,18;84:3;	Similarly (2)
49:19	Scheduling (3)	send (4)	86:9,14,16;89:6;	2:17;16:11
\mathbf{S}	2:6,19;23:25 Scheindlin (1)	21:1;69:19;113:12,	92:15,16;93:1,3;	simply (4)
<u> </u>	` '	13	101:21;108:13;	38:5;62:10,21;95:3
rales (1)	20:16	senior (3) 31:8;35:9,10	109:25;110:17;111:2,	SIMPSON (1) 12:20
sake (1) 46:24	SCHINDLER-WILLIAMS (1) 15:25		3,4;119:24,25;123:13, 22	
		sense (2) 92:16;105:12		sitting (4)
sakes (1)	SCHROCK (7)		settlements (5) 46:13,14;54:5,6,6	48:23;49:4;57:10; 120:24
98:1	7:15;102:8,10,11,	sensitive (3)		
sale (1)	20,22;103:17	48:11;62:24;63:7	settling (2) 19:17;94:10	Situated (2)
31:20	scope (1)	sentence (1) 63:18	*	2:17;16:11
sales (2)	47:8		seven (3)	six (3)
31:18;57:8	SCOTT (1)	separate (7)	24:20,21;56:16	24:20;40:24;118:19
same (6)	11:24	27:20;35:1;39:10;	several (3)	SKEENS (1)
45:9;46:1;50:19;	se (1)	40:1;49:23;53:24;	89:11;96:8;116:18	12:7
67:22;82:25;98:21	84:21	62:23	SEWARD (1)	slow (1)
San (4)	seated (1)	separately (2)	8:10	123:23
16:3;59:11,15,18	17:2	79:18;83:2	shall (7)	small (1)
SANDLER (2)	Second (15)	September (3)	63:23;67:9;68:14;	116:4
11:10;75:7	16:12;18:18;19:2;	23:15;72:10;121:16	81:18,23;96:23;	smaller (1)
SANDY (1)	20:15;21:2,14;32:4;	series (1)	103:24	39:22
12:25	48:2;50:11;65:21;	23:10	share (2)	SMITH (2)
Santa (1)	70:7;72:6;95:4,18;	serious (2)	35:4;76:15	8:19;71:9
113:9	115:17	36:25;48:6	SHELLEY (1)	so-called (1)
SARAH (1)	second-guessed (1)	serve (1)	11:24	120:1
15:25	95:6	95:11	Shore (2)	sold (2)
sat (2)	secondly (1)	SERVICES (1)	29:23;84:21	60:19,21
49:16,21	94:9	16:10	short (2)	Solicit (1)
satisfaction (2)	Section (17)	session (1)	17:7;120:2	3:5
22:10;77:14	17:23;25:16;59:22,	61:20	shortcoming (1)	Solicitation (5)
satisfactory (10)	23;60:10;61:23;	sessions (1)	19:24	2:4;23:4,23;42:3;
42:16;43:21;44:17;	63:15;64:1,7;65:12,	32:17	shortly (3)	102:16
45:10,12;70:9;71:14;	13;67:9;107:21,21;	set (16)	24:10,17;27:10	solution (3)
74:3,16;92:4	111:3,3,5	21:16;49:12;50:19;	show (1)	123:5,11,12
satisfied (5)	Secured (39)	53:1;56:4;72:9;76:4;	87:16	solving (1)
36:10;39:3;54:21;	10:3;25:18;35:14;	77:25;93:12;103:1;	showing (1)	124:8
58:22;100:23	60:3,4,9,23;61:1,7,12,	111:23;117:3,4;	75:2	somebody (5)
satisfies (5)	12,13;62:4,10,13,21;	119:10;123:22,24	SHUGHART (1)	47:20;49:7;95:23;
40:19;53:12;54:16;	63:9,16;64:4,6,8,22;	setoff (3)	12:11	104:5;110:5
56:23;67:15	65:12,12,13;67:7,8,	19:13,18,20	side (1)	somebody's (1)
satisfy (4)	15,16,17,21,21,24;	sets (3)	94:17	39:19
22:20;53:17;54:12;	68:2,4,11;76:21;80:8,	25:6;26:3;61:24	Sidney (1)	somehow (1)
111:25	8	setting (2)	69:24	27:18
satisfying (1)	Securities (13)	111:17;118:15	sight (1)	someone (1)
113:5	11:11;26:18;28:4;	settle (3)	30:16	95:10
save (1)	31:4,5,6;34:11,12,15;	54:12,17;89:14	sign (2)	sometimes (1)
85:10	46:13,14;111:17;	settled (2)	41:6,7	54:14
(1)	110.00	06.16.110.7		

saying (11)

saw (9)

19:5;41:2,4;42:5; 47:24;85:22;86:6;

44:15;54:1;56:13;

95:25;98:18,19; 111:10

scenarios (2) 89:19;90:11 schedule (10)

37:2 seem (1) 80:2

seeks (1)

112:23

seeking (7)

23:22;38:17;42:21;

78:22,23;79:5;116:25

46:13,14;111:17; security (4) 84:24,25;85:2,4 41:3;111:13;114:24

Settlement (91) 2:13,15,15,20,21; 17:10,19,20;19:10,14, 15,22;20:1,2,17,22, 25;21:4,11,13;22:3, 18;24:15,17;28:2,13, 18;29:15;30:24;31:1; 32:14,20,22,23;33:8, 9,12,12,20,21;34:7, 16,25;35:9,15,15,24; 36:7,10,16,19;37:10,

86:16;118:7

41:6,7 signatories (1) 36:17 signed (5) 79:24;80:7;103:1,2; 105:23 significance (2) 75:13;112:13 significant (6)

29:9,13;31:14,18;

43:15;45:7

39:21;96:4

signing (2)

54:14 somewhat (2) 80:1;112:14 somewhere (2) 40:8,24 **soon** (1) 68:9 **Sorry (17)** 18:2;43:19;51:17;

64:5;65:23,25;66:6,

13;70:5;74:12;75:4;

77:21;79:13;81:13;

86:6;94:17;113:20

Case No. 12-12020-ing				August 21, 2013
sort (6)	start (3)	74:16;90:25	suddenly (1)	synch (1)
57:23;58:2;60:10;	100:3;117:2,21	STRAUSS (1)	89:8	46:17
83:24;98:1;99:12	started (1)	10:11	sued (1)	system (1)
sought (1)	55:15	Street (8)	96:2	34:9
77:4	starting (1)	3:22;5:4,14;9:4;	suffering (1)	3
South (1)	118:7	15:12,21;16:12,20	56:23	T
9:13	starts (1)	strikes (1)	sufficient (1)	
SPAHR (1)	19:5	42:17	103:9	Tabulation (2)
15:19	state (5)	STROHBEHN (1)	suggest (4)	2:4;23:24
speak (5)	33:4;50:25;53:22;	12:2	51:10;58:4;86:5;	tackle (1)
24:10;78:16;92:7;	62:22;122:23	stronger (1)	112:12	48:21
122:12,13	stated (2)	106:5	suggested (1)	talk (11)
speaking (1)	29:5;60:10	struck (1)	62:9	25:19;27:8;28:14;
48:16	Statement (75)	88:4	suggesting (1)	41:21;42:19,24;66:4;
Special (2)	2:3;3:3;23:23;24:3,	structure (7)	121:21	78:3;85:14;92:20;
6:14;7:3	13,19;25:2,20,22,24;	29:10;31:11;38:10;	suggestion (1)	111:16
specific (19)	26:5;27:10;28:22;	46:22,25;100:13;	37:25	talked (4)
30:17;51:5,14;60:5;	29:7;30:19;37:24;	112:15	suing (1)	39:9;46:18;49:21;
63:6;64:7,12,23;65:7,	38:4;39:7,11;41:2,21,	structured (3)	50:14	109:3
11,15;79:8;88:15;	23;42:25;51:24;52:5,	44:23;45:8;100:12	Suite (7)	talking (4)
101:18;111:18,21;	14,18;53:22;55:8;	struggled (1)	3:22;5:5;6:16;	66:7;94:22;105:10,
112:6;113:2;116:12	56:5;57:2,5;59:1,6,8;	85:17	12:14;13:5;14:21;	15
specifically (7)	60:24;61:14;62:25;	struggling (1)	15:13	talks (2)
18:21;72:14,15;	63:7,16,18;64:2;	82:10	SULLIVAN (1)	111:3,14
97:20;101:14;113:14;	66:18;69:25;71:11;	stuck (1)	11:18	tall (1)
117:3	73:2,24;74:6,17,20;	110:4	Sunday (1)	121:23
specificity (1)	77:3;79:4,9;82:24;	sub-classes (1)	95:7	tanto' (1)
59:13	83:8;85:15;87:11,15;	25:12	supplement (1)	19:16
specify (1)	88:12;90:25;91:6,12;	sub-debtors (1)	26:25	tax (44)
20:18	96:5;100:17,21;	25:9	support (22)	57:13;59:14,14;
specifying (1)	107:22;108:9;109:19;	subject (8)	21:12;24:5,8;31:7;	60:5,6,12,13;61:20;
68:1	110:10,25;111:6;	20:3;37:4;50:25;	32:13,15;33:15;	62:3,4,10,11;63:4,4,8,
spent (1) 117:10	112:4;114:24;117:14; 120:11	68:14,17;113:5; 114:24;120:12	35:15;36:17,18;37:9; 42:12;43:20,22;	9,16,19,20,24;64:6,8,
spoke (1)	statements (2)	submit (2)	49:13;55:23;57:17;	10,11,13,16,20,22,23; 65:2,10,12,20;66:10,
57:11	81:9;88:13	21:1;112:9	79:24;93:1;95:1;	14,22,25;67:9,14,15,
Square (2)	STATES (4)	submitted (2)	97:11;99:13	18,21;68:2,24
13:13;47:22	5:2,3,12;103:7	23:16;81:2	supporting (8)	taxes (6)
squarely (1)	status (1)	subordinate (1)	42:11,17,21;43:21;	62:14,16,18,21;
97:10	92:12	53:23	44:9,17,21;112:2	63:21;65:2
St (1)	statute (3)	subordinated (1)	supportive (2)	taxing (9)
14:22	61:23;62:12;63:4	39:19	30:24;31:1	59:11,15;62:20;
stacked (1)	statutes (2)	subordination (3)	supposed (1)	63:13;67:15,19;68:1,
121:17	62:11,22	33:25;53:7,8	116:22	12;69:1
staff (1)	stay (1)	subrogation (1)	Supreme (1)	telephone (7)
49:5	35:10	36:2	72:6	59:4,16,17;70:2;
stage (2)	STEEN (1)	subs (1)	sure (18)	74:22;114:14,17
21:6;30:14	8:2	25:8	48:16,25;51:4,20;	TELEPHONICALLY (4)
stake (1)	Steering (1)	subsequent (1)	52:20;53:17;55:13;	9:17;15:25;16:7,15
45:7	9:3	96:6	61:3;67:24;75:14;	telling (3)
stand (3)	STEPHEN (2)	subsequently (1)	79:17;80:18;94:11;	41:5;43:3;120:7
29:11;41:8;100:19	6:10;118:13	18:8	105:19;106:7,8;	tells (1)
standard (4)	stepped (1)	subsidiaries (2)	107:13;116:10	69:19
40:20;57:3;96:25;	54:22	33:7;34:13	surprised (1)	temporary (5)
97:6	steps (4)	substance (6)	120:15	116:21,25;117:8,
standards (2)	92:18,25;103:14;	42:16;43:21;44:17;	SUSAN (1)	22;118:23
41:21,22	124:13	45:10,12;88:6	5:8	ten (4)
standing (1)	still (8)	substantial (3)	suspect (1)	24:24,25;75:11;
99:14	66:3;70:13;75:2;	34:19;36:12,14	81:14	95:6
standpoint (2)	84:6,15,17;88:19; 105:6	substantially (1) 35:16	sweep (1) 98:2	tens (1)
91:4;112:9 stands (2)	stop (5)	substantive (1)	98:2 switch (1)	29:20 term (1)
56:6;90:15	42:2;65:21,24;	36:1	45:25	term (1) 25:18
JU.U,7U.1J	74.4,03.41,44,	50.1	73.43	23.10

RESIDENTIAL CAPITA Case No. 12-12020-mg	AL, LLC, et al., P	g 145 of 147		August 21, 2013
terminate (2)	timed (1)	3:20	95:8	25:12
42:22;44:9	119:9	transcript (1)	tweaks (1)	underst (1)
terminated (1)	timeline (4)	89:4	113:7	86:9
32:12	116:10,13,13,19	transfer (1)	TWEED (3)	understands (2)
terms (6)	timely (1)	62:10	10:2;77:19,21	106:3;107:13
20:12;29:12;42:12;	65:6	travels (1)	twelve (1)	understand's (1)
72:23;96:20;123:22	Times (6)	105:5	27:14	92:3
terrific (1) 115:2	13:13;32:25;89:11, 24,25;109:1	Treasurer (2) 58:19,21	twenty (2) 24:20;25:9	Understood (5) 22:21;57:24;85:7;
test (4)	timetables (1)	treatment (22)	twenty-six (1)	86:11;96:17
39:3;40:22;53:12,	119:21	33:13;35:16;36:3,	25:11	unfair (1)
18	tinker (1)	24;37:1,3;38:10;40:1,	two (21)	56:23
THACHER (1)	105:20	11;53:7,17;56:21;	18:21;19:3;25:8;	unfavorable (1)
12:20	tireless (1)	59:14;60:5,5;64:16;	31:4,18;35:6;36:24;	42:21
Thanksgiving (4)	32:2	67:23,23;68:1,23;	40:2;41:6,14;44:12;	unfettered (2)
118:2,4,20,21	tirelessly (1)	80:16;89:2	53:8,12,13,20;87:13;	29:18;92:21
thereafter (2)	124:18	trial (16)	89:19;94:5;100:18;	unimpaired (1)
24:19;68:10	today (25)	33:11;43:12,13,15;	118:8;122:22	63:23
Thereof (1)	28:20;29:11,14;	82:23,24;83:4;85:19;	TYLER (1)	Union (1)
3:5	41:19;42:19;43:4;	117:1,4,6,12;118:6,	14:2	70:6
thereto (1) 82:5	45:19;48:5;49:8;56:7; 81:10;87:3;88:14;	19;119:10,22 trials (1)	type (1) 65:14	unique (1) 37:3
thinking (1)	89:12;99:14;103:6;	119:10	types (1)	UNITED (3)
86:19	107:9;113:6;115:9;	tried (10)	33:6	5:2,3,12
Third (6)	117:10,14;120:10,11,	46:24;50:20;51:1;	33.0	units (3)
10:21;12:13;28:3;	17;124:11	61:24;76:23;77:2,13;	U	26:14,15;28:6
32:6;105:7;111:7	today's (1)	90:3;109:21;120:18		universe (2)
third- (2)	17:6	tries (1)	Ultimately (7)	99:12;105:10
82:3;112:14	Todd (1)	121:5	31:16;32:12;43:6;	unless (6)
third-party (15)	22:25	true (1)	87:1;88:3;90:17;	42:9;43:14;56:5;
31:25;32:10;33:2;	together (1)	113:1	106:22	64:8;83:11;100:17
37:5;38:14;40:25;	27:19	true-up (3)	ultimatums (1)	Unlike (1) 33:11
44:4;50:2,13,15; 94:12;101:6;103:8;	told (8) 43:19;44:8;47:14;	26:23;56:17,22 Trust (36)	98:20 UMB (6)	unpaid (1)
111:15;112:13	60:10;64:9;89:10;	8:3;9:21;26:12,14,	10:12;85:15;93:21,	63:21
thirteen (1)	94:17;98:22	16,21,24;27:24,24;	22;119:8;123:25	unprecedented (3)
31:5	TOLLES (1)	28:3,4,4,5,6;35:1,12;	Um-hum (1)	29:16;31:20;34:5
THOMAS (2)	9:11	54:10,19,20,25;55:6;	84:9	unquestionable (1)
9:17;10:24	tomorrow (10)	56:21;59:2;60:19;	unable (4)	34:7
though (4)	87:16;115:8,11,16,	62:10,19;63:22;	58:25;59:12;71:18;	unrelated (2)
68:19;81:2;88:6;	18,18,22;119:8;	75:12;78:9;92:17,17,	122:16	101:7,15
119:19	123:25;124:7	23;93:2,15;111:18,18	unacceptable (2)	unresolved (1)
thought (15)	took (1)	Trustee (5)	30:3;94:18	75:2
39:6,25;41:5;44:15; 55:17;68:21,23;79:6,	43:1 Top (3)	5:3;8:11;9:21; 43:17;94:3	uncertain (1) 36:21	unsecured (8) 26:5;27:3,12;31:8,
25;80:12;88:23;	52:21,22;66:16	trustees (2)	uncertainty (1)	12;35:9,10;39:15
104:18;111:11;	TORRES (1)	33:15,24	34:10	unspecific (1)
113:18;118:17	13:19	Trustee's (1)	unconfirmable (3)	60:5
threat (1)	total (3)	93:25	42:1;43:5;98:16	up (37)
35:25	24:20;55:5;56:14	trusts (3)	uncontested (2)	25:6,14,25;26:15,
three (14)	totally (1)	26:11;28:7;113:2	17:7;18:13	22;36:5;41:14;42:10,
25:6,14;27:18;	55:20	Trust's (1)	undefined (1)	24;49:4;52:4;54:10,
32:25;39:9,12,16;	touched (2)	35:11	21:14	11;55:11;71:7;75:20;
40:3;41:5;53:8,13,19;	28:20;79:16	try (9)	under (27)	76:25;77:1,12;79:24;
64:25;87:13 throughout (1)	tough (1) 100:2	28:22;49:5;50:5; 54:17;73:12;108:6;	17:23;19:15,16; 24:12;25:9,16;35:5;	82:1;86:17;87:16; 88:10;89:9;92:8,21;
34:9	toward (1)	115:3;120:18;121:24	37:1;38:14;50:6;54:7;	94:20;97:9;99:25;
tie (1)	29:21	trying (6)	56:4;57:13,14;60:3,	101:4,9;104:5;
107:5	track (1)	53:15;54:12;87:4;	15;62:22;63:13;	106:22;111:17;
tied (1)	119:20	102:24;123:22;124:9	64:10,14,16;68:16;	121:17;122:3
42:18	Trade (1)	turn (3)	78:25;81:23;90:25;	update (1)
til (1)	7:4	24:8;29:1;96:1	95:12;98:2	77:25
95:7	Transcribed (1)	turned (1)	underneath (1)	upon (8)

Case 140. 12-12020-111g	-			August 21, 2013
26:6;29:18;35:16;	44:25	weak (1)	withdrawn (4)	
38:3;62:10;88:20;	VI (1)	108:23	54:21;91:20;92:12,	Z
100:12;106:4	2:9	WEBB (1)	12	L
upset (1)	VICENTE (2)	14:2	within (10)	(4)
85:18	16:23;73:20	week (4)	25:3,13,22;27:1,1;	zero (4)
urged (1)	view (3)	21:8;87:13;115:20;	28:1;53:15;63:9;	83:14,19;86:10,16
33:18	46:22;93:14;99:19	117:13	99:12;119:21	ZIDE (6)
URQUHART (1)	views (1)	weekend (1)	without (12)	6:10;118:13,13,17,
11:18	30:7	118:21	33:11;38:12,12;	21,24
USC (1)	voice (1)	weeks (1)	41:13;81:24;82:5;	0
2:11	80:3	122:5	88:1;95:7,15;98:15;	U
use (1)	voluntary (1)	weigh (2)	121:19;123:20	00100 (1)
50:4	104:16	107:12,12	witnesses (1)	02199 (1)
used (4)	vote (11)	WEISSER (6)	119:11	9:5
19:22;46:19;80:23;	55:18,23;56:4;	14:15;92:5,7,9,10;	WL (1)	07068 (1)
91:14	103:8,25;104:4,6,7,	93:8	95:21	11:13
useful (3)	16,19;105:24	WENDY (4)	Wolicki (1)	1
22:8,14;30:18	Votes (7)	16:15;58:24;59:6;	3:20	1
usually (1)	2:4;23:24;55:18,22;	122:8	Word (1)	
64:18	102:18;103:5;106:3	weren't (2)	105:5	1 (5)
UZZI (48)	voting (9)	62:21;99:25	words (3)	2:12;17:9;65:4;
10:7;77:15,18,18,	42:3;75:11;116:21,	West (1)	88:4;101:8;102:13	117:1,6
21,21;78:8,12,23,25;	25;117:8,22,25;	3:22	work (2)	1:30 (2)
79:14,15;80:5,10,14;		whatnot (1)	` '	124:1,7
	118:23,23	108:23	62:11;73:12	10 (4)
81:6;82:8,9,13,15;	W		worked (2)	81:15;96:20;97:2;
84:1,10,24;85:9,11,	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	What's (7)	95:25;124:18	109:18
13,17;86:4,18,20,22;	:4 (2)	21:19;28:23;41:1,	working (1)	10.3 (3)
87:2,8,12,18;88:1,8;	wait (2)	12;55:5;117:20,22	49:5	26:9;39:15;41:8
90:21,23;91:3,10,13,	37:7;66:4	Whereupon (1)	World (2)	100 (4)
16,19,21,22;120:20,	waiting (1)	124:25	7:4;87:12	6:15;12:4;28:1;
22	73:9	Whittier (1)	worth (6)	50:7
Uzzi's (1)	walk (2)	16:5	48:14;77:24;80:15;	10004 (1)
29:23	41:16;46:25	whole (7)	110:11,15;111:24	8:13
${f V}$	walked (1)	43:15;44:6;45:11;	writing (1)	10005 (1)
	110:3	47:23;65:11;89:9;	52:8	10:5
(4)	walking (1)	113:13	written (1)	10006 (1)
vacation (1)	30:17	wholesale (1)	106:24	8:5
117:11	WALPER (2)	45:4	wrong (2)	10007 (2)
valid (1)	9:17;120:24	who's (2)	44:14;95:12	5:16;7:5
63:20	Walrath (2)	50:22;86:23	X 7	10010 (1)
value (22)	95:19;97:22	who've (1)	Y	11:22
27:13;28:8,9;41:2,	WALTER (1)	105:23	(4)	10014 (1)
4,10;62:13,14;77:6,9;	9:25	wide (2)	year (4)	5:6
83:21;84:7,13;85:22;	WALTERS (2)	29:16;33:5	24:11;62:12,14;	10017 (1)
86:1,1,2,15;109:3,4,9;	12:2,8	willful (2)	65:4	12:23
110:17	WaMu (1)	98:4,11	years (6)	10019 (1)
valuing (5)	97:20	WILLIAM (1)	29:19;31:13;33:5;	13:22
40:8,23;83:14,18;	wants (3)	7:7	34:10;36:5,15	10020 (1)
86:10	49:4;57:22;115:24	WILMER (1)	yesterday (6)	15:5
Varick (1)	warned (2)	7:2	60:2;67:8;104:3,8,	10022 (3)
5:4	29:24;30:2	Wilmington (4)	10,23	7:13;10:22;12:15
variety (1)	Washington (2)	8:3;9:21;35:11,12	York (23)	10036 (5)
46:12	16:21;95:19	wind (1)	3:23;5:6,16;6:5;7:5,	6:5;8:23;10:14;
various (4)	way (24)	86:17	13;8:5,13,23;9:23;	13:14;14:5
26:11;34:2;108:14;	22:10;27:18;42:20;	wish (6)	10:5,14,22;11:5,22;	10040 (1)
111:18	44:7;46:5,25;48:3;	18:24;21:17;23:6;	12:15,23;13:6,14,22;	3:23
vary (1)	49:3;62:9,11;64:25;	74:7;113:19,21	14:5,13;15:5	1006 (1)
26:6	67:22;78:16;80:4;	Wishful (1)	Yup (1)	5:5
VAUGHAN (1)	87:6;94:15,17;96:4,	86:19	92:6	101 (1)
12:2	21;97:15;102:4,13;	withdrawal (1)	Yvonne (1)	11:4
version (2)	113:5;124:15	91:14	69:24	10154 (1)
26:2;49:22	ways (3)	withdrawing (3)		9:23
veto (1)	65:1;95:6;112:1	91:6,8,11		10166 (1)
				(-)

Case No. 12-12020-mg	, , , , , ₁	9 147 01 147		August 21, 2013
14.12	164h (2)	22.15	425 (1)	
14:13	16th (2)	23:15	425 (1)	_
10169 (1)	95:22;121:16	25 (1)	12:22	7
13:6	1700 (1)	110:24	4388 (2)	
10178 (1)	13:5	253 (1)	58:24;59:7	7 (2)
11:5	1735 (1)	18:19	44114 (1)	7:4;40:6
105 (2)	15:21	26 (2)	7:21	7.8 (4)
2:11;17:23	18 (1)	26:8;111:3	442 (1)	26:9;39:14,15;41:8
10Ac (1)	60:25	26th (2)	95:20	700 (1)
81:17	19103 (1)	24:5;118:18	443 (1)	3:22
11 (13)	15:23	274 (1)	18:19	7023 (1)
2:5,11;3:3,5;24:2,3;	192nd (1)	19:1	4451 (1)	2:12
31:21;35:8;36:6;	3:22	275 (1)	2:11	750 (1)
38:20;57:8;96:21;	19th (5)	19:1	4555 (1)	79:25
112:15	115:25;117:19;		3:2	750-million-dollar (1)
1125 (2)	118:1,8,18	3	47th (1)	30:24
57:3;90:25	1st (1)		8:22	7th (3)
1129 (1)	62:11	3 (2)		76:6,9,11
25:16		2:15;108:20	5	70.0,5,11
1129a4 (1)	2	30 (2)		8
43:17		66:15,24	5 (1)	<u> </u>
1129a9C (1)	2 (6)	300 (1)	2:18	800 (2)
61:23	2:13;41:7;110:25;	6:16	5.5 (1)	. ,
1133 (1)	118:6;120:1;123:24	300-plus (1)	108:19	9:4;33:14
14:4	2.1 (4)	56:7	502i (1)	81 (2)
115 (1)	32:24;79:22;80:2;	30th (1)	64:14	108:8,15
15:12	109:5	78:2	503 (3)	825 (1)
11753 (1)				10:21
6:17	200 (1) 14:12	31.5 (1) 26:7	61:5;62:2;63:9	86 (3)
			506 (7)	5:14;108:8,15
1177 (1)	2000 (1)	314 (1)	61:5;62:2;63:13,14;	
6:4	65:3	95:20	64:14;66:25;67:2	9
118 (1)	20005 (1)	315 (1)	506a (2)	
64:20	16:21	95:22	67:14;68:24	9 (2)
11th (1)	2001 (1)	321 (1)	506b (4)	23:21;26:3
72:10	95:23	95:22	60:1,16;67:11;	900 (1)
12:33 (1)	201 (2)	330 (4)	68:16	12:13
124:25	5:4;15:13	55:3,19;56:1,13	507a8 (1)	90071 (1)
1200 (1)	2011 (3)	337 (1)	64:14	9:15
16:20	95:20,21,22	95:22	51 (1)	901 (1)
121 (3)	2013 (1)	34.7 (1)	11:20	7:20
61:17;66:15,24	24:14	26:8	511 (4)	9019 (1)
12-12020 (1)	2013-2014 (1)	345 (1)	61:23;65:8;67:12;	2:12
17:3	65:4	9:22	68:16	90601 (1)
1221 (1)	210 (1)	355 (1)	51st (1)	16:5
15:4	16:12	9:13	15:22	
123 (4)	2100 (1)	35th (1)	55413 (1)	927 (1)
61:17,20;62:3;	12:14	9:14	16:13	19:3
63:18	211 (1)	3600 (1)	5592907 (1)	973406-2250 (1)
14 (1)	14:20	14:21	95:21	3:24
39:14	212 (2)	3rd (3)	57.6 (3)	99 (1)
14th (2)				102:1
, ,	66:14,24	5:15;24:3;49:23	26:22;54:11;56:22	9e (1)
23:4,4	22 (1)	4		101:20
15 (2)	61:11	4	6	
26:3;39:14	22nd (1)			
15212 (1)	11:21	4 (3)	6(1)	
15:14	23 (1)	2:18;23:10,21	2:20	
155 (1)	116:24	41.9 (1)	601 (1)	
19:3	230 (2)	26:7	7:12	
156 (1)	13:4;35:4	4152 (1)	63102 (1)	
52:15	235 (1)	2:2	14:22	
15th (1)	28:5	4153 (1)	64196 (1)	
121:10	24 (1)	2:2	12:5	
1633 (1)	66:13	4157 (1)	65 (1)	
13:21	24th (1)	2:2	11:12	
13.21	(_)	2.2	11.12	